AUSTA Submission No: 207 -----Original Message-----DECEIVE >From: Hovergo [mailto:hovergo@net-tech.com.au] >Sent: Tuesday, 4 May 2004 8:26 AM >To: FTA, Committee (SEN) >Subject: US-Australia Free Trade Agreement BY:..... > > >To the Joint Standing Committee on Treaties reference on the Australia >- United States of America Free Trade Agreement. > >I draw your attention to submissions from: Brendan Scott (being submission number 81) > Cybersource Pty Limited (being submission number 85) > CAUL (being submission number 115) > ALIA (being submission number 142) > > >And to comments below. > >Having read and being in full agreement with the submissions I urge you >to please be aware of the provisions in Chapter 17 and of the >consequences of disregarding community concerns. > > >The Australian economy is increasingly dependant on its information >industries, and is in the forefront of much of the emerging >technologies that countries like the US is so dependent upon. > >It is a grave concern that FTA will shackle Australian industries with >outdated approaches to law at a time when the information market is >becoming increasingly globalised and internationally competitive. > >In order to effectively continue our leadership role in this sector >(for example, many leading open source developers are based in >Australia), it is imperative that we continue to provide an appropriate >and up-to-date legal environment that actively supports the healthy and >rapid development of information industries. > >In other words, Australia is and always has been a leader, and it is >imperitive that we remain so. > >There is no need for undue haste in finalising national / international >agreements therefore the Free Trade Agreement should be opposed in its >current form, until appropriate revisions are made on intellectual >property/copyright and legal issues. > >I am particularly adverse to the threat of Australian IT and industry >in general, becoming increasingly 'locked in' to monopolistic,

>proprietary, outdated, unsecure, practices when more progressive
>global alternatives do now provide far more flexible servicibility.
>

>It is unwise to state that the FTA will not dramatically change the >status quo, until the status quo is tried under US legal systems we >simply will not know the consequences, throwing caution to the wind >for future generations is of concern. Sold for thirty Pieces of silver >is quite evident when discussion revolves around how much value to >Australia.

>It is similarly unwise to state, as per latest media reports that the >FTA will increase Australian income by 6 -9 billion dollars, when no >account has been made of the legal situation and attendant costs to >Australian industry. No account is taken of the value or amount of this >"possible" income returning to the originating multinational by way of >profits and shares.

>Any value is to particular sectors in particular the drug and the rural >supply (fertiliser and equipment) industries, which are already >multinational.

>

>

>Please give due consideration to the actual effects and not the >illusionary effect.

> >

>Roger McDonald >Port Welshpool. Victoria >