The Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia

Covering Your Arts

Art Indemnity in Australia

House of Representatives Standing Committee on Communications, Transport and the Arts

August 2001

Canberra

© Commonwealth of Australia 2001 ISBN [Click **here** and type ISBN Number]

Contents

Ме	embership of the Committee	V
Re	ecommendations	vii
1	Art Indemnity in Australia	1
	The Commonwealth scheme	1
	Purpose	1
	Managing organisations	2
	Guidelines	3
	The application process	4
	Cover	5
	Premiums	5
	Comments on the new Comcover arrangements	7
	State indemnity schemes	9
2	Access to Art Indemnity Australia	11
	The issue	11
	Arguments for limiting access to two Commonwealth agencies	11
	Opening up access to the States	
	Conclusion	15
3	Distribution of exhibitions	19
	The Issue	19
	Distribution history	19
	Is distribution equitable?	20
	Working on equitable distribution	22

Conclusions	22
Appendix A - Inquiry process & lists of submissions, exhibits and witnesses	25
Inquiry process	25
List of submissions	26
List of exhibits	26
List of witnesses at public hearings	26
Appendix B - Applications to AIA	29
Appendix C - Summary of State and Territory Indemnity Schemes	31

iv

Membership of the Committee

Chair P	aul Neville MP
---------	----------------

- Deputy Chair Steve Gibbons MP
- Members Gary Hardgrave MP
 - Hon David Jull MP
 - Peter Lindsay MP
 - Frank Mossfield MP
 - Stuart St Clair MP

- Colin Hollis MP (to 9/10/2000)
- Kirsten Livermore MP (from 9/10/2000)
- Stewart McArthur MP
- John Murphy MP

Committee Secretariat

Secretary	Grant Harrison
Inquiry Secretary	Susan Cardell
Administrative Officer	Katie Hobson

Recommendations

Recommendation 1

The Minister for the Arts should ask the Minister for Finance and Administration to exempt Art Indemnity Australia from the Commonwealth's general policy of taking commercial insurance to cover exposed risk and to reinstitute self-insurance arrangements for the scheme (paragraph 1.47).

Recommendation 2

In preparing their five-year exhibition schedules, Art Exhibitions Australia and the National Gallery of Australia should pay more attention to developing further partnership exhibition proposals with State art galleries (paragraph 2.27).

Recommendation 3

In considering the five-year exhibition schedules proposed by the two managing organisations, the Minister for the Arts should consider allowing one-venue exhibitions to qualify for coverage under Art Indemnity Australia where they are to coincide with special events of State significance (paragraph 2.28).

Recommendation 4

In considering the five-year exhibition schedules proposed by the two managing organisations, the Minister for the Arts should pay particular attention to ensuring an equitable geographic distribution of Art Indemnity Australia indemnified exhibitions (paragraph 3.20).

Recommendation 5

In responding to this report, the Minister for the Arts should report to Parliament on the outcome of the review initiated by the Cultural Minister's Council into ways of ensuring an equitable geographic distribution of Art Indemnity Australia indemnified exhibitions (paragraph 3.21).