

Attention
Consultation Secretariat,
Family Law and Legal Assistance Division
Attorney-General's Department
Robert Garran Offices
National Circuit
Barton ACT 2600

Submission No.....	34.....
Date Received.....	14/7/05

15 JUL 2005
BY: UACA
MH

Re: the Technical inquiry into the provisions of the draft.

Noting the Report every picture tells a story page 183 [REDACTED]

I have spent huge amounts of energy and read the draft and other related materials

I now address the draft suggested process towards technically making it vitally work!

I believe the draft is nearing what is needed, but there are issues that may cause the draft not to work, remembering the Report every picture tells a story page 36 point 2.56 the FLA has been a failure in practice.

1998, a Family Court Registrar seriously informed me with the problem is we all have opinion and our own biases, therefore judgements are now being made under this. And I have seriously proven this fault 3 times

Noting the important Committee Explanatory Statement page 9 this approach is consistent with the UN convention rights of the child Article 12. I also have a letter from the Attorney-General, seriously displaying the importance & commitment of the Australian Government with coming into line with the vital UN convention.

Remembering the 1975 Family Law Act already allows shared parenting! the above-mention is a breakdown?

I have personally been before many Judges, magistrates and Registrars, and just about every time I have attended before one of the mentioned I have had a terrible experience, under their opinions and biases, but seriously when I attend before 3 Judges, at Appeal levels, I win, and prove the singular opinions very wrong.

I wish to bring to your attention Article 2,7 & 12 of the UN convention 1958 declaration on human rights being

All rights without distinction of any kind such asother opinion, entitled without discrimination to equal protection of the law, No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his, family, nor attacks upon his honour & reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks

When I have stood before one of the above-mentioned in a court (both in the Family & the Federal Courts) I have endured serious faults, failures and attacks from the judgements of the one other opinion, I have seriously repeatedly proven this serious fault [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I have stood at Appeals before 3 Judges, there is seriously a balance of power as the opinions are bounced off each other and settle with a healthy outcome, clearly the process of the other opinion is debated between the three, hence I have won, the cost to get it right was enormous both financially and other.

I now request equal protection of the Law being no singular other opinion but a process such as 3 Judges deal with the matter at least during a final hearing. My experiences clearly displays having 3 Judges keeps them honest, and this is consistent with our democracy being we function well with more than one opinion.

Sincerely
[REDACTED]