

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN

LET ME SAY AT THE OUTSET HOW GRATEFUL I AM FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COME BEFORE YOU TO OUTLINE WHAT I AND OTHERS CONSIDER TO BE EXTREMELY SERIOUS CORRUPTION ALLEGATIONS THAT STRIKE AT THE HEART OF THE SYSTEM OF JUSTICE AND GOVERNMENT IN THIS STATE AND HAVE, I BELIEVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE CURRENT FEAR OF CRIME THAT APPEARS TO PERMEATE TODAY'S SOCIETY.

CONSIDERING THE ISSUES THAT I INTEND TO RAISE ARE VERY COMPLEX IT IS ONLY POSSIBLE IN THE SHORT TIME AVAILABLE TO ME IN MAKING MY OPENING ADDRESS TO TOUCH ON THEM IN THE BRIEFEST WAY.

I SEEK YOUR INDULGANCE IN ALLOWING ME ENOUGH TIME IN THIS SPEECH TO PROVIDE YOU WITH ENOUGH DETAIL TO BEGIN TO UNDERSTAND WHAT MY ALLEGATIONS INVOLVE.

THE CORE OF MY ALLEGATIONS INVOLVE CORRUPT ACTIVITIES OF MEMBERS OF THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION, INCLUDING LAWYERS AND SECONDED INTERSTATE POLICE OFFICERS AND THEY EMBODY:

- THE INTIMIDATION OF WITNESSES
- THE FABRICATION OF EVIDENCE
- THEIR TOTAL LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY TO ANYONE OR ANY GOVERNING BODY
- THAT THEIR CORRUPT ACTIONS AMOUNTED TO AN ABUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND WERE TORTURE AS DEFINED IN THE UNITED NATIONS CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS

FINALLY, AND ON THE SUBJECT OF HUMAN RIGHTS, THE COMMISSION MUST BE MADE ACCOUNTABLE FOR THE POSSIBLE OVERDOSE DEATHS OF HEROIN ADDICTS AT KINGS CROSS AS A RESULT OF ONE OF THEIR OPERATIONS IN 1996 TOGETHER WITH THE DOUBLE DIGIT SUICIDES THAT RESULTED FROM THE ROYAL COMMISSION.

I HAVE COME TO YOU TODAY TO RAISE ISSUES THAT HAVE BEEN HIDDEN AWAY FOR TOO LONG BY THOSE WITH VESTED INTERESTS.

THIS IS THE ONLY GOVERNMENT BODY THAT HAS BEEN WILLING TO HEAR THESE SERIOUS ALLEGATIONS OF CORRUPTION AND INTIMIDATION THAT I AM RAISING.

ONE OF THE PROBLEMS WITH THIS ISSUE IS THAT MANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO WORKED AT THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION HAVE GONE TO OTHER SIMILAR BODIES (NOTABLY THE WA ROYAL COMMISSION AND THE BUILDING INDUSTRY TASK FORCE), OTHERS HAVE BEEN ELEVATED TO JUDGES.

INDEED ONE OF THE INVESTIGATORS WHO FABRICATED EVIDENCE IN OUR MATTER, DETECTIVE PHILLIP STEVENS OF THE QUEENSLAND POLICE FORCE IS OR WAS WORKING AT THE QUEENSLAND CRIME COMMISSION, WHICH, IRONICALLY ENOUGH INVESTIGATES ALLEGATIONS OF POLICE AND GOVERNMENT CORRUPTION IN QUEENSLAND!

THE MEDIA HAS MADE MUCH OVER THE YEARS ABOUT OF THE ACTIONS OF THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION IN SUPPOSEDLY CLEANING UP THE POLICE FORCE AND THE STATE GOVERNMENT HAS RIDDEN ON THE BACK OF THE REFORM PROCESS. THIS IS EVIDENCED IN A NEWS REPORT IN THE SYDNEY MORNING HERALD OF THE 25TH JULY 2002 WHERE IT STATES THAT THE CARR GOVERNMENT HAS APPLAUDED ITSELF FOR IMPLEMENTING NEARLY ALL JUSTICE WOOD'S RECOMMENDATIONS".

YET WHAT THE PUBLIC AND OTHERS DON'T KNOW WAS THAT THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION INDULGED IN TACTICS THAT WERE WORSE THAN THOSE ALLEGEDLY USED BY THE SO-CALLED CORRUPT POLICE THAT THEY SET OUT TO INVESTIGATE.

YOU MAY WONDER AT MY USE OF THE WORD 'CORRUPT' IN DESCRIBING THE TACTICS OF THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION. I AM MERELY USING THE DEFINITION OF CORRUPTION AS DEFINED BY JUSTICE WOOD HIMSELF WHO STATED THAT:

...CORRUPTIONINCLUDES PARTICIPATION BY A MEMBER OF THE POLICE SERVICEAN INCIDENT OF WHICH THAT MEMBER; OR ANY OTHER MEMBER:

- **FABRICATES OR PLANTS EVIDENCE, GIVES FALSE EVIDENCE OR APPLIES TRICKERY, EXCESSIVE FORCE OR THREATS OR OTHER IMPROPER TACTICS TO PROCURE A CONFESSION OR CONVICTION OR IMPROPERLY INTERFERES WITH OR SUBVERTS THE PROSECUTION PROCESS.**

IN EACH CASE, THE RELEVANT CONDUCT IS CONSIDERED TO BE CORRUPT, WHETHER MOTIVATED BY AN EXPECTATION OF FINANCIAL OR PERSONAL BENEFIT OR NOT, AND WHETHER SUCCESSFUL OR NOT
NEW SOUTH WALES (1997, P.25)

ALTHOUGH HE REFERS IN THIS DEFINITION TO MEMBERS OF THE POLICE FORCE, I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THIS WOULD APPLY EQUALLY TO THE LAWYERS AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION HIERARCHY WHO INDULGED AND PERMITTED THESE ACTIVITIES TO PROSPER UNDER THE GUISE OF RESPECTABILITY. AS I CONTINUE MY NARRATIVE I WOULD ADVISE YOU TO KEEP THIS DEFINITION OF CORRUPTION IN YOUR MINDS.

LET ME NOW GET TO SOME SPECIFICS. HOW, ONE WOULD ASK, DID THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION FABRICATE EVIDENCE.

IN MY CASE THEY INSERTED ENTIRE PHRASES INTO THE WITNESS'S STATEMENT, WHICH WERE NOT ATTRIBUTABLE TO THAT ACTUAL WITNESS. THEY THEN FORCED THE WITNESS BY INTIMIDATION TO SIGN THE STATEMENT ON THE THREAT OF GOING TO GAOL THEMSELVES.

IN OUR CASE YOU WILL RECEIVE ONE OF THESE STATEMENTS THAT SHOWS THAT 75 % OF THE STATEMENT WAS FABRICATED.

THAT FABRICATED STATEMENT AND THE OTHER 3 DOCUMENTS OF SIMILAR QUALITY FROM THE OTHER WITNESSES WERE PRODUCED TO A LOCAL COURT MAGISTRATE IN JUNE 1999 BY A MEMBER OF THE POLICE INTEGRITY COMMISSION NAMED IAN TORRENCE. BY PRODUCING THIS EVIDENCE TO THE MAGISTRATE IT WAS CLEARLY DESIGNED TO MISLEAD HIM.

AS A RESULT SUMMONSES WERE ISSUED AGAINST THE FIVE OF US CHARGING US WITH EXTREMELY SERIOUS CRIMINAL OFFENCES THAT, HAD WE BEEN CONVICTED WOULD HAVE SEEN US RECEIVE A SUBSTANTIAL CUSTODIAL SENTENCE.

IT WAS ONLY WHEN THE FULL GLARE OF CROSS-EXAMINATION WAS SHONE ONTO THE EVIDENCE OF THESE WITNESSES SOME 4 YEARS AFTER THE ROYAL COMMISSION THAT THE CORRUPT TACTICS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INVESTIGATORS BECAME EVIDENT

AT THE END OF THE COMMITTAL PROCEEDINGS AT THE DOWNING CENTRE WHICH LASTED A FULL 13 DAYS ALL CHARGES AGAINST US WERE DISMISSED BY THE MAGISTRATE AND WE WERE FREE. THE MAGISTRATE COMMENTED AT THE TIME ON THE IMMUNITIES ISSUED TO WITNESSES AND THE ADAPTION OF ORIGINAL WITNESSES STATEMENTS, WHICH I HAVE ALREADY ALLUDED TO IN THE CASE OF YM 3.

OUR CASE IS THE BEST EXAMPLE, SO FAR, OF THE ILLEGAL AND CORRUPT ACTIONS OF OFFICERS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION. I KNOW THERE IS A LEGION OF SIMILAR STORIES BY POLICE AND CIVILIANS THAT CAN CORROBORATE AND EXPAND ON MY OWN ALLEGATIONS. YET, UP UNTIL NOW THERE HAS BEEN NO AGENCY, NO GOVERNMENT BODY, NO ONE WHO HAS SHOWN THE SLIGHTEST INTEREST IN THIS SITUATION.

IT SHOULD BE POINTED OUT THAT THESE WERE NOT RENEGADE INVESTIGATORS HELD BENT ON DOING THEIR OWN THING. THIS WAS HIERARCHICAL, GOING THROUGH TO PERSONS WHO WERE CLOSEST TO JUSTICE WOOD HIMSELF.

IN ONE INSTANCE ONE OF MY COLLEAGUES WAS CONTACTED AT HIS HOME LATE AT NIGHT BY INVESTIGATOR DAVID MCGINLAY WHO STATED THAT HE WAS ACTING ON BEHALF OF MR JAMES BLACK, COUNSEL ASSISTING JUSTICE WOOD.

IN THIS INSTANCE THIS COLLEAGUE OF MINE WAS INTIMIDATED BY MCGINLAY WHO INDICATED TO HIM THAT THEY WANTED HIM TO CHANGE HIS EVIDENCE TO SOMETHING THAT WOULD SUIT THEIR PURPOSES.

BEFORE LEAVING THIS POINT MY OVERALL CONCERN WITH THIS WHOLE SITUATION IS THAT THE ROYAL COMMISSION INVESTIGATORS ARE UNACCOUNTABLE BY VIRTUE OF AN ACT OF PARLIAMENT.

UNDER SECTION 37K OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION ACT 1994 NO COMPLAINT CAN BE MADE ABOUT THE CONDUCT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION. THIS IS CONFIRMED BY CORRESPONDANCE RECEIVED FROM THE NSW POLICE IN OCTOBER 2001 AND I WILL TENDER A COPY OF THE LETTER RECEIVED FROM THE POLICE, TOGETHER WITH A COPY OF SECTION 37K OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION ACT 1994/

THIS LACK OF ACCOUNTABILITY OF PEOPLE HOLDING SUCH POWERFUL POSITIONS MAKES A MOCKERY OF THE NOTION THAT "NO ONE IS ABOVE THE LAW" IN AUSTRALIA. ONE CANNOT HELP BUT WONDER IS DETECTIVES MCGINLAY AND STEPHENS OCCUPY SOME SPECIAL STATUS NOT AVAILABLE TO OTHER CITIZENS OR POLICE OFFICERS IN NEW SOUTH WALES.

WHY HAS THIS HAPPENED TO US AND OTHERS?

I HONESTLY THINK THAT THERE WAS AN ALMOST 'SLASH AND BURN' MENTALITY IN THE JUDICIARY AND THE MEDIA AT THE TIME OF THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION.

INDEED IT EVEN SEEMS THAT IN THIS MENTALITY THE DPP WENT AGAINST THEIR OWN GUIDELINES IN PROSECUTING US. NOT ONLY DID THEY APPEAR TO GO AGAINST THEIR OWN GUIDELINES THEY ALSO TOTALLY IGNORED A LARGE AMOUNT OF AVAILABLE TESTED AND SWORN EVIDENCE THAT SUPPORTED OUR VERSION OF EVENTS.

ANY REVIEW OF THE EVIDENCE WILL PROVE THAT NICHOLAS COWDERY'S COMMENTS TO THE SYDNEY MORNING HERALD ON THE 2ND JULY 2001 THAT THE "...OFFICERS HAD INITIALLY BEEN CHARGED ON THE BELIEF THAT THERE WAS A 'REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION'". THIS STATEMENT FLIES IN THE FACE OF WHAT REALLY DID HAPPEN.

IT SEEMS THAT INTIMIDATION AND HARRASSMENT WERE WELL PRACTISED BY THE ROYAL COMMISSION

IT WAS NO SECRET THAT OFFICERS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION WOULD VISIT WITNESSES HOMES AT CHRISTMAS TIME, ANNIVERSARIES, CHILDRENS BIRTHDAYS ETC TO ISSUE SUMMONSES TO THEM TO APPEAR AT THE COMMISSION. THIS WAS DONE WITH ONLY ONE THING IN MIND.

ONE POLICE OFFICER SERVING A GAOL SENTENCE AT BERRIMA GAOL WHO WAS VISITED BY OFFICERS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION AND WAS ASKED TO PROVIDE INFORMATION REGARDING ALLEGED CORRUPTION IN HIS AREA AND HE INFORMED THEM THAT HE COULD NOT HELP THEM. HE WAS THEN TRANSFERRED STRAIGHT TO GOULBURN GAOL AMONG MURDERERS, RAPISTS AND ARMED ROBBERS.

GIVEN THE FACT THAT HE WAS A POLICE OFFICER THIS TRANSFER WAS CLEARLY DESIGNED TO PLACE HIM IN FEAR OF HIS LIFE.

THE CASE OF CLINTON MOLLER, WHO COMMITTED SUICIDE IN GAOL IS ANOTHER ONE.

THE CASE OF DETECTIVE RAY MCDUGALL OF THE MAJOR CRIME SQUAD SOUTH IS ANOTHER CLASSIC CASE. ALLEGATIONS OF CORRUPTION WERE LEVELLED AT THIS OFFICER AND AS A RESULT HIS TELEPHONE WAS TAPPED. DURING THESE CALLS THE ROYAL COMMISSION ASCERTAINED THAT HE WAS HAVING AN AFFAIR. MCDUGALL WAS THREATENED ABOUT THIS AND EVEN HIS WIFE WAS BROUGHT TO THE HEARING ROOMS IN AN ATTEMPT TO FURTHER INTIMIDATE HIM AND USE THE AFFAIR HE WAS HAVING AS LEVERAGE.

MCDUGALL WAS FIT AND HEALTHY BUT HIS HEALTH DECLINED AFTER THIS INCIDENT AND HE ENDED PASSING AWAY SOME TIME LATER, AS A RESULT OF MOTOR NEURONE DISEASE. THE CRIMINAL WHO MADE THE ORIGINAL ALLEGATIONS RECANTED AND SAID THEY WERE NOT TRUE.

IS IT ANY WONDER THEN THAT AT LEAST A DOZEN PEOPLE DIRECTLY CONNECTED WITH THE ROYAL COMMISSION HAVE COMMITTED SUICIDE. IT SHOULD BE POINTED OUT THAT THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION WOULD HAVE KNOWN THE EFFECTS ON WITNESSES, PARTICULARLY POLICE WELL BEFORE THEY EMBARKED ON THIS ENTERPRISE. IN FACT I WOULD SUBMIT THAT THEY DID KNOW AND DID NOTHING ABOUT IT TO CONTINUE THEIR INTIMIDATION OF WITNESSES.

IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE THAT THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION STUDIED SIMILAR OVERSEAS CORRUPTION COMMISSIONS, SUCH AS THE KNAPP COMMISSION IN AMERICA WERE RIFE WITH POLICE SUICIDES.

KNOWING HOW THE ROYAL COMMISSION FABRICATED EVIDENCE AGAINST MYSELF AND MY COMRADES MAKES ME THINK "WERE WE THE ONLY ONES THAT THEY DID IT TO?" AND IF SO WHY WERE WE SPECIAL. MY ANSWER IS THAT "NO, WE COULD NOT HAVE BEEN SPECIAL. IT HAD TO HAVE HAPPENED TO OTHERS AS WELL"

IF THEN THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION FABRICATED EVIDENCE AGAINST US, HOW MANY OF THE PEOPLE WHO COMMITTED SUICIDE DID SO AS A RESULT OF FABRICATED EVIDENCE?

JUST THINK OF IT-12 PEOPLE COMMITTING SUICIDE. IF THERE WAS A MINE DISASTER INVOLVING 12 PEOPLE, A TRAIN OR PLANE CRASH INVOLVING 12 PEOPLE AN IMMEDIATE ROYAL COMMISSION WOULD BE CALLED AND SET UP. BUT NOT IN THIS CASE.

YOU MIGHT SAY 'DOES THE GOVERNMENT KNOW ABOUT THIS' / 'WOULD THE GOVERNMENT KNOW ABOUT THIS?'

THE STATE GOVERNMENT DOES INDEED KNOW ABOUT THIS MATTER BUT CONTINUES TO DO NOTHING ABOUT IT IN THE HOPE THAT IT WILL GO AWAY.

IT HAS BEEN NEARLY 19 YEARS SINCE THE ORIGINAL INCIDENT INVOLVING THE PAEDOPHILE CAT BURGLAR, NEARLY 7 YEARS SINCE THE ROYAL COMMISSION AND NEARLY 2 YEARS SINCE THE CHARGES AGAINST US WERE DISMISSED. THIS WILL NOT GO AWAY AND PEOPLE SHOULD REALISE THAT.

THE CURRENT MINISTER OF POLICE, MR COSTA WAS NOTIFIED WELL OVER 12 MONTHS AGO IN CONSIDERABLE DETAIL ABOUT THE CORRUPT TACTICS OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION, THE FABRICATION OF EVIDENCE, THE ABUSE OF HUMAN RIGHTS AND THE SUICIDES. THE PREVIOUS MINISTER OF POLICE, MR WHELAN WAS ALSO NOTIFIED, AS WAS THE OMBUDSMAN AND THE NSW POLICE. EVEN THE COUNCIL FOR CIVIL LIBERTIES WAS NOTIFIED.

AMONG THE DOCUMENTS THAT I WILL BE TENDERING TO YOU IS THE CODE OF CONDUCT FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICIALS AS PASSED BY THE UNITED NATIONS IN 1979 AND WHICH I HAVE REFERRED TO IN MY LETTER TO MINISTER COSTA. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS CODE OF CONDUCT IS THAT BY CONDUCTING THEMSELVES IN THE WAY THEY HAVE, THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION HAS BREACHED AT LEAST 4 OF THESE ARTICES AND HAVE, BY THIS UNITED NATIONS CODE'S VERY OWN DEFINITION INDULGED IN TORTURE.

[THE GENERAL MANAGER COURT AND LEGAL SERVICES, MR MICHAEL NORTH HOLMES HAS INFORMED THE MINISTER THAT ALL ASPECTS OF THIS CODE OF CONDUCT HAS BEEN EMBODIED INTO CURENT NSW POLICE CODES OF PRACTICE.]

I SHOULD POINT OUT AT THE BEGINNING THAT OTHER PERSONS ARE ABLE TO PROVIDE FIRST HAND EVIDENCE OF WHAT I AM ABOUT TO STATE AND I AM PUTTING THIS FORWARD BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN KEPT QUIET ALL THESE YEARS AND EXEMPLIFIES THE UNACCOUNTABILITY AND CORRUPT NATURE OF CERTAIN ASPECTS OF THE WOOD ROYAL COMMISSION.

A FORMER NSW POLICE OFFICER CAME TO ME SEVERAL MONTHS AGO WITH A CASSETTE TAPE OF A ROYAL COMMISSION OPERATION CALLED 'OPERATION CAESAR' THIS CASSETTE TAPE HAD THE OPERATION NAME, TOGETHER WITH THE REFERENCE OF 255/96 AND WAS DATED THE 21ST JULY 1996.

ON THE FIRST SIDE OF THIS TAPE I COULD HEAR TWO MALE PERSONS TALKING. ONE WAS A DETECTIVE KEIRAN MILLER ATTACHED TO THE ROYAL COMMISSION AND THE OTHER WAS AN UNNAMED INFORMANT WHO WAS BEING WIRED UP BY MILLER AT THE TIME.

THIS CONVERSATION WAS TIMED ON THE TAPE BY MILLER AT 2.25AM ON THE 21ST JULY 1996. IN MAKING NOTES OF THIS CONVERSATION I HEARD MILLER SAYING TO THE INFORMANT THAT PETER KAY HAS TO TAKE BACK THE DRUGS AND CUT THEM DOWN / WE CAN'T HAVE THIS AS A 1 TO 1 (REFERRING TO THE PURITY OF THE HEROIN INVOLVED). MILLER WAS ALSO HEARD TO SAY THAT WE (I.E. THE ROYAL COMMISSION) DOES NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO SELL DRUGS WHERE PEOPLE ARE 'O'DEEING'.

THE INFORMANT IN THE MATTER APPEARS WORRIED AND WAS TOLD BY MILLER AT ONE STAGE THAT FOR PEOPLE TO DIE IN THIS FASHION (THAT IS OVERDOSING ON HEROIN) IS MANSLAUGHTER.

IN LISTENING TO THIS SIDE OF THE TAPE THERE IS NO REFERENCE BY MILLER TO STOPPING THE HEROIN, JUST CUTTING IT DOWN.

IN LISTENING TO SIDE 2 OF THE TAPE YOU CAN HEAR THE INFORMANT TALKING TO ANOTHER PERSON TELLING THAT PERSON THAT THE COPS (I.E. THE ROYAL COMMISSION) SAID TO HIM NOT TO SELL THE HEROIN AS IT WAS TOO STRONG AND THAT WE CAN'T HAVE ANYONE O'DEEING ON THE STUFF.

AS STATED THIS TAPE WAS DATED THE 21ST JULY 1996. IN READING OTHER DOCUMENTS IT APPEARS THAT THIS OPERATION HAD BEEN CONDUCTED AT KINGS CROSS SINCE AT LEAST LATE JUNE, NEARLY A 4 WEEK PERIOD. IT SEEMS THAT THIS OPERATION CONCENTRATED ON THE COSMO CAFÉ AT KINGS CROSS WITH THE OPERATION BEING CLOSED DOWN ABOUT THE 23RD JULY, 1996. THIS WOULD INDICATE THAT THE CASSETTE TAPE THAT I LISTENED TO WAS TOWARDS THE END OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION OPERATION.

THE FORMER DETECTIVE THAT SUPPLIED ME WITH THIS TAPE WAS ONE OF THE OFFICERS THAT MANNED A NSW POLICE LISTENING POST IN 1996 AND HEARD SOME OF THE PRODUCT FROM THIS OPERATION. DURING HIS TIME AT THE LISTENING POST HE INFORMS ME THAT AT THIS SAME TIME HE HEARD A ROYAL COMMISSION INFORMANT TALK INTO HIS BODY WIRE SAYING "MR WOOD, MR WOOD ITS TOO STRONG, ITS KILLING THEM".

THE FINAL PIECE OF INFORMATION I HAVE CONCERNING THIS INCIDENT AT KINGS CROSS IN 1996 CAN BE FOUND IN THE TRANSCRIPTS OF TWO SEPARATE DISTRICT COURT TRIALS. THE FIRST ONE WAS BEFORE JUDGE GIBSON AND IS DATED THE 17TH AUGUST 1998 IN THE MATTER OF REGINA VERSUS PETER KAY AND BILL BAYEH, WHILE THE SECOND ONE IS DATED THE 9TH DECEMBER 1999 BEFORE JUDGE VINEY AND IS IN THE MATTER OF REGINA VERSUS PETER AND ROULA KAY.

IN THE FIRST TRANSCRIPT HIS HONOUR REFERS TO THIS HIGH PURITY HEROIN AS 'HOT HEROIN' AND REFERS TO THE TIME FRAME THAT I HAVE ALREADY MENTIONED. JUDE GIBSON MENTIONS (AT PG 5) THAT THE ROYAL COMMISSION ACTED QUICKLY WHEN IT WAS SUGGESTED THAT THERE WAS A DANGER WITH THE 'HOT HEROIN'.

IN THE SECOND TRANSCRIPT HIS HONOUR MAKES MANY REFERENCES TO THIS HEROIN OPERATION AT KINGS CROSS AND THE CONDUCT OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INVESTIGATORS. THESE REFERENCES ARE HIGHLIGHTED AND CAN BE FOUND AT

PAGES 7,8, 11, 14, 15,16, 17,20,21, 23, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 32, 33 AND 34 . HIS HONOUR MAKES THE POINT (AT PAGE 26) THAT "...IT WOULD BE AT LEAST IMPROPER FOR PEOPLE IN THE POSITION OF THE ROYAL COMMISSION INVESTIGATORS AND THEIR ADVISERS TO PERMIT AND ENCOURAGE THAT MAN TO CONTINUE WITH HIS DRUG DEALING. THAT IS WHAT THEY DID."

IN CONCLUDING MY SPEECH TO YOU I WOULD LIKE TO FINISH BY SAYING THAT I HAVE READ THAT GOVERNMENTS HAVE AN ESSENTIAL ROLE IN CREATING A JUST AND EQUITABLE SOCIETY. BUT IN LIGHT OF WHAT I HAVE SAID AND PRODUCED HERE TODAY-WHO IS THIS JUST AND EQUITABLE SOCIETY INTENDED FOR?

CERTAINLY THERE WAS NOTHING JUST OR EQUITABLE ABOUT THE TREATMENT METED OUT TO MANY, MANY POLICE, THEIR FAMILIES AND TO CIVILIANS.

THE TRAGEDY OF THE THING IS THAT NO ONE IN AUTHORITY REALLY CARES.
THANK YOU FOR LISTENING TO ME.