Submission No: 911	Wayne Caldwell 6 Geelong Crt Eagleby Old 4207 8 August 2003
Secretary:	

Dear Mrs Kay Hull MP

Please find enclosed a copy of my strongly worded letters to the Prime Minister, Hon John Howard dated 6 July 2003 and 10 July 2003.

These letters relates to inquiries and concerns I have towards the continued Child Support Agency actions against me, and the continuing injustices incurred

The language in these letters is somewhat severe, but most definitely not as severe as the reckless arrogant abuse being dealt out by the child Support Agency towards Australian families

As you read my letters to the Prime Minister Hon John Howard, apply these exposed injustices to the thousands of families across Australia, who are being abused by the CSA and the fascist injustice of the Child Support Scheme, and it's legalities.

The recipe for explosive violence in families across Australia is alarmingly obvious.

In Australia we protect children from violence, if need be, even from their parents.

Why has the Australian Parliament granted the Child Support Agency insane powers, that are abused in such a manner, as to create an atmosphere of incredible hate and violence around Australian families and their children!

Every Australian Parliamentarian is being sent a copy of these letters to the Prime Minister.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Yours sincerely Delall

Wayne Caldwell

Copies to parliament & media

Wayne Caldwell 6 Geelong Crt Eagleby Qld 4207 10 July 2003

Dear Prime Minister Hon John Howard

Just a quick update towards The CSA action against as related in my previous letter to you dated 6^{th} July 2003.

On the 9th July I was notified by my bank that over \$4700 had been stolen from my bank account by the CSA. My bank balance is now \$7. CSA access block still in place. Available balance \$0.00

Could the Prime Minister please explain to me the reasoning behind the method used in CSA's actions.

Dec 1998 CSA court action

1999 CSA clerk discussed sale of property with officers of the ITSA. Real-estate Offices were directed to inspect property as towards sale of the property. No CSA action towards my bank account.

1999-2000 CSA states they do not want to take my home

Oct 2002 CSA court action.

1 July 2003 CSA seemingly spiteful surprise attack towards my bank account.

Could the Prime advise me as to the CSA reasoning behind the CSA' conduct, 1998-2003, and the remarkably differing methods employed by the CSA as towards effecting the court orders 2003.

Could the Prime Minister as to when the CSA is now to initiate the sale of my home. It would be preferred that this should not be conducted as another unexpected "thief in the night" CSA exercise, similar to the CSA attack on my bank account.

Does the Prime Minister and parliament fail to comprehend the recipe for explosive violence, these types of reckless CSA actions must be creating in families across Australia.

Thank you for your attention to my concerns in this matter. Yours sincerely

Wayne Caldwell

Copies to parliament and media

Wayne Caldwell 6 Geelong Court Eagleby 4207 Qld 6 July 2003

Prime Minister Hon John Howard Parliament House Canberra Act

Dear Prime Minister

I would endeavour to bring for your attention an up-date of Federal Government Child Support Agency (CSA) actions against me. I trust that you will recall that in the past I have sent to you a virtual library of videos concerning the CSA's actions against me.

In fact over 200 videos overall have been sent to the Prime Minister, Parliament and the CSA itself.

I would inquire of the Prime Minister,

Is it within the guidelines of the Australian Constitution for the Federal Government to take Court Action against Australian Citizens, knowing the Federal accusation and evidence on which it bases it's accusation is obviously false, blatantly suspect to say the least, and that the outcome of such court action will result in incredible injustice.

Please permit me to give the slightest of recaps of earlier CSA actions as related in earlier videos sent to you.

On the 4th Dec 1998, the Csa took me the sole parent in this case, to the Magistrate's Court and made highly suspect if not obviously false accusation of debt, and then demanded no defence to be allowed.

I would suggest that any mature intelligent adult, knowing the accusation was indeed against the sole parent in this case, would have suspected an injustice was being committed.

Can there be any doubt that the CSA ego-maniac who initiated and completed the 4th Dec 1998 court action,

must have researched and known the history of this case, must have known that he was attacking the sole parent in this case, must have been aware of the suspect nature of his accusation and evidence?

Fact: Denial of Defence against unproven accusation exposes a ludicrous system resulting in injustice.

Following the CSA's slimy court action of the 4th Dec 1998 against a sole parent, over 200 videos were sent to the PM, Parliament and CSA.

The Prime Minister will recall, from the fully documented information in the videos, The arrogant, ignorant and pathetic CSA people skills were revealed in their extreme. Without any doubt whatsoever, after viewing the videos, Any mature intelligent adult should be able to comprehend that the CSA's accusation and evidence amounts to bullshit,

And an incredible injustice resulted from the CSA's slimy court action!

Please allow me to very, very briefly bring the Prime Minister up to date.

Instead of maturely and intelligently rectifying the obscenity of it's 4th Dec 1998 court action, The CSA, with most definite knowledge that it's accusation and evidence is highly suspect if not total bullshit, and it's consequence injustice, again on the 22nd Oct 2002, took its false accusation to the Magistrate's Court

Allow me to briefly describe the 22nd Oct 2002 court hearing.

CSA False accusation No CSA evidence presented or required. No defence allowed

Although 4 years had passed since the CSA's slimy 4th Dec 1998 court action, The 22nd Oct 2002 court hearing did not require any evidence whatsoever for the 1998-2002 period.

It is fact, no CSA evidence for this period, Dec 1998 to Oct 2002, has ever been presented in any court in Australia, and I most definitely have never seen it either.

A CSA certificate of debt, relating to the complete CSA false accusation, was presented to the court.

Any intelligent adult must most certainly conclude, that to consider a CSA Certificate of Debt to be evidence, you most definitely must have rocks in your head!

I inquire of you Prime Minister,

Is it within the requirements and guidelines of the Australian Constitution for the Federal Government, the CSA, knowing that it's accusation and evidence is alarmingly suspect if not complete non-sense, demand a second Kangaroo Court action such as the CSA court Action on the 22nd Oct 2002.

It is an Undeniable Fact that the CSA is most definitely aware that it's accusation and evidence is alarmingly suspect if not absolute garbage. The CSA is also aware that the result of its first egomaniac court action in 1998 resulted in incredible injustice.

And the CSA has most definitely been aware of this fact for a considerable time before it returned to the court on the 22nd Oct 2002.

I would inquire of the Prime Minister as to weather or not the Prime Minister and Australian Parliament might consider that the CSA should pay for their slimy court actions during which they demanded injustice, and then for once go to the court in search of true justice and let their "evidence" be tested. Does the Prime Minister support bullshit and Child Support Scheme legalities, or does he demand truth and justice and the Australian Constitution?

Please allow me to bring the Prime Minister right up to date on how the CSA treats people and families who have the guts to refuse to bend and grovel to the tyranny of the CSA, and the enforced fascist injustices that results from the kangaroo court.

On the 1st July 2003, I unexpectedly discovered that the CSA had taken action that resulted in the blocking of access and transactions my personal daily bank. My bank has told me, that this is now common practice as regards the CSA.

I trust the Prime Minister is able to comprehend that in the 21st century, the families of Australia carry plastic in their wallets, not cash, for our most basic living requirements. eg: Food or indeed EMERGENCIES!!!,

I do hope that the Prime Minister can comprehend the consequences of this type of Federal Government action.

Especially the effect and dangers as regards Australian Families!

2.60

Little children, accidents and unexpected emergencies go hand in hand. Ask any doctor, in any emergency ward in any hospital in Australia. In emergency situation involving little children, minute's indeed even seconds count in preventing a tragedy.

Murphy's Law Prime Minister. If there is a possibility of something happening, it will happen. It's only a matter of time.

Under the circumstances the Federal Government and CSA are creating, the possibilities for tragedy in families across Australia are endless.

Is it possible that the thoughtless dickheads of the Federal Government and CSA need to discuss the endless possibilities for tragedy with some of the emergency care professionals or any mature intelligent adult or parent?

Unexpected emergencies and unexpected penniless poverty is a definite recipe for disaster.

It seems to be a case of cooperate with the CSA or the safety of you family and children will be jeopardised by CSA actions justifiable through court orders based solely on CSA bullshit.

The welfare of Australian families and Australian children are not given a second thought when the CSA starts "helping parents manage their responsibilities".

In my case, I am amazed that although the CSA, through it's slimy court actions has court orders for the sale of my home, the CSA instead of enforcing this ill-gotten gain, has attacked me in a virtual physical and spiteful manner, via the back door. Fact: My personal daily bank account will definitely not satisfy the obscene injustice demanded by the Kangaroo Court orders!

Fact: The sale of my home would indeed satisfy the CSA insane egomania, greed and spite.

Fact: In CSA letters and phone calls to me, the CSA has offered to settle the matter of the false CSA debt for a fraction of the amount demanded by in their ill-gotten kangaroo court orders.

A CSA in-house settlement, don't worry about the court orders.

Of course the CSA considers itself God when it comes to the kangaroo courts and the Child Support Scheme!!

When considering the facts, any mature intelligent adult must be suspicious if not absolutely convinced that the CSA's block on my daily bank account is nothing more that a CSA egomaniac assault designed to demand that I cooperate and grovel to the CSA.

I am most definitely not interested in any back room CSA deals.

Fact: In so many letters that the CSA has sent to me, the CSA has stated it does not want to take my home yet the CSA has not returned to the court to rectify the insane injustice of it's own creation. This of course would be the mature, intelligent and moral course of action.

The court is where the injustice was committed, the court is where the CSA can for once act responsibly and rectify their injustice!

But of course the mature, intelligent, responsible and moral course is not acceptable to CSA egomaniacs that thrive on playing God. These egomaniacs returned to the court on the 22nd Oct 2002 and produced a better railroad job than they did the first time round. This time they didn't even need to produce any of their bullshit "evidence". But of course the CSA did employ a barrister for the 22nd Oct 2002 kangaroo court hearing so as to ensure their railroad job ran smoothly.

And my bank tells me that CSA bank blocks are being applied to families across Australia.

Can the Prime Minister and the boys and girls in Parliament imagine the scenario if tragedy does result from CSA bank blocks being enforced upon families leaving those families unexpectedly penniless in an emergency situation.

What would be the CSA's most "sincere and compassionate" response. Of course the CSA could defend its reckless action by saying they were totally unaware of the circumstances and had no information as towards the true situation.

And for once they would dealing with the truth Because the CSA has no information towards any true situation whatsoever, And the information that it does have, and indeed recklessly acts upon, Amounts to utter bullshit.

The same or similar bullshit it took to court on the 4th Dec 1998.

Please allow me to give the Prime Minister an ultra brief recap of the facts.

4 Dec 1998 Slimy CSA EgoManiac court action against sole parent, CSA false accusation, CSA "evidence" and the CSA demand for total refusal of defence resulted in injustice outside the guidelines of the Australian Constitution!

1998-2000 200 videos sent to PM, Parliament and CSA

22 Oct 2002 Although CSA is well aware that their accusation and evidence is highly suspect if not complete bullshit and produces incredible injustice, the CSA again takes its case to the Magistrates court.

False CSA accusation No evidence was required No defence allowed Bullshit accusation and Child Support Scheme slimy legalities Again produces injustice!

1st July 2003 CSA action ill-gotten court orders, recklessly creating access block on daily bank account leaving their victim virtually penniless towards most basic living requirements.

Is it possible the Prime Minister remembers this phrase from the past? Shame, shame, shame!

Without any doubt, the people who are being terrorised by the CSA, for refusing to accept the tyranny and injustices of the CSA, have a hell of a lot more guts than the parliament that refuses to address the reckless excesses of the CSA and the insane injustice of the kangaroo court! What's parliament's problem?

Could I inquire of the Prime Minister as to the international treaties and conventions, concerning the Child Support Scheme, the Australian Government has signed with foreign powers.

Do these treaties demand that Australia operate a Child Support Scheme in its current form with its related deaths, abuse and alarming injustices? Is there any confusion or conflict of loyalties possible, as is to what is demanded by these treaties and what is detrimental to the Australian people?

When considering the number of suicides, deaths, injustices and disharmony resulting from the CSA's operation of the scheme,

And the seemingly shocking situation of the Parliament apparently collectively shitting it's pants when it come to decisively dealing with the ills of the Scheme, I am compelled to ask of the Prime Minister and the Federal Government,

Is the safety of the Australian people number one priority or international treaties? Is the Australian Constitution number one priority or treaties with foreign powers? Is the Australian Government and parliament sure it's sitting on the right side of the fence and not batting for the other side so to speak? I do understand that none of the above comments may be a reality, and my questions may not be relevant whatsoever.

Like looking for the commo under the bed, so to speak.

But all the deaths, abuse and injustices of the scheme demands that something just isn't right.

Let's ensure there are no "fiddling of the books" as the where the Australian Government's loyalties should lie!

If the government's loyalty is even slightly questionable

And not completely towards the Australian people {especially in regard to safety and well being and justice for the Australian people}, and the Australian Constitution, but instead is directed towards a foreign power via any treaty or convention, the ugly shadow of treason seem to arise.

I inquire of the Prime Minister,

Why isn't the Australian Parliament riotously up in arms concerning the deaths, abuse and injustices of the Child Support Scheme and the tyrannical conduct of the CSA?

In closing, could the Prime Minister please have provided to me the CSA "evidences" for the period 4 Dec 1998 to 22 Oct 2002 which were neither required or produced to the ridiculous 2002 court hearing.

These CSA documents should prove to be an interesting addition for an up-coming video titled "Weak as Piss". The Prime Minister must acknowledge from my earlier videos that unlike the CSA, I most definitely strive to ensure the information on my videos is well documented, without any lie in it.

The Prime Minister's assistance in answering my questions and addressing the concerns I have raised would indeed be greatly appreciated.

ТС нг _л

Yours sincerely

Wayne Caldwell

copies to parliament & media