Rose Hylton 1 Paruna Pl, Cromer NSW 2099

16 July, 2002

'Mhout Agudia'

DECEIVE 17 JUL 2002 BY: Job Gared

Submission 19

To whom it may concern,

Although I remain, like many other constituents exceedingly cynical regarding any claims to improve the legal system and subsequently crime prevention within Australia, I have decided to once again attempt to be heeded in a constructive manner for 'victims' of same because there will always be victims, of this there is no doubt.

Enclosed with this response are just a few concerns raised by those few alleged experts concerned about same and copies of more recent examples of my own. My deliberate and enforced criminal ordeals never reached a court of law and in a way, I can see why, as self-regulation is indeed the single largest travesty of TRUE justice within the claimed to be best on offer, the Westminster Legal system inherent from the U.K. initially.

It is very unlikely that victims of elite and professional criminality and/or of any ignorance of the law to the present time, gain access to legal justice.. This double standard continues as on one hand, it is a service being on offer whilst it is being claimed that the client 'instructs.' Such deliberate negligence by alleged expert controls will obstruct access to true justice as long as, no 'constructive for the consumer' bill of rights exists within the Australian constitution. The old U.K. Laird versus serf mentality is indeed alive and well within the Australian elite power systems. This includes that 'victims' be experts of the legal system as no ignorance/lack of understanding excuse is permitted. When/where one is a victim of sexual violence it is deemed to be a crime against 'the state' whilst it is the victim put on trial and expected to be expert in law knowledge

There exist plenty of examples of criminality within 'elite' circles e.g. rarely the elite in charge of, let alone, involved in, criminal action/s, whether of violent physical or financially fraudulent etiology is put on trial within a court of law. This will continue as long as no 'consumer' legal rights are implemented within the Australian constitution. Win or lose scenarios are a double standard preventing access to equality and true justice.

The next vital step for improvement is within the system of training and selection of Judges etc... They are not trained to be investigative The fact that being human, they do bring their own biases into play whilst no guidelines exist for in particular 'protection' of alleged victims within both public and private controlled institutions. when/where such alleged expert legal bias often comes into play within the court/legal system.

Access to and until completion, claimed 'justice' must not be based on any victim's financial/legal knowledge status as exists to date via the user pays system of control by elitists, who do not have this concern except where monetary self interest exists and who continue to self regulate.

The destructive domino affect that works against victims of violent crime as mentioned within the enclosed will never be 'prevented' as long as 'protection' is afforded by those who aid and abet same for whatever antiwhistleblowing controls in existence by purely power mongers within or in charge of same.

Governments can continually, every so often, hold inquiries but without follow up action remain and deliberately, toothless tigers for the consumers/victims of criminality and their true legal rights status. Encouraging the outdated antiquated and useless (for the consumer/victim in particular,) Laird versus serf mentality is well known to have come into existence for the Royalty of the time, which has nothing to do with 'justice' as claimed to exist within schizoid establishments/bureaucracies.

Yours truly, Refe Myllon . Rose Hylton (an old RN.)

Knel: 1) The AUST BALIAN BLINDNESS ABOUT RIGHTS (3) 2) AUSTROLIAN LAW (2) 3) 16 5-02 lettes to Mad. Rof (3) + pant of response to some 4) 25-6.02 " Lobological mothers (non supportive (re exclosed) 4) 25-6.02 " Lobological mothers (non supportive (re exclosed) 4) 25-6.02 " Lobological mothers (non supportive (re exclosed)