

Submission No: 1487

Date Received: 2-9-03

Secretary: .....

To Committee Secretariat  
Child Custody Arrangements Enquiry  
Family & Community Services Committee  
Dept House of Representatives  
Parliament House  
Canberra ACT 2600  
August 2003  
Submission 1 "FAMILY" COURT

Dear Sir,

I will keep this brief but note that the aim of the current enquiry is to look at the structure of existing arrangements, & it is this that I address my concerns.

I am separated & with one child, there are & have been disputes. Disputes are taken to court, the Family Court of Australia. I assumed that there was equality, but this is not the case. The Family Court of Australia is more interested in itself & legal practitioners than the principal parties concerned, it is biased, it has hearings in one parties absence (though the party is present, they are not included), it is corrupt as it denies the occurrence of these events (biased hearings) & ignores the evidence (court listing, transcripts & correspondence) & fabricates its own outcomes to justify its own "success".

As a father I have no confidence, no confidence, in the judicial system or its complaints procedures. Despite the need, I have not taken matters back to the biased, corrupt, fabricating self appraising "family court"; it exists in name only, it is there for self perpetuation & legal practitioners.

Mother, Father & child; it (the family court) is not there for any of them, a hearing is only a superficial patronising endurance of complex costly procedures that benefit's the court & legal practitioners. I've wasted my time effort & money, & feel that efforts to extend beyond the basic three (mother, father, child) would just be a further futile exercise when a court (biased court) conducts hearings in one parties absence, any hearing in that court is a superficial patronising costly complex endurance that has no integrity; it is biased & corrupt & I am ashamed that this is Australia at the Federal Judicial level.

Name withheld but

Yours sincerely

A large black rectangular redaction covering the signature and name of the sender.

4- the person that finally corrected it.  
No wonder you need CSA.

I pay maintenance, no objection, I object to CSA management & my involvement with them. I am tired of CSA mismanagement & rhetoric (verbal & printed). Refer to CSA publication enclosed [REDACTED]

Parents can move to private collection at any time. ( I can't)  
Where parents have a successful history of payments ( I have 11 years & paid into the future & I still can't be independent of CSA).

CSA does nothing to recognise private payments, in fact it denigrates them to create arrears (false arrears) to justify its own existence (false existence).

It overcharges, they say they have corrected, for how long I have no idea, some other johnny come lately CSA personnel be it Brisbane, Newcastle, Wollongong, Sydney, Penrith, Albury or Melbourne may change this without notice or details being provided & I will then be drawn into another "dispute" resolution of CSA making; & I will get to discuss etc this matter with another

johnny come lately CSA personnel be it in Brisbane, Newcastle, Wollongong, Sydney, Penrith, Albury or Melbourne

CSA is a complex beurecratic mismanaged eft system that is not needed.

They CSA create errors & it takes four people many months & years to recognise correct, reverse its CSA decisions & inconsistent load of horse dung.

As a payer I am better off ignoring them CSA, but I can't, I am involved, not by choice, given a choice I'd be out, their biased payers amount to they will fabricate excessive amounts, usurp payroll deductions or other means to obtain this, they will withhold payments if address is not known, but if I behaved this way I'd be in trouble. I want to pay direct, I can't CSA biased powers will not & has not encouraged this, they will not provide the address, (though entitled), they will not even provide bank details to bypass their agency, nor do they encourage this.

The agency perpetuates conflict & does not encourage or recognise payments.

After 11 years with CSA, this year they sent a letter for me to start paying from 1992.

Dwell on this, 11 years of their involvement, briefly summarised by them, they recognise nothing from a payer, the years of work, meeting commitments past, present & credited for the future, means nothing.

Thank you for your time, I am sick of wasting my time with CSA.

I look forward to the time I can support my child independent of CSA, the courts etc.

Where does CSA refer us to if a conflict arises? The court! Why have CSA ?

Curcumloquial bias that self perpetuates that keeps your system going, eg. CSA 4 people to correct its own errors, & today, just today, 1 ½ hours on the phone to CSA.

Thank you for your time, I am sick of wasting my time with CSA, they make me sick, & encourage less involvement & govt intervention in family matters.

Name withheld, but

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]