Supp to sub: 33 AUTHORISED: 13/6/07

11th June 2007.

The Chairman, Hon Bronwyn Bishop, Standing Committee on Family and Human Services, Parliament House, Canberra ACT 2600.

Dear Ms Bishop,

Representing the Coalition Against Drugs (WA) I hereby submit new evidence which provides indisputable credibility re our Submissions and verbal account detailed at the WA Standing Committee Hearings before yourself and Mr Harry Quick MP recorded in Hansard on the 14th March 2007.

Firstly, here is an extract from the Speech prepared for a meeting of the Indigenous leaders invited to Prime Minister John Howard's Roundtable on 23 July 2003 proposing strategies to reduce violence in Indigenous communities. It is entitled "Underlying Principles of a New Policy for the Restoration of Indigenous Social Order" by Noel Pearsonwww.capeyorkpartnerships.com. Mr Pearson provides excellent principles which make the connection between substance abuse and violence. He calls for an avoidance to "symptom" thinking and "harm reduction" thinking, over-emphasis on "inherited and personal trauma" as causal factors and a rejection of the illegal handling of addictive substances.

He said: During national crises, the federal Government needs to take the lead and formulate an action plan that goes beyond the normal workings of governance. Indigenous affairs currently has no national policy direction. This is a stark and daming contrast to foreign affairs, economic policy, or the safety and welfare of the electorally powerful non-indigenous people of Australia, policy areas where neither side of politics would allow a vacuum of the kind we have got in relation to the protection of vulnerable Indigenous citizens.

Although providing a seminal and brilliant directive on drug policy Mr Pearson was wrong in one matter. I refer to the issue of illicit drug policy and the sizable and increasing number of Aboriginal and non indigenous Australians who are critically neglected and ignored by the Australian Government due to the appalling disinterest and abject lack of appropriate political representation to promote a drug free nation.

There is an absence of a principled and genuine non government secretariat which should be modelled on the anti-tobacco, AIDS, diabetes, environmental pollution, depression, industrial relations or terrorism. Why such an anti-drug organisation has not been formed is easily exlpained ie. a drug free society would not swell the coffers of a pharmaceutical giant especially when the main person funding the non government addiction industry is commodity broker and international financier, billionaire George Soros. When it comes to Australian illicit drug policy, Soros, Gareth Evans and Cheryl Kernot are integrally linked.

Our nation's elitist illicit drug policy advisers primarily subscribe to the legalisation of drugs which are the same as those causing addiction. State governments arbitrarily provide obscene amounts of drug using paraphernalia such as needles & syringes, sterile swabs and water and other homosexual aids such as flavoured mouth dams and lubricant jelly. The Commonwealth subsidises the medicalisation of record rates of madness caused by illicit substances. Therefore it is little wonder that funding for the Australian non-government and by way of association the Dept of Health and Ageing addiction industry comes from pharmaceutical giants such as Eli Lilly, Beckett and Soros' US Drug Policy Alliance.

Eli Lilly's Zyprexa is one of the 10 most expensive drugs on the Pharmacutical Benefits Scheme. Over 20,000 Australians used it at a cost of \$154million to the federal Government in 2005-06. Now Eli

Lilly is facing a massive payout of \$US750million (\$953 million) fo settle legal actions by approximately 8000 people in the US who claim Zyprexa caused diabetes or other medical problems.

The Federal Government maintains a brickwall which repels any scrutiny why it is not only reluctant to act with due diligence competency and principles to rid Australia of those individuals and their permissive left-wing culture which has hijacked our national drug strategy and deprived all Australians of their right to peace of mind but provides them with accreditation and millions of dollars of Commonwealth funds.

Inexplicably, the Prime Minister and Cabinet continues to defend the Australian National Council on Drugs and has authorised another further term during which time it will entrench our nation in continued despair and ill health. I quote from a letter from Senator David Johnston to Mr Phil O'Grady dated 31st May 2007. Senator Johnston writes:

"I would lke to reassure you that the Australian Government is pursuing a strong response to illicit drugs. Australia's National Drug Strategy outlines the coordinated, balanced approach that has been agreed by al levels of government to reduce supply demand and harm associated with drugs." A greater misconception of the truth could not have been written. The purpose of this letter is to provide instances where the Federal government will not respond to the will and perception of 99.9% of its people and continues to follow the unsubstantial and unsubstantiated philosophical tenants of its drug advisers who are Australia's enemies.

Senator Johnston's letter has the audacity to praise the *Illicit Drug Diversion Initiative (IDDI)* which I recently specifically asked him to urgently review for the reasons I explain further in this summation. It is all very well for the government, including the Prime Minister to extol the so-called virtues of "a bipartisan parliament" and the *IDDI* for its supposed 'feature' that it reduces social harms as well as reducing the numbers of offenders appearing before the courts.

Of course this was the Trojan Horse which devised the IDDI but it is fuelling the drug epidemic, the WA police are in tatters and there is such a crisis in our courts that, last week, the WA Cabinet formed a law and order sub-committee to improve co-ordination of the WA Justice services amid criticism by the Police Commissioner who claimed up to 40 per cent of the alleged criminals arrested in a burglary hotspot were already subject to court orders. Yet the Commissioner has publicly declared that the police will not pursue the use of cocaine which is rife by clubbers and hotel patrons in the Central Business District. Never before has WA had so many children and youth in its remand centres and in its courts. WA Police are in extreme crisis. This is because a succession of the last three police commissioners have put more emphasis on Harm Reduction Policing of Illicit Drugs than compliance and law enforcement as explained in this email's attachments.

Incredibly, Senator Johnston also defends the ANCD which causes Customs to be inundated with drug hauls. He also supports the WA Government and WA Police Drug Summit which will be a further hoax modelled on the 2001 WA Drug Summit. He says he is confident that the ANCD meets its obligations in an appropriate and effective manner. It does not, by any standards, and it is far too implicated, biased and infiltrated by pro drug legalisation proponents which I can easily list. By Government ignoring the concerns of the informed drug free groups, the ANCD via its incestuous publication *Of Substance*, is ensured ingenious and skillful networking within the drug and alcohol addiction field for the purpose of the proliferation of Harm Reduction and drug reform propaganda and the obfuscation of sound principles which apply to reducing the use of illicit drugs and the responsible rehabilitation of those who become addicted. The ANCD perpetuates the following reforms:

1. The attached Commonwealth funded document *Mapping the Future* which is the 1997 Evaluation of the National Drug Strategy by Canadian Harm Reductionist, Professor Eric Single and Professor Timothy Rohle. This is a fundamentally construed and devious plan to undermine prohibition and law enforcement in all state and territory jurisdictions of Australia via a memorandum of understanding *National Health Partnership* signed by all health ministers enlisting integral stakeholders of policing, justice, health and finance as prescribed in the document funded by the Dept of Health and Ageing - for obvious reasons when legislating radical drug law reforms and 'soft' policies.

Please take particular notice where Single refers to Mr Pat O'Hare's explanation of Harm Minimisation at a Toronto meeting (an International Harm Reduction Association meeting) and how O'Hare compares a nation's illicit drug policy of harm reduction to the anecdote of his small daughter on a swing who was swinging too low, so he taught her to tuck her head into her body to avoid hitting the ground. Whilst it is alarming that this is an extremely weak example to provide a criteria for the foundation and funding of a national health and police policy directive there is a more sinister motive. It is duly expected that the rationale and purpose for this document funded by the Commonwealth be diligently scrutineered and responsibly disclosed asap.

The escalating and exponential rise of illicit drug use and its consequential predictable and devastating harms has become one of the greatest disasters to beset our nation. It is essential to ensure that the background and agenda of the authors of this NDS report and all Commonwealth funded studies are understood in the context of the agenda of the bias and selective interest of the peer review which seeks to authenticate (or otherwise) Australia's Harm Reduction Illicit Drug Policy.

O'Hare came to Australia in the mid-90s and was employed as an executive officer on the Board of the Australian Drug Foundation(ADF). (refer the CD and the IHRA Certificate of Incorporation for the IHRA tabled by the CAD(WA) in regard to Bill Stronach, Executive Director of ADF, Wodak and Mugford in allegiance with O'Hare.) O'Hare had previously worked at the UK Merseyside Clinic which distributed heroin on prescription to addicts. I ask that the Standing Committee consider the following account of the seed idiology behind Australia's push for the prescription of opiates and amphetamine derivatives and obviously the cause for the IHRA agenda in Australia. O'Hare has returned to Rome representing the IHRA and the International Journal of Drug Policy.

Please note O'Hare's signature on the document Certificate of Incorporation of Private Ltd Company No. 3223265 Registration No 770582 called the International Harm Reduction Association registered at Companies House, Crown Way, Cardiff, CF4 3UZ DX33050 Cardiff dated 28th June 1996. IHRA Proposed Registered Office: 37 Seymour Tce, Seymour St., Liverpool, MERSEYSIDE. L3 5PC. The lawyers were Jordans Ltd, 21 St. Thomas Street, Bristol BS16JS . LAW/LS/TWO783. http://www.ihra.net/...

A Special Resolution for the Memorandum and Articles of Association as annexed to the document were signed in 2001 (no specific date given) by W L Stronach, AD Wodak, P Riley, Fabio Mesquita, Ernest Drucker at the BIRR Legal Services, Crown House, 64 Whitchurch Road, Cardiff CF 14 3LX, Tel: 02920 239515, Fax: 02920 239859.

trade or business whatever which can in the opinion of the Board of Directors be advantageously carried on in conection with our ancillary to any of the businesses of the Company.

In 1986, Merseyside Police cooperated with doctors and introduced a 'Responsible Demand Strategy' involving the rigourous policing of dealers, whilst a different policy operated for the user. At the height of the Merseyside experiment one clinic provided a 'fixing room' in the basement where people could self-adminster(especially by intravenous injection) drugs such as morphine and heroin. This arose because "the people that the users live with (partner, parents) are often hostile to them injecting at home and police move on them if they inject in parks, which means they use public toilets and railway stations."

In April, 1995 the system finally collapsed under the weight of its own expenditure when the North Cheshire Health Authority moved "to reduce drug user's dependence upon controlled drugs, with the aim of achieving a drug free state". A budget ceiling was placed on heroin prescription in Merseyside and the authority recommended predominantly oral methadone based service.

Obviously when O'Hare became redundant in the UK in 1995 he was exported to Australia to organise the Controlled Availability of Opoids to Addicts sponsored and funded by the National Centre Population and Public Health (NCEPH) and the Australian Institute of Crime Research (AIC). Both these *quasi* government funded organisations are integrally connected for the facilitation and promotion of Harm Reduction in Australia with the ultimate aims and objectives for the abolition of prohibition and to cancel Australia as a co-signatory to the INCB international treaties. That is why it is of great concern that one of the founders of the legalisation movement in the US and Australia is the National Manager of Intelligence for the Australian Federal Police, a member of the Australian National Council on Drugs and a leading international adviser on terrorism and narco-terrorism, especially undermining law enforcement in Asian countries according to Australian advisory committees on illicit drugs.

2. *Policing and Harm Reduction* <u>www.ihra.net</u> funded by the US Drug Policy Alliance owned by George Soros and networked internationally by the Australian Drug Foundation

3. *Drug Harm Minimisation Education for Police in Australia* which is a surreptitious and seditious attack for the corruption of compliance and law enforcement in Australia. NB: pages 17, 25, 64 and 71. The main author of this report, Professor Steve Allsop is currently the Director of the Curtin National Drug Research Institute (NDRI) which is copiously funded by the National Drug Strategy/DOHA. Allsop is the key adviser at Ice Summits around the nation. The WA Police and WA Government plan the next Summit for early July.

The Prime Minister recently authorised over \$1million to fight amphetamine use in Australia. It is likely that instead of genuinely fighting the epidemic and providing urgent funds for *bona fide* human services, mental health and illicit drug agencies which are burdened by the numbers of ice/meth casualties and their families. These latest funds are going to state and territory governments and state police with the same people attending each summit for the purpose of legislating the medicalisation of amphetamine and formally legislating the 1999-2000 to 2003-04 and 2004 - 2007 *Illicit Drug Diversion Initiative(IDDI)*.

Since 1999 this ambiguous and illegal 'initiative' has received over \$550million of Commonwealth funds. It is the authorisation of the *de facto* decriminalisation of the personal possession and dealing of 'small' amounts of cocaine, heroin, ice/meth/ecstasy, LSD and other banned drugs - firmly entrenched and complied with in WA.

The IDDI is a clandestine contrivance between the Ministerial Council on Drug Strategy (MCDS) and its drug reform collaborators the Council on Australian Government (COAG) and the National Drug Law Enforcement Research Fund (NDLERF). Via this means the Australian Labor Party controls national drug policy and subsequently the means by which drug reforms are legislated and funded. Late last year Mexico formally legislated the personal possession of these drugs. Mexico has now had to call in the National Guard because of violent attacks on the police and corrupt activities between drug cartels and police.

A notable feature of the litany of Commonwealth funded monographs and research papers by the addiction sociologists is that they consistently undermine and attack law enforcement due to their peer academic viewpoint that "Australia's fight against illicit drugs is lost, it costs about \$3.1 billion a year, with more than half blown on drug-related policing" and that "governments are running blind - spending money with no clue of the return on their investment and putting no money into prevention." Of course the authors covertly refer to Harm Reduction as a so-called prevention "health" strategy which always emphasises AIDS/HIV injecting education and paraphernalia.

The Drug Policy Modelling Project (DPMP) 2006 was produced by the National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre (NDARD) and funded "independently" by the Colonial Life Assurance Foundation. It was compiled by the leading drug law reformists in the UK and Australia, Professor Margaret Hamilton, Professor Allison Ritter, Professor Peter Reuter and other insignificant left wing public policy academics specialising in Analysis, Operations Research and Management accredited by the ANU, Turning Point and Griffith University. The DPMP was the subject for Allison Ritter's address to the Fabian Society which was held at the University of Sydney on the 18th May 2007.

One of the DPMP researchers is Dr Pascal Perex from France, currently at the Research School of Pacific & Asian Studies, ANU. His research interests include "water management, cropping systems and evaluation of irrigation efficiency, crop water balance modelling and Institutional barriers to and drivers for participation; integrated, systemic and inclusive decision making:Multi-disciplinary teams and virtual teams; and Action Learnings". What type of expertise does this contribute to the issue of Australia's Illicit Drug Policy?

I have detailed these matters in letters to my Federal representative the Hon Wilson Tuckey. I have also contacted Don Randall, Michael Keenan and Mr Prosser. I have had a meeting with Customs Minister David Johnston and long meetings with Mr Luke Simpkins and Senator Ellison at his Perth office requesting that the facts are reported to Health Minister Tony Abbott and the Minister responsible for Drugs, Christopher Pyne. A phone call to Senator Pyne's Electorate and Ministerial offices has been ignored, my phone call to Senator Johnston's Perth office was not returned. The phone call to Ms Karen Price at the National Illicit Drug Strategy Dept of Health and Ageing has not been returned.

Thank you for your time.

Yours sincerely, Ms Geraldine Mullins, The Coalition Against Drugs(WA),

PS: It is assuring that I recently received a phone call from the WA Police Minister J. Kobelke at 8.00 pm while he was still at Parliament House. It is accutely noticeable that the same service is not provided for the Coalition Against Drugs(WA) by the Liberal politicians or their office staff - either federal or state.