

FROM : 'Elements Florists Canb' ---

PHONE NO : 0732456466
0732456466

SUBMISSION NO. 24 ---

PAGE I

7.3.05

Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters
Submission No. 24
Date Received 9.3.2005
Secretary [Signature]

PETER STIPHOUT
85 CORONATION AVE
NAMBOUR, QLD
4560

THE SECRETARY
JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON ELECTORAL MATTERS
PARLIAMENT HOUSE
CANBERRA ACT 2600
FAX 02 6277 4710

DEAR SIR/MADAM,

ON ADVICE FROM H.E.C. DIRECTOR HELEN MUNTEM
REGARDING MY COMPLAINT OF ERRONEOUS COUNTING IN
ELECTORAL PROCEDURES, I MAKE THE FOLLOWING SUBMISSION
TO THE J.S.C.E.M.

MY SUBMISSION IS CLEAR AND SIMPLE, THE RIGHT TO VOTE
NO CONFIDENCE, IN ANY AND ALL CANDIDATES AT FEDERAL ELECTIONS.

THE A.E.C. OFFERS THE ADVICE AND IN QUOTE,
"THE ELECTORAL ACT DOES NOT PERMIT A 'NO CONFIDENCE VOTE'.
THE A.E.C. CANNOT PROMOTE A TYPE OF VOTE THAT IS NOT CURRENTLY
PERMITTED BY THE ELECTORAL ACT."

I SUBMIT THAT THIS STANCE IS AN ERRONEOUS JUDGEMENT
BY THE A.E.C. FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON.

THE COMMONWEALTH ELECTORAL ACT 1918, DOES NOT,
AND HAS NEVER, PROHIBITED THE USE OF A 'NO CONFIDENCE VOTE'.
ON THE GROUNDS THAT, IF IT IS NOT PROHIBITED BY LAW THEN
IT MUST BE PERMISSABLE BY LAW, THEN THE A.E.C. IS CLEARLY
IN ERROR.

I WOULD ALSO SUBMIT THAT THE RIGHT TO VOTE NO CONFIDENCE
IS IN CLEAR AGREEMENT WITH COMMON LAW PRINCIPLE, AND THAT
THERE HAS BEEN MANY AND NUMEROUS EXAMPLES OR PRECEDENTS
OF NO CONFIDENCE VOTES IN FEDERAL PARLIAMENT ITSELF.

PAGE II

FURTHERMORE I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION DOES NOT PROHIBIT THE USE OF A 'NO CONFIDENCE VOTE, FOR GOOD REASON.

FOR UNDER A TWO PARTY PREFERRED ELECTORAL SYSTEM, WHEN THE ELECTOR(S) BELIEVE THE TWO PREFERRED PARTYS/ CANDIDATES AND/OR POLICIES ARE INCOMPETENT AND/OR CORRUPT AND DO NOT WISH TO VOTE 'INFORMAL' THEN THE ELECTOR(S) MUST HAVE THE CHOICE TO VOTE 'NO CONFIDENCE' IN ANY OR ALL CANDIDATES, OR BE FORCED NOT TO VOTE AT ALL.

ELSEWISE, THE ELECTOR(S) RIGHT TO BE CLEARLY HEARD AND VOTE, ACCURATELY COUNTED, AT THE MOST BASIC LEVEL, THE BALLOT BOX, HAS BEEN CLEARLY VOIDED.

TO PUT THAT IN ANOTHER, MORE SUCCINCT WAY, WITHOUT THE CHOICE TO VOTE 'NO CONFIDENCE', IN A TWO PARTY PREFERRED SYSTEM WHERE BOTH PARTY'S ARE CONSIDERED CORRUPT AND/OR INCOMPETENT, THE ELECTOR(S) CAN REASONABLY BE SAID TO HAVE BEEN FORCED TO CHOOSE THE MANNER OF THEIR OWN DEATH (FRYING PAN OR FIRE) WHILST THE THIRD AND MOST OBVIOUS CHOICE HAS BEEN TAKEN FROM THEM.

THE FRYING PAN OR FIRE IS NO CHOICE AT ALL. THE RIGHT TO CHOOSE TO VOTE 'NO CONFIDENCE IN THOSE WHO MAKE THE LAWS, IS REAL CHOICE.

ABOVE ALL, A 'NO CONFIDENCE VOTE' IS AN INVALUABLE POLITICAL TOOL AVAILABLE TO ALL AUSTRALIAN ELECTORS. ITS SOLE PURPOSE TO IMPROVE COMMUNICATION BETWEEN PARLIAMENT AND THE PEOPLE SO THAT THE ELECTORS REALLY DO GET THE GOVERNMENT THEY DESERVE.

YOURS FAITHFULLY
P. STIPHOUT.