Australian Parliament Joint Standing Committee on Migration Submission no. 165

Committee Secretary Joint Standing Committee on Migration PO Box 6021 Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600 Australia

Ladies and Gentlemen,

SUBMISSION CONCERNING THE POLICY OF "MULTICULTURISM" IN AUSTRALIA

As will be proved in this document, the proposition of "Australia's Success as a Multicultural Society" is founded on a flat-out lie.

Three facts from within our Australian society evidence the lie.

(1) Greek and Italian Migrants

Australian governments and other proponents of multiculture have repeatedly referred to (quote) "Australia's long history of successful multiculturism." As evidence they have offered that large numbers of Greeks and Italians have successfully settled in Australia.

Their statement is a lie

The fact of so many Greeks and Italians successfully integrating - and they did - is NOT evidence supporting Multiculturism.

In fact it is exactly the opposite. It supports the proposition that multiculturism does NOT work.

The Greeks and Italians were MULTI-ETHNIC and definitely not 'multi-cultured'.

Their culture was fundamentally identical to Australia's fundamental culture.

That culture is known as C.H.R.I.S.T.I.A.N.I.T.Y.

The Greeks and Italians were ethnically different, but, at the most fundamental level of their beliefs, they were CULTURALLY identical.

Only because their core culture so exactly aligned with the core culture of Australians was their 'integration' so successful – and even then, given the ethnic differences, integration didn't exactly happen overnight, let alone perfectly nice 'n easy!

Their core culture, that embraced discipline, hard work, honesty, diligence and meaningful contribution to our nation's progress, EARNED them Australians' respect.

This 'earning' respect is one of the primary reasons why their integration has been seamlessly successful.

There is much additional hard and irrefutable evidence *against* multiculturism to confirm the fact that a "multiculturism" policy is always destined to be a failure.

It is profound arrogance (not to mention ignorance) for any Australian leader to propose that Australia has and will 'succeed' with multiculture when not a single society in the world has ever done so as a society (i.e. individual exceptions have always been a very small percentage).

(2) Chinese settlers

For most of the past 100 years, the Chinese - definitely a different culture and ethnicity - represented such a minute percentage of our overall society that it didn't matter that their 'culture' was different for Australia's core culture.

More importantly, the Chinese were never aggressive in trying to assert their culture onto Australia. Australians and Chinese settlers mostly lived in relative peace because we respected their cultural differences and they respected ours.

In fact, many Chinese, coming to understand the benefits of Australia's core Christian values, have themselves chosen – voluntarily – to become Christians.

(3) Australian Aborigines

Aborigines are also – and have always been - of a different culture to that of 'white' Australians. The undeniable truth about the failure of Aborigines to integrate into Australian culture is sobering proof of exactly the opposite of what proponents of a multicultural society claim.

Indeed, out of respect for the Aboriginal culture, this fact could even be reversed and yet it remains sobering evidence. White Australian settlers and their progeny have definitely failed to integrate into the Aboriginal culture.

For 200 years that has been an almost total lack of cultural integration between Australian Aborigines and white settlers and their respective descendents. What more proof is necessary that Australia has NOT succeeded with regard to multiculturism.

On Palm Island and in other Aboriginal communities, there are signs BANNING white Australians from the areas. This is pure apartheid. There certainly exists no 'multiculture' on that Australian soil.

Only the dishonest would argue against this fact: Successful and genuine 'multiculturism' between white Australians and Aborigines has NEVER been a reality.

Like the Chinese, the numbers of Aboriginal people have, for the past 100 years, been relatively small. Therefore their 'separateness' (the English word for apartheid) never was a 'serious' issue.

The only 'Aborigines' who have somewhat integrated into mainstream Australian society are those who are NOT 'Aborigines' in the correct and truthful meaning of the word, but people who have a good amount of 'white' blood in them.

I am not going to get into the question of 'what' constitutes an 'Aborigine' but only a disingenuous or dishonest fool would try and argue that a 'mixed race' Aborigine' is no different from a full-blooded 'Aborigine.'

Look further afield and one finds even more compelling evidence against multiculturism.

Over a period of 500 YEARS South Africa became inarguable proof that multiculture can NEVER work. Yes, that is how long South Africa existed as a thriving, viable (white) nation, "separated" from the blacks by 'apartheid' laws for VERY good reason based on experience. (another discussion, another time.)

It was progressive, civilised society (remember the world's first successful heart – and then heart-lung — transplant?) until the anti-apartheid embracers of the lie (led by yet another socialist liar, Bob Hawke) conspired to deliberately destroy that nation. And an excellent job they have done, which the mainstream media — guilty coconspirators in its destruction — is now never going to expose, given that it would be their clear admission of guilt for participating in that genocidal conspiracy of pure evil.

But South Africa's historical facts are not the only additional evidence against multiculturism ever succeeding. In recent months, both Germany and the U.K. have openly and very publicly admitted that their attempts at 'multiculture' have resulted in a social DISASTER.

I suspect that France is now so far gone – and so under the threat of Islamists who now almost completely dominate the nation — that the French leadership are literally too scared and intimidated to make the same admission. How's that for not just a multiculturism disaster but losing one's nation to boot?

Furthermore, NOWHERE in the world has multiculturism worked. Even in California, the most liberally 'open' society in the world, the Koreans have the 'Korean' part of town (near-inner city and parts of Miracle Mile), the Chinese have the 'Chinese' part of the city (Alhambra). The Mexicans (Torrance, Gardena, Lomita, Pacoima), the Vietnamese, (Westminster), the Blacks (Inglewood, South Central) and the whites (Simi Valley, Agoura Hills and Calabasas) are all living proof of what is an unspoken but very real 'apartheid'' failure of multiculturism.

In the UK, the blacks have their 'ghettos,' and (quote) "wear concealed – and illegal guns as a fashion accessory" and kill and pillage like there is no tomorrow. Islamists in the U.K. also have their 'territory' - some parts now too dangerous for whites to enter.

Which has also happened in Paris where, as in the U.K., even the police will not enter or fear for their lives when they do.

In Holland, Norway, Sweden, Denmark, the same story.

In the Balkans - Bosnia Herzogovina - the Christians have been relentlessly attacked by the Muslims for...wait for it...700 YEARS.

And for ... yes....700 years... the Christians have defended themselves - sometimes with counter-attacks. That countless numbers on both sides have violently died is terrible and frightening testimony to the danger of Australia ignorantly and arrogantly rushing in where those with deep experience now literally fear to tread.

In short: the hard fact evidence is and has always been clear. Multiculturism is never successful. And particularly so when on of the cultures involved is aggressively intent on asserting itself in total control of all the others, *as is the inarguable intent of Islam*.

The occasional exceptions to the rule – individual cross-cultural mingling and even marriage – too often prove the point even more clearly. From Israel to Ireland – and all places in-between – the tales of woe from broken cross-cultural marriages are legend.

As I recall the statistic, such cross-cultural relationships are something like 400% more likely to end in misery as compared to our (white Australian) divorce rate, itself far too high.

Simple logic indicates that, if in the history of humankind, and even in the bitter experience of many nations over the past few decades, a mixing of cultures – not necessarily a mixing of ethnicities - always produces at least ongoing social trauma, if not serious disaster, then it is clearly arrogant idiocy of the worst kind for an Australian politician to assert — as has Australian minister for Immigration, Chris Bowen recently — that Australia can make multiculturalism work.

There is a profound wisdom that needs to be most seriously considered by your committee members. From down through the ages, we have long been warned that "United We Stand, Divided We Fall."

The concept of multiculturism is a concept that deliberately foments division within a society. Even the word most associated with multiculturism, 'diversity,' has as its root, the word 'division'.

Those who promote division -a core principle of multiculturism - within Australian society, are promoting a concept that, as history most seriously warns, will result in the 'falling' of the Australian nation. The eventual collapse of our society.

Again, it would be arrogance of the most supreme kind to ignore and dismiss such a sombre warning, passed down to us across centuries and even millennia of human history and hard-earned experience.

Taking into account the undeniable fact of the hard experience of human history, to persist with the belief that multiculturism is beneficial for a society is not just idiocy, it is literally social suicide for Australia and certain destruction of our treasured, safe, cohesive, progressive and once UNITED Australian Way of Life.

Initiator of The OzUnited Organisation http://www.ozunited.info