		on conversion of the standing Commune on conversion of the standing Commune	Page 1 of 5
		Submission No: 15.25	
Forbes	, Bev (REPS)	Date Received: 21-8-03	
From:			
Sent:	Thursday, 21 August 2003 8:15	PM	
To:	Committee, FCA (REPS)		
Subject:	Family Law Pathways Advisory	Group	
Standing C		nmunity Affairs	
dated 24 Ju received th me 1 day	e letter on the 7th August, 2003 a	r I received National to CMC Lassume (Canberr ed 5 Aug 2003 from the CMC Lassume (Canberr advising me that "Submissions are due by 8 Aug able with a matter which is so complex.	
have had le were preve now within to which I b would conti was presun place I would to fight to h	nted from relocated for a period of six months of that relocating has believe was a determining factor if inue after the relocation. This is of notion that children will spend eq and not be in the current position to	ly Law Court Court Party of the provident of the second s	ary, 2003 and previously agreed agreed to and place. If there in (a) (i) was in urt again to have
increasing of greedy for r possession	child support and a greater share money and things of value. They	reventing and relocation is being used as a source of family assets. It is in most but not all peoples also show that in possessing things of value or e they want. People do use the domestic violence event contact.	nature to be even taking
If the presu purpose an	mption of equal time was in place d more in line with what the laws	e this would prevent other laws from being used were put in place for.	for wrongful
role model	because some children do not ha hared care presumption would b	tore male teachers into our schools so that childr ave male role model at home. Perhaps your con e fixing other problems which is currently plaguir	nmittee if able to
later in life.	are given as much contact with bo I have heard people say things li y I knew then what I know, and s	oth parents as possible then I think there would b ike, I hardly new my father, I wish I had spent mo so on.	be less regrets ore time with
presumptio care arrang agreement. that there w	n was introduced it would greatly ements the other people see and This does not resolve all the pro	ng to obtain a Court Order to have contact. If the take away the need for this to occur. Most of the d have contact with the children with even having oblems but it certainly would go a long way towar eople (including grandparents) in the children's li	e time in shared g to reach an ds it. Having said

Section (a) (iii) relates to child support and it current formula. I do understand and generally agree with the concept of Child Support. But if I use my case as an example. My children were living close to me and I was paying the child support based on shared care under the current formula. I believe that the current formula needs to be reviewed. To put a few things in context. The other parent was receiving child support based on shared care, working part-time/casual able to earn up to \$1000 per fortnight plus single parent pension because she had more than 51% residence, or when she began to work and earning the equivalent of \$40,000 plus a company car which was not declared. Then to go to a situation where my

children whom I would like to live with me or close to me have then been taken away from where they have grown up there family and friends to be relocated. I then have to pay a large amount of additional money for the other parent to relocate and prevent me from see or having contact with my children. It just does not make sense.

If the equal time presumption was introduced then the Government would more than likely save bucket loads of money because the single parent pension would need to be payed to either parent.

As can be seen there is more benefits for a parent to prevent shared contact than for them to establish or encourage appropriate contact.

In fairness the scales need to be equally balanced before the legal arguments start tipping them one-way or the other.

I have attached a copy of an Email I have sent to the Change Of Assessment Team Leader of the Child Support Agency to give you an idea of what the current formula allows and creates. I have also found that if a parent is allowed to relocate and the paying parent needs to expend money for contact then the Child Support Agency puts the payer in a catch 22 situation were they need to establish and show that contact and the expenses relating to same, but also they expect the payer of Child Support to continue paying the Child Support at a level which does not take into account the money being expended. It also does not take into account the fact that after a suitable period to establish the contact costs has taken place but also that a change of assessment application then needs to be lodged and processed which takes an minimum of 3 months.

I make my comment with a greater understanding of Family and Community behaviour and laws that relate to same as I have been a serving Police Officer for more thatn 16 Years. I am not only reflecting on my situation but also my experiences I have had over the years as a Police Officer.

If I can be give any further assistance please contact me.

Regards