The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia

Report 435

Review of Auditor-General's Reports Nos. 33 (2011–12) to 1 (2012–13)

Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit

November 2012 Canberra © Commonwealth of Australia 2012

ISBN 978-0-642-79816-9 (Printed version)

ISBN 978-0-642-79817-6 (HTML version)

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/</u>.

Contents

For	reword	Vii
Me	mbership of the Committee	xi
Lis	t of abbreviations	xiii
Lis	t of recommendations	XV
1	Introduction	1
	Background to the review	1
	The Committee's report	2
2	Audit Report No.41 2011–12 National Partnership Agreement on Literacy and Numeracy	
	Introduction	3
	The ANAO audit	5
	Audit objective and scope	5
	Overall audit conclusion	6
	ANAO recommendations	7
	The Committee's review	8
	Administrative strengths and improvements over time	8
	Setting of reform targets	9
	Reward payments	11
	Co-investments by states	13
	Impact of the LNNP	14
	Evidence Base	16
	Ongoing evaluation	16

	New LNNP agreement	16
	Committee comment	17
3	Audit Report No.45 2011–12 Administration of the Health and Hospitals Fund	21
	Introduction	21
	The ANAO audit	23
	Audit objective and scope	23
	Overall audit conclusion	23
	ANAO recommendations	25
	The Committee's review	26
	Implementation of ANAO recommendations	26
	Communications between the Commonwealth and states	28
	Committee comment	29
4	Audit Report No.46 2011–12 Administration of the Northern Australia Quarantine Strategy	
	Introduction	
	The ANAO Audit	
	Audit objective and scope	
	Overall audit conclusion	
	ANAO recommendations	
	The Committee's review	
	DAFF's risk management framework	
	Data management and information technology support for NAQS	
	Seizure rates	
	Community engagement	
	Future challenges	
	Committee comment	
	Risk management and seizure rates	
	Information technology	
	Community engagement	
	Future challenges	44

iv

5	Audit Report No.50 2011–12 Processing and Risk Assessing Incoming International Air Passengers	45
	Introduction	45
	The ANAO audit	
	Audit objective and scope	
	Overall audit conclusion	
	ANAO recommendations	48
	The Committee's review	49
	SmartGate usage at Australian airports	49
	Measures to increase SmartGate usage	50
	Committee comment	51
6	Audit Report No.1 2012–13 Administration of the Renewable Energy Demonstration Program	53
	Introduction	53
	Program timeframes	54
	Program implementation	54
	The Department of Resources, Energy and Tourism and the Australian Renewable Energy	IУ
	Agency	55
	Grants program administration framework	56
	The ANAO audit	56
	Audit objective and scope	56
	Overall audit conclusion	57
	ANAO recommendation	58
	The Committee's review	58
	Status of REDP projects	59
	Effectiveness of program acceleration	60
	Implementation of ANAO recommendation	60
	Improvements to grant administration processes	61
	Development of Key Performance Indicators	61
	Management of conflicts of interest	62
	Support from other departments	64
	Committee comment	65
	Progress of funded projects	65

Program acceleration and economic stimulus	
Documentation of REDP decisions	67
Subsequent improvements to RET practices	
Support for fast-tracked programs	
Appendix A – Public Hearings	71
Appendix B – Submissions	73

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	ANAO recommendations, Audit Report No.41 2011–12	7
Table 3.1	ANAO recommendations, Audit Report No.45 2011–12	25
Table 4.1	ANAO recommendations, Audit Report No.46 2011–12	36
Table 5.1	ANAO recommendations, Audit Report No.50 2011–12	48
Table 6.1	Projects funded under REDP (solar and non-solar)	55
Table 6.2	ANAO recommendation, Audit Report No.1 2012–13	58
Table 6.3	Progress updates for REDP funded projects	59

Foreword

The Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit (JCPAA), as prescribed by its Act, examines all reports of the Auditor-General, and reports the results of the Committee's deliberations to the Parliament. This report details the findings of the Committee's examination of five performance audits selected for detailed scrutiny from twenty four audit reports presented to Parliament by the Auditor-General between May and August 2012.

In selecting these reports, the Committee considered the issues raised, the significance of the audit findings, the arguments advanced by the audited agencies and the level of potential public interest in each report. In order to maximise the opportunity for scrutiny, the Committee decided to examine three audits by public hearing, and two others through written questions to the responsible agencies.

The findings of the selected audit reports were largely positive, but a range of areas for improvement were also identified.

Firstly, the Committee reviewed an audit on the administration of the National Partnership for Literacy and Numeracy (LNNP) — a program which funds efforts by states and territories to improve literacy and numeracy outcomes for school students, especially those who are falling behind. This audit complemented the Committee's previous work inquiring into National Funding Agreements.

While overall the LNNP appears to be having a positive impact, the evidence presented to the Committee indicated that there was still room for improvement in the design and implementation of future partnership agreements. In particular, future programs would benefit from implementation plans and reform targets being negotiated at the same time as partnership agreements are made; performance data assurance mechanisms being built into agreements; and more time being allowed in agreements for facilitation payments to take effect before performance is assessed and reward payments made. In general, departments and their Ministers need to be more active in ensuring that the terms of National Partnership agreements are adhered to during their implementation.

Two audits reviewed by the Committee examined programs that had been fast tracked by the Government in their early stages, leading to challenges in their implementation.

The Committee's review of the Health and Hospital Fund's administration sought to clarify aspects of the process used to select projects for funding and to confirm that the ANAO's recommendations were being implemented. The audit found that due to the fast tracking of two rounds of funding, there was insufficient time for the Department of Health and Ageing to develop a clear internal strategy to inform funding priorities, leading to a reliance on states to identify their own infrastructure gaps and needs. Another particular concern was the finding that the department did not advise the Health Minister on the relative merits of the projects submitted for potential funding, an issue the Committee has raised when reviewing previous audit reports. Disappointingly, the Department of Health and Ageing's response to the Committee's questions did very little to shed light on these matters. This lack of transparency and responsiveness to Parliament can serve to reduce confidence in the quality of decisions.

The ANAO's audit of the Renewable Energy Demonstration Program (REDP) revealed that the quality of the Department of Renewable Energy, Resources and Tourism's administration was negatively impacted by a Government decision to fast track funding. Compressed timeframes contributed to lower quality grant applications and inadequate documentation by the department of decisions around project selection and probity. It appears that the accelerated demands placed on the department were the major cause of the administration shortcomings – particularly given the REDP was the then new department's first major program. Moreover, the decision to bring forward the program appears to have produced little of the espoused benefits in terms of creating jobs, stimulating the economy and 'turbo-charging' investment in renewable energy technologies.

As these two fast-tracked programs highlight, when making decisions on program implementation the Government needs to give more consideration to the capacity of agencies to manage large and complex projects in compressed timeframes while still complying with administrative requirements.

A fourth audit reviewed by the Committee dealt with the important issue of quarantine in Northern Australia. The report contained mostly positive findings, pointing to effective risk-based management of the program by the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry. However, the report's findings highlighted a need for better data management systems to be employed. The department could also make better use of its existing data to inform its management decisions.

Finally, following up earlier work of the JCPAA, the Committee examined an audit of Customs' processing and risk assessing of incoming international passengers at Australia's airports – activities at the front line of Australia's national security efforts. In its review, the Committee focused its attention on the automated SmartGate clearance system, which has been rolled out at airports around Australia. The audit findings suggested a more strategic approach was needed to increase SmartGate usage and to help address a gap between the planned and achieved efficiency gains from the system. The Committee heard about recent efforts by Customs to improve SmartGate clearance rates, and noted that there were encouraging signs of progress in the most recent data.

In closing, I would like to sincerely thank the Committee members and agency representatives who appeared at public hearings for their cooperative approach to the Committee's important task of scrutinising the spending of public money. I would also like to remind agencies of the importance of providing full and complete information to parliament when asked to do so. Written questions from parliamentary committees should not be seen as an inconvenience, but rather as a necessary and important obligation that gives departments an opportunity to provide additional context behind their efforts. My hope is that closer engagement with the Committee will, over time, lead to sustained improvement to the way government agencies do their business.

Rob Oakeshott MP Chair <u>x</u>_____

Membership of the Committee

Chair Mr Robert Oakeshott MP

Deputy Chair Ms Yvette D'Ath MP

MembersHon Dick Adams MPMr Jamie Briggs MPMr Jamie Briggs MPMs Gai Brodtmann MPMr Darren Cheeseman MPMr Josh Frydenberg MPMs Deborah O'Neill MPMs Laura Smyth MPHon Alex Somlyay MP

Senator Mark Bishop Senator Helen Kroger (30/09/10-13/09/12) Senator Louise Pratt Senator Anne Ruston (from 13/09/12) Senator Dean Smith Senator Matt Thistlethwaite

Committee Secretariat

Secretary	Mr David Brunoro
Inquiry Secretary	Mr James Nelson
Senior Research Officer	Mr Shane Armstrong
Office Manager	Ms Louise Goss

List of abbreviations

ACER	Australian Council for Educational Research
ACRE	Australian Centre for Renewable Energy
ANAO	Australian National Audit Office
ARENA	Australian Renewable Energy Agency
BioSIRT	Biosecurity Surveillance, Incident, Response and Tracing software application
CGGs	Commonwealth Grant Guidelines
COAG	Council of Australian Governments
CRC	COAG Reform Council
DAFF	Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry
DEEWR	Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations
DIAC	Department of Immigration and Citizenship
DoHA	Department of Health and Ageing
ECP	Entry Control Point
HHF	Health and Hospitals Fund
IGA FFR	Intergovernmental Agreement on Federal Financial Relations
IT	Information Technology

JCPAA	Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit
KPIs	Key Performance Indicators
LNNP	National Partnership Agreement on Literacy and Numeracy
NAQS	Northern Australia Quarantine Strategy
NAPLAN	National Assessment Program – Literacy and Numeracy
REC	Renewable Energy Committee
REDP	Renewable Energy Demonstration Program
RET	Department of Resources, Energy and Tourism

List of recommendations

2 National Partnership Agreement on Literacy and Numeracy

Recommendation 1

That the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations report to the Committee within six months on the progress of its implementation of the Auditor-General's Recommendation 2 regarding the development of a longer term evaluation strategy for the National Partnership Agreement on Literacy and Numeracy.

Recommendation 2

That, in order to help ensure the expectations of future National Partnerships are met, the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations work with states and territories to develop implementation plans and reform targets at the same time as any new National Partnership agreements are developed, in accordance with advice from the Treasury.

Recommendation 3

That where state and territory co-investment obligations are included in the terms of current National Partnership agreements, the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations take responsibility for monitoring these investments and assessing them before payments are made, even if this requires negotiating more visibility of state and territory data.

Recommendation 4

That when negotiating National Partnership agreements, the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations seek external advice, potentially from the Auditor-General, on the monitoring and assurance mechanisms that should be incorporated to enable verification of performance data provided by states and territories.

Recommendation 5

That the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations and the Minister for School Education ensure that sufficient time is allowed in future National Partnership agreements for facilitation payments to take effect before measuring the performance of states and territories against reform targets and making reward payments.

3 Administration of the Health and Hospitals Fund

Recommendation 6

That the Department of Health and Ageing identify and action ways to apply the lessons of ANAO Recommendation 1 to its standard practices and procedures for all current and future grants programs.

Recommendation 7

That, within 6 months, the Department of Health and Ageing provide the Committee with an update on the progress of its evaluation of the Health and Hospitals Fund. The update should include how the department's evaluation framework has incorporated ANAO Recommendation 3, and any preliminary findings of the evaluation.

4 Administration of the Northern Australia Quarantine Strategy

Recommendation 8

That, using information currently available, the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry calculate and maintain inspection and seizure rates of quarantine material for areas covered by the Northern Australia Quarantine Strategy zone, and use this information to inform management decisions regarding border operations.

Recommendation 9

That the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry ensure that support for Northern Australia Quarantine Strategy activities is a high priority during the continuing development of the BioSIRT database in order to address the deficiencies identified by the Australian National Audit Office and in the Joint Committee of Public Accounts and Audit's review.

5 Processing and Risk Assessing Incoming International Air Passengers

Recommendation 10

That, within six months of the tabling of this report, the Australian Customs and Border Protection Service provide the Committee with an update on its progress in developing and implementing a strategic plan for SmartGate. The response should include:

■ an overview of measures introduced as part of the plan to improve SmartGate clearance numbers;

the latest figures on the number and percentage of eligible passengers clearing through SmartGate; and

■ how the year to date figures compare with the presentation and clearance targets identified in the 2012–13 Portfolio Budget Statements.

xviii