· · ·	WOME LEGAL SERV	Sipi. 1-1
	Ms Beverly Forbes Committee Secretary House of Representatives Standing Committee on Family and Community Af	House of Representatives Standing Committee on Family and Community Affairs. Submission No:
	24 th October 2003	

Dear Ms Forbes,

INOUIRY INTO CHILD CUSTODY ARRANGEMENTS IN THE EVENT RE: OF FAMILY SEPARATION: GOLD COAST FORUM: 4TH SEPTEMBER 2003

We refer to your letter dated 13th October 2003 and confirm that we have not made any corrections to the transcript of evidence provided to us.

We have outlined our responses to the questions on notice below.

1. Do you accept the statistics that one of the previous submissions (Mrs Sue Price from Men's Rights Agency] provided on violence among biological fathers compared with other partners? Have you looked at that evidence about assault of children and other violence?

In relation to the first part of the question, we do not accept the statistics provided by Mrs Sue Price. We are disappointed that the statistics seem to have been dishonestly presented to the committee by Mrs Price to support her argument that children are safer with their father after separation.

Much of Mrs Price's evidence was subsequently reported in the Courier and the relevant article sparked a response from Richard Madden the Director of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Mrs Price's evidence misused statistical information published by that Institute. Please find enclosed a copy of the article and the letter in response which was published on 9 September, 2003.

The letter outlines the way in which the figures have been taken out of context. For your further information we also enclose a copy of pages 21-23 of Child Protection Australia, the Report of the AIHW from which Mrs Price obtained her statistics. There are two vital points she omitted to make:

> 387 Ipswich Road, Annerley, Brisbane, Queensland 4103 Phone: (07) 3392 0670 or 1800 677 278 Fax: (07) 3392 0658 PO Box 119, Annerley, Ouepeland 4102 8990765520

1

MOWEN, 2 FECH REGAL

i. "a family member with whom the child was residing may not have been the person responsible for the abuse neglect or harm."

Therefore, there can be no conclusion drawn that children who are found to be abused who live with their mothers were abused by that mother or even another person in that home. The figures also include children who have been abused by someone outside of that home – including their natural father whom they may visit for contact.

ii. "While children of female sole parents accounted for a relatively high proportion of substantiations, they represent only a small proportion of all children on these families".

We recognize that mothers sometimes also abuse their children and this social tragedy can only be addressed by working with mothers 'at risk' and providing them with resources, education and information to assist them to nurture. However, one of the contributing factors to abuse of children by their mothers is the violence with which that woman has lived – perhaps with the children's father, perhaps in her family of origin or other situations.

Many of our clients have lived with domestic violence perpetrated upon them by the father of the children. It is critical for this Committee to understand the connections between child abuse and domestic violence during its deliberations.

Firstly, there is a "growing body of evidence to suggest that different types of violence may occur simultaneously in the same family, and that the presence of one form of violence may be a strong predictor of the other ...".¹ Therefore when a mother who has been abused by the children's father fears his propensity for violence may be directed against the children, her fears will sometimes be very well founded.

Secondly, there is much research now about the affects on children of witnessing domestic. Children who have seen and/or heard violence perpetrated by one of their parents upon the other may suffer serious consequences including increased likelihood of becoming involved in a violent relationship themselves, emotional and behavioural problems, peer conflicts, social isolation and other issues which will affect their wellbeing in the short and long term.

We note that at the hearing at Blacktown on 1 September, the Chair asked 'witnesss 1' "What is there is a history of violence between the parents, the two adults, but there is no history of violence with the parent and the child – the parent has never been violent or abusive to the child?"

It would be most unfortunate if the Committee proceeded on the basis that violence between parents ends at separation and that there are no on-going long term

890268870

¹ Tomison, A (2000) Exploring family violence: Links between child maltreatment and domestic violence in National Child Protection Clearinghouse, Issues Paper 13, Australian Institute of Family Studies, Melbourne, available at <u>http://www.aifs.org.au/nch/issues13.html</u> at p 1

consequences. In 2000 the Court of Appeal in the United Kingdom heard four appeals which had been grouped together so that the court could look at the issue of contact where there had been violence in the home.² In delivering her judgment, the President of the Court of Appeal, Dame Elizabeth Butler-Sloss, makes the following telling statement linking domestic violence and parenting:

... violence to a partner involves a significant failure in parenting – failure to protect the child's carer and failure to protect the child emotionally.

2. Of the 6000 women our Service assisted, how many went to court?

Our statistics' system does not collect this information and we therefore are unable to answer this question. We are primarily an advice and information Service and women contact us when and if they need to at various stages of their matter. We may see a woman for a one-off advice or provide detailed and ongoing support and assistance. Unlike a solicitor in private practice we rarely see a woman from the absolute beginning of her matter at the time of separation until it is finalized. We see women at all stages – before separation, after separation as well as before, during and after they have engaged in informal processes to resolve disputes (negotiations, mediations and conferencing) and formal processes such as a court.

We are unable to 'track' our clients through the various processes they may engage in to resolve disputes after separation.

3. Of the 6000 women assisted how many of them are grandmothers seeking access to grandchildren?

Again our statistics do not collect this information and we are unable to answer the question more fully.

4. How many are women from second marriages come to us because they believe the child support their husband is payng is too high

This is not an issue about which women seek advice from us.

If you have any further queries about any of the matters discussed please do not hesitate to contact Ms Zoe Rathus on 3392 0644.

Yours faithfully,

Zoe Rathus Coordinator

² Re L, V, M and H (Contact and Domestic Violence) [2000] 2 FLR 334

3

or the set of the set



Phil Bartsch and Margaret Wenham

A FEDERAL parliamentary inguiry into child custody arrangements was told yesterday that children were safer living with their biological fathers.

Co-founder of the controversial Men's Rights Agency, Sue Price, Joid the inquiry despite the "maternal preference" of the Family Law Court in custody battles, statlistics showed children were more listicy to be abused, or even killed When in the custody of their mothers.

"The research shows chlidren are safer with their biological fathers," she said.

An Australian Institute of Health and Welfare report had found 42 per cent of substantiated abuse - including physical, emo-

tional and sexual abuse -- happened in single-female-parent familles, she said. The report said only 4 per cent

of abuse occurred in single-maleparent families.

Mrs Price also said mothers had been identified as the primary

Picture: Nathan Richter

Howithal after switching from a police forensic career.

suspect/perpetrator in 25 of 40 deaths deemed family murders in NSW between 1996 and 1999. The studies exposed the myth

ater with dad

that most child abuse was perpetrated "by all these violent men out there".

In her submission to the inquiry on the Gold Coast yesterday, Mrs Price said men were often the victims of false allegations in the Family Law Court where there was "very little testing of evidence and no penalties of perjury".

But she said as many women as men supported changes to child custody arrangements in favour of shared parenting.

or snared parentary. She also called on parental rights to be reinstated into the

Family Law Act. "Shared parenting is much bet-

ter for children," she said. "Where you've got two perfectly decent parents, why should a court be saying to either of those parents that they can't see their children or restricting the time

they have with them. "We hope that, if they bring this in, families will then make their own arrangements to see their chlidren as much as possible."

Mrs Price said parental rights had been removed from the Act in the mid-'90s "leaving the Family Court as the sole arbiter of what happens to children"

The inquiry was also told of a growing number of children being cared for full-time by grandparents and other relatives and that there was a need for recogition and legal rights for custodial grandparents in the Family Law Court.

Submissions to the inquiry have been dominated by claims of court delays and a questionable formula for calculating child support payments. One of the subjects under examination is the concept of 50-50 shared parenting - equal residency for children with separated parents.

Sandra Bray, of the Pine Rivers Neighbourhood Centre, said 60-50 parenting would only work where the parents could make arrangements amicably. If that was not the case, she said children could be placed in untenable situations. A record number of 1500 sub-

A record number of 1500 submissions have been made. Hearings continue in Calrus today. The committee is to report to Parliament by December 31.

The carrier mais Fri. 5th Sept 103 theoremail.com.ou

Į.

eak-up kid

0733920658

PER O LEVINE OFICIAL

28	BIS there 1/2 office when 2/2 a statemer 2/2	stolen?Id(u instrumen death-Jo	jonagler@		■ HOW gt G Reed cone	rock legen	rehashi	songs, r concert	and cre reinterj	malerí: houndau	The m	Dackin(500	voice ar 0	tai chi mar	Edgar Alli	Alderley.		- -	wins a \$50 st	The Myer Ce Queen Shree	H L	ibnoui	for loc	The grass wi but the word	forever	Isaiah 40:8	Selected by the New Inte	CLARII	A report o in the Log	in The Co Seturday	on domest
4-9-2003 Twe	Pregnant	and sick	I DISAGREE with the lind- ings of the two Canadian	guerurs (C-M, Sept of Wild say that morning sickness is	this quite insulting.	from nausea, extreme	threaness and a general, spaced-out feeling for at	Jeast 15 weeks, morning, noon and night, with both of	ity pregnancles, I wouldn't describe this awful feeling	às "feeling low because of a serve of isolation".	The symptoms were	serious enough to make me mestion whether I could	handle having a third preg-	who suffer far worse than	me. Hopefully, no one	s article (definitely would have womited on their dry toast	and tea.	My sympathies are with those women. feeling	wretched and still trying to	get through the day, gould to work, looking after other	children and the home, at a	when they probably haven't	told many people they are even pregnant. What next?	Will a bunch of smug	rescarchers de teumg us that labournains are only in	our imagination - Tracey	Alau, A civiles. September 8	Caught	napping	T HAD a hit of a chuckle
4001, 12X UI 3000 0030, עו צווומו נאווומונים שעיניויניידי איזער איזאריויניייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	What child abuse data didn't show. Pregnant	in female-single-parent families.	the data we have show s that the rate of abuse sub- stantiations between the		example, in Victoria in 2000-01, there were 16.8 sub-	stantiations per 1000 child- ran in female-character	families and 15.5 substan-	male-single-parent families.	Its statistics are correctly	especially in sensitive areas	of active community denate - Richard Madden,	director, Australian Insti-	CHEE OI DEMINI MINI WELMIC, Bruce, ACT.	a state of the second of the second	So who is		to blame?	auf am daldw at and a tit tet	IN the age in which we have, then go to the moon, doctors		felons years	is commuted. Surely we have the tech-	ž	••••	cold and grass does not like	growing), uney seen to mave a beautiful lawn at the				a jong way in developing our sporting youth who are the	future of all Australian sport. Instead, we see it
ne ann 1, iax u i aonn ann	DUSCHERICA	live in male-single-parent families. The data don't	show this. Plinst, the figures describe the struction in which the	child was living, either at	neglect or at the time of investigation into allega-	tions of abuse or neglect.	ls doing the abusing or	neglecting. It is not necess- arily the resident parent.	Second, using ABS census figures on family character-	istics, 38 per cent of children living in single-parent fam-	illes are in female-slugle-	partent taimines by service the	vast majority of abuse or neglect substantiations in			ocior paying	Indemnity insurance. This	amount is small by compari- son with the amounts that	other doctors have received.	I am told that if 1.00 not pay, taxation-type penalties	will apply. Even if I drop Aaad my estate still will	have to pay. To pay this, I			38		•	ticians, "What has hap- pened to bulk-billing?",-		Manading notential critics	
Mail to: The Courier-Mail GPO Box 130 Brisbane	<u>Which the Initial sectors</u>	THE article "Children of break-ups 'safer with their	dads." (C-M, Sept 5) reports Sue Price, of the Men's	Hagne Agency, as crammer that Australian Institute of	Health and Wellar's lightes SDOW 42 DET CEN LOI orthoted shild shire	occurred in single-female-	parent tanunes wine out	single-male-parent families. These figures are being	used out of context (and the quoted figures apply to	Queensland, although the other states and territories.	have a similar pattern).	On the face of it, the implication is that children	are more likely to be abused If they five in female-single-	parent families than if they		Levy keeps auctor paying	SOON. I will be 70 years old.	As a reward for having seen	active service, the Federal Government will give me a	Gold Card, Big deal, I have	(60 years) at which, as a	veteran, I am entitled to a	about 47 years of conscien-	tions medical service to the Australian public	The Government also has	presented me with a levy of	honour its promise of	ensuring that everyone still can sue his or her doctor.	This levy is over and above my already exorbitant	Manadind nd	
Mail to: The Courie	Best moment	1 - Q - 4-1	I REFER to William					morning. I, too, have a	do things with me and his	to the hugs and kisses at the	school gate, yet we know it's only for show. We have big	shoulders, but, oh, it is hard	Julia Buch, Coorparao.		MULINES' column, hydroth a fear to my eve	and it was one of Joy.	Nothing can equal the happiness that the words	"love ya mum" bring as your	door with their mates or end	a phone conversation.	their twenties only adds to	Carindale.	September 8	■ McINNES' article shows that his family has pro-	duced an Australian boy	whom anyone would be prond to have. Tolerance,	humour and maturity	to tell his father that he	his mates) will make him stand tall in any gathering	of his peers. Well done	Septemmer of MAX Sydney-dwelling
itch the	revealed in ur The a 1999 report	sting the 1984 ment control derstated	rious	studies trment		e	الد بدری می		elopment	onan	flood Would	tstudy in 1993	igh as han in 1998 the	Jo wol	nners reduced	report, and to	sultations	suggests the	sn tne blem with	00cu m – the	rere based.	recaso, ute eed. it walted	report before it	gether the outcome if	be accurate. All	ext few years.	used to warn trois might be	report	ot immediately	adı	the city cabinet

WUMEN & LEGAL SERVIE

0733920558

28/10/2003 13:59

HUL UU

CHILD WELFARE SERIES Number 32

Child protection Australia 2001–02

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Canberra

AIHW cat. no. CWS 20

8590268870

MOWEN, SI FECT SEGALE

8590268870

59:51 6002/01/82

PAGE 07

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2003

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be directed to the Head, Media and Publishing Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601.

This publication is part of the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare's Child Welfare Series. A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Publications Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601, or via the Institute's web site (http://www.aihw.gov.au).

ISSN 1320-081X ISBN 1 74024 248 3

Suggested citation

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) 2003. Child protection Australia 2001–02. AIHW cat. no. CWS 20. Canberra: AIHW (Child Welfare Series no. 32).

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Board Chair Dr Sandra Hacker

Director Dr Richard Madden

Any enquiries about or comments on this publication should be directed to:

Helen Johnstone Australian Institute of Health and Welfare GPO Box 570 Canberra ACT 2601

Phone: (02) 6244 1157

Published by Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Printed by Elect Printing

(per cent) Source of notification	NSW	Vĩc	QId	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT
				Per cen	t			
Subject child	1	1	3	4	3	2	1	2
Parent/guardian	11	9	16	13	11	18	9	10
Sibling		1	1			1		_
Other relative	6	7	12	10	10	5	10	8
Friend/neighbour	9	6	16	6	13	5	9	12
Medical practitioner	З	3	2	2	5	3	2	5
Other health personnel	4	5		- -	3	7	1	2
Hospital/health centre	7	4	5	12	4	9	5	7
Social worker	7	2	4	—	7	4	2	4
School personnel	22	16	11	11	19	15	14	12
Childcare personnel	1	1	1		1	-	З	_
Police	19	20	13	14	12	2	10	18
Departmental officer	1	5	3	14	З	12	8	5
Non-government organisation	2	13	4	4		10	18	7
Anonymous	3	_	3	1	Э	7	4	2
Other	3	6	6	7	8	-	4	6
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 2.12: Finalised investigations, by source of notification and State and	Ferritory, 2000-01
(per cent)	

Notes

Other category may include the maltreater, Refer to Table A1,4 for numbers for this table.

Family type

Data on the type of family in which the child who was the subject of a substantiation was residing were available from most jurisdictions, although it is important to note that a family member with whom the child was residing may not have been the person responsible for the abuse, neglect or harm.

Compared with the distribution of family types in the Australian population, a relatively high proportion of substantiations involved children living in female-headed one-parent families and in two-parent step- or blended families, whereas a relatively low proportion of substantiations involved children living in two-parent intact families. For example, in Victoria 35% of substantiations involved children from female one-parent families, 12% involved children from two-parent step- or blended families, 5% involved children living in male sole parent families, while 34% involved children from two-parent intact families (Table 2.13). In comparison, in 1997, 16% of all Australian children lived in female oneparent families, 8% lived in two-parent step- or blended families, 2% lived in male sole parent families and 74% lived in two-parent intact families (ABS 1997).

While children of female sole parents accounted for a relatively high proportion of substantiations, they represent only a small proportion of all children in these families. In Victoria, for example, the rate of substantiations for children in female sole parent families was 16.8 per 1,000 while the rate for children in male sole parent families was 15.5 per 1,000 |||(Table 2.13, ABS 1997).

There are likely to be a number of reasons for the over-representation of one-parent families in substantiations. For instance, sole parents are more likely to:

- have low incomes and be financially stressed;
- suffer from social isolation; and
- have less support in their immediate family.

These are all factors that have been associated with child abuse and neglect.

Table 2.13: Substantiations, by type of family in which the child was residi	ng, for selected States
and Territories ^(a) , 2000-01	

Family type	Vic	Qld	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT
				Number			
Two parent-intact	2,413	2,039	339	466	30	50	127
Two parentstep or blended	856	1,767	214	446	24	36	45
Single parent-female	2,481	3,486	430	855	36	80	111
Single parent-male	331	474	64	108	2	18	20
Other relatives/kin	404	194	84	65	6	2	18
Foster	115		25	5	2	1	4
Other	488	416	15	35	3	3	7
Not stated	520	1 9	20	18		32	17
Total	7,608	8,395	1,191	1,998	103	222	349
······································				Per cent			
Two parent-intact	34	24	29	24	29	28	38
Two parent-step or blended	12	21	18	23	23	19	14
Single parent-female	35	42	37	43	35	42	33
Single parent-male	5	6	5	5	2	9	6
Other relatives/kin	6	2	7	3	6	1	5
Foster	2		2	—	2	1	1
Other	7	5	1	2	3	2	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

(a) New South Wales could not provide these data.

For Victoria and Queensland, family of residence was categorised as where the child was living at the time of investigation. For other 1.

jurisdictions it was where the child was living when the abuse or neglect occurred. Queensland does not have a category for 'foster parent'—these have been included in 'Other'.

2.

Relationship of person believed responsible

The data on the relationship to the child of the person believed responsible for the abuse, neglect or harm to a child who was the subject of a substantiation highlight some of the differences in the approaches to child protection across jurisdictions. For example, in Queensland, the focus of the child protection system is on the identification and investigation of harm to the child and on the child's protective needs. In situations where harm has occurred and the person responsible is outside the immediate family, parents can still be seen to be responsible if they have failed to protect the child. In Queensland the natural parent was believed to be responsible in 84% of substantiations and a step-parent in a further 4% of the substantiations (Table 2.14).

In other jurisdictions, such as New South Wales, there is a greater focus on identifying the person who committed an action or who caused the harm to the child. Thus, those outside the family, such as friends or neighbours or strangers, are more likely than in Queensland to

Notes

be regarded as responsible. In New South Wales, natural parents were believed to be responsible in 57% of substantiations, friends or neighbours were believed to be responsible in 12% of substantiations and strangers (included in the 'other' category) were believed to be responsible for a small proportion of substantiations.

Relationship	NSW	Qld	WA	SA	Tas	ACT	NT
			Ni	umber			
Natural parent	2,760	6,944	733	1,574	39	169	227
Step-parent	353	370	73	123	6	4	- 19
De facto step-parent	289	298	46	92	7	25	9
Sibling	178	102	18	33	2	8	8
Other relative/kin	339	222	90	98	11	1	11
Foster parent	69	140	12	***	1	2	2
Friend/neighbour	593	18	68	21	7	4	1
Other ^(b)	274	144	74	57	5	4	6
Not stated	2,646 ^(c)	157	87	_	26	5	4
Total	7,501	8,395	1,191	1,99B	103	222	287
			Pe	r cent			
Natural parent	57	84	66	79	51	78	80
Step-parent	7	4	7	6	ę	2	7
De facto step-parent	6	4	4	5	9	12	3
Sibling	4	1	2	2	3	4	3
Other relative/kin	7	3	7	5	14		4
Foster parent	1	2	1		1	1	1
Friend/neighbour	12	<u></u>	6	1	9	2	_
Other ^(b)	6	2	7	3	6	2	2
Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Table 2.14: Substantiations, by relationship to the child of person believed responsible, for selected States and Territories⁽⁴⁾, 2000–01

(a) Victoria could not provide these data.

(b) This category may include other person with duty-of-care responsibility, guardians, other child, strangers and those people who have no particular relationship with the child.

(c) This category includes cases where the person believed responsible was not applicable.

859026EEL0