

P.O. Box 20, Lane Cove NSW 1595 DX 23307, Lane Cove Tel: (02) 9911.3595 Fax: (02) 9911.3651

Web:www.nsroc.org rjones@lanecove.nsw.gov.au Iridley@lanecove.nsw.gov.au

25th June 2003

The Secretary House of Representatives Standing Committee on Economics, Finance and Public Administration Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Ho E	use of representatives Standing Committee on conomics, Finance and Public Administration
	Submission No:
	Date Received: 2917103
	Secretary:

Re: Inquiry into Cost Shifting and Local Government

The following is a final, short submission made by the Northern Sydney Regional Organisation of Councils with respect to this Inquiry. A reading of the transcripts of various hearings of the Committee leads us to make our submission on two points as follows:

1. Who will speak on behalf of Local Government in any tripartite agreement/s that may be established as a result of this Inquiry?

This issue came up a number of times. It was addressed briefly during the Sydney hearings of the Committee held on 28th April (see pages 716 to 717). The Deputy Chair of the Inquiry, on page 717, stated, "There are over 700 councils and there are at least six more peak bodies of those councils. We have been a little frustrated in this process by the inability of the peak bodies to speak on behalf of all the councils – and we are politicians, we understand politics. So if you say you are going to be there, who is going to be there? You are at COAG but as a nominal voice, in some respects, I would argue. I think it is laudable, but you have to think through who speaks on behalf of 700 very diverse bodies".

This was followed by a variety of comments on this point by participants. Having considered this issue we suggest the following two options be considered:

Option 1.

That Local Government be treated precisely the same as State Governments who participate as of right. The State Governments do not have to rationalize their numbers to participate and, regardless of what they may say do not represent Local Government interests, they represent their own. The State Local Government bodies are representative of their constituents; they are elected from them and act on their behalf in an entirely democratic process.

With respect to NSW which has two bodies we submit that they be required to address the question of representation as a condition of participation in the process.

If this whole process is, in part, about proposing reforms to relationships between the three spheres of government then the management of the politics of engagements between those spheres should not be beyond satisfactory resolution.

Option 2

It should be noted that this option is very much not our preferred option however it needs to be put.

The Committee could as part of its recommendations include one that provided numerically limited representation for Local Government to participate in the tripartite process. As an example representation could consist of the ALGA (after being appropriately empowered by it's State based constituents) plus two or 3 of the State bases organisations with selection being left to Local Government to determine.

Both of these options have their difficulties but it is imperative that options be explored if the maximum advantage is to be obtained from this process and we commend their consideration to you.

Yours sincerely

Ross Jones Executive Director NSROC

Attachment1 Attachment2

Attachment 1

Further to our recent submissions to the inquiry NSROC has updated the data attached to its original submission comparing the distribution of the Financial Assistance Grant.

The analysis, we believe demonstrates the following:

- The minimum grant must be maintained
- Fifteen Councils spread across the entire Sydney region currently receive the Minimum grant. This reflects the impacts of high property values in those areas, combined with the regressive nature of rate-pegging. A reduction in the minimum grant would be extremely deleterious to those Councils, as they have no way of replacing the potential lost revenue while the current NSW State Government regressive rate revenue policy prevails.
- In real terms there has been no increase in the proportion of revenue distributed to New South Wales, notwithstanding that it is the State that has the highest population increase
 2002/03 32.39% 1997/98 32.38%

INCREASE IN FAG 02/03:97/98	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	Total
:	Gen	Road	Total	Gen	Road	Total	Increase
ROC TOTAL	81,074,716	20,912,434	101,987,150	68,180,732	17,594,292	85,775,024	16,212,126
STATE TOTAL	340,161,401	129,216,452	469,377,853	284,579,261	108,155,989	392,735,250	76,642,603
ROC PROPORTION	23.83%	16.18%	21.73%	23.96%	16.27%	21.84%	
NATIONAL TOTAL	1,003,702,209	445,372,208	1,449,074,417	840,112,874	372,782,806	1,212,895,680	236,178,737
STATE:NATIONAL	33.89%	29.01%	32.39%	33.87%	29.01%	32.38%	
ROC:NATIONAL	8.08%	4.70%	7.04%	8.12%	4.72%	7.07%	

In real terms there has been no increase in the proportion of revenue distributed to Sydney Councils
 2002/03 21.73%
 1997/98 21.84%

• The overall increase in revenue has not been shared equally with Sydney Councils receiving less than the overall State and National proportionate increase

	Grant \$	%
ROC TOTAL	16,212,126	18.90
STATE TOTAL	76,642,603	19.52
NATIONAL TOTAL	236,178,737	19.47

• There has been redistribution of revenue to Sydney Councils but only at the expense of each other

	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03 2002/03 1997/		8 1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	
	Pop'n	Gen	Roads	Total	Pop'n Gen		Roads Total		
PROP'N OF FAG RECEIVED	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	% Var'n
NSROC	16.41	10.17	15.42	11.25	16.86	10.08	15.71	11.23	0.02
SHOROC	8.09	5.01	7.52	5.53	8.26	4.94	7.50	5.46	0.07
WESROC	47.90	63.53	54.63	61.70	47.16	61.51	54.25	60.02	1.68
SSROC	27.60	21.29	22.43	21.52	27.72	23.47	22.55	23.29	-1.76
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00

• There appears to be a correlation between population increases and distribution of revenue with Western Sydney Councils receiving 61.70% of the total increase in revenue

INCREASE 02/03 over 97/98	Pop'n	%	Grant \$	%
NSROC	25,471	10.77	1,837,450	11.25
SHOROC	13,945	5.90	952,300	5.53
WESROC	135,513	57.31	11,446,788	61.70
SSROC	61,512	26.02	1,975,588	21.52
Total	236,441	100.00	16,212,126	100.00

Attachment 2

	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	1997/98
NSROC (7)	Pop'n	General	Roads	Total	Pop'n	General	Roads	Total
Hornsby	151,198	2,362,196	981,312	3,343,508	144,750	1,979,448	862,048	2,841,496
Hunter's Hill	14,029	219,180	97,930	317,110	13,050	178,460	81,580	260,040
Ku-ring-gai	109,255	1,706,912	722,560	2,429,472	107,450	1,469,368	611,948	2,081,316
Lane Cove	32,024	500,320	180,016	680,336	30,450	416,404	160,144	576,548
North Sydney	59,298	926,424	294,728	1,221,152	53,400	730,244	242,724	972,968
Ryde	98,447	1,538,060	577,384	2,115,444	96,500	1,319,628	501,820	1,821,448
Wiiloughby	63,620	993,948	370,008	1,363,956	56,800	776,736	302,976	1,079,712
Т	otal 527,871	8,247,040	3,223,938	11,470,978	502,400	6,870,288	2,763,240	9,633,528
Per capita Ave	rage 75,410	15.62	6.11	21.73	71,771	13.67	5.50	19.18
SHOROC (4)								
Manly	39,162	612,308	202,564	814,872	36,750	502,552	173,076	675,628
Mosman	28,768	449,448	157,288	606,736	27,400	374,696	133,568	508,264
Pittwater	56,476	882,336	390,948	1,273,284	52,50 0	717,932	324,900	1,042,832
Warringah	135,789	2,121,460	822,148	2,943,608	129,600	1,772,272	687,204	2,459,476
	260,195	4,065,552	1,572,948	5,638,500	246,250	3,367,452	1,318,748	4,686,200
	65,049	15.63	6.05	21.67	61,563	13.67	5.36	19.03
WSROC (11)								
Auburn	59,179	1,446,436	345,224	1,791,660	50,1 00	984,952	314,616	1,299,568
Bankstown	174,114	3,952,640	1,006,720	4,959,360	163,650	2,971,072	832,024	3,803,096
Baulkham Hills	144,532	2,258,052	1,084,284	3,342,336	130,550	1,785,264	902,024	2,687,288
Blacktown	261,260	10,341,280	1,785,104	12,126,384	236,050	8,258,784	1,571,152	9,829,936
Blue Mountains	76,913	5,134,192	903,920	6,038,112	75,550	4,791,544	754,320	5,545,864
Fairfield	192,163	7,276,192	1,120,908	8,397,100	188,200	6,186,448	1,022,416	7,208,864
Hawkesbury	62,953	2,745,340	1,113,392	3,858,732	57,450	2,222,304	904,716	3,127,020
Holroyd	90,119	2,339,888	565,116	2,905,004	82,100	1,650,968	463,972	2,114,940
Liverpool	155,059	5,210,004	1,158,216	6,368,220	114,350	3,803,636	790,152	4,593,788
Parramatta	148,440	3,610,568	944,684	4,555,252	138,850	3,050,292	817,940	3,868,232
Penrith	176,081	7,189,296	1,397,816	8,587,112	168,4 50	6,232,528	1,171,360	7,403,888
1	Total 1,540,813	51,503,888	11,425,384	62,929,272	1,405,300	41,937,792	9,544,692	51,482,484

a,

Per capita Average	154,081	33.43	7.42	40.84	140,530	29.84	6.79	36.63	
SSROC (10)									
Botany Bay	36,730	785,204	182,944	968,148	36,0 00	806,156	157,888	964,044	
Hurstville	74,226	1,159,648	397,304	1,556,952	68,400	935,364	331,732	1,267,096	
Kogarah	54,254	847,624	304,596	1,152,220	48,450	662,552	260,152	922,704	
Marrickville	79,387	3,028,516	393,252	3,421,768	77,9 00	3,174,016	347,060	3,521,076	
Randwick	127,437	1,990,976	601,876	2,592,852	119,700	1,636,892	524,480	2,161,372	
Rockdale	92,551	1,538,172	506,380	2,044,552	87,800	1,473,600	424,684	1,898,284	
South Sydney	88,530	2,284,280	434,556	2,718,836	73,800	2,239,648	340,540	2,580,188	
Sutherland	214,601	3,352,752	1,313,476	4,666,228	203,400	2,781,480	1,103,732	3,885,212	
Waverley	64,924	1,410,660	280,052	1,690,712	60,200	1,604,228	231,560	1,835,788	
Woolhara	55,072	860,404	275,728	1,136,132	50,55 0	691,264	245,784	937,048	
Total	887,712	17,258,236	4,690,164	21,948,400	826,200	16,005,200	3,967,612	19,972,812	
Per capita Average	88,771	19.44	5.28	24.72	82,620	19.37	4.80	24.17	
	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03	1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	
TOTAL FAG RECEIVED	Pop'n	Gen	Road	Total	Pop'n	Gen	Road	Total	
NSROC	527,871	8,247,040	3,223,938	11,470,978	502,400	6,870,288	2,763,240	9,633,528	
SHOROC	260,195	4,065,552	1,572,948	5,638,500	246,250	3,367,452	1,318,748	4,686,200	
WSROC	1,540,813	51,503,888	11,425,384	62,929,272	1,405,300	41,937,792	9,544,692	51,482,484	
SSROC	887,712	17,258,236	4,690,164	21,948,400	826,200	16,005,200	3,967,612	19,972,812	
Total	3,216,591	81,074,716	20,912,434	101,987,150	2,980,150	68,180,732	17,594,292	85,775,024	
PROP'N OF FAG RECEIVED	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	% Var'n
NSROC	16. 41	10.17	15.42	11.25	16.86	10.08	15.71	11.23	0.02
SHOROC	8.09	5.01	7.52	5.53	8.26	4.94	7.50	5.46	0.07
WESROC	47.90	63.53	54.63	61.70	47.16	61.51	54.25	60.02	1.68
SSROC	27.60	21.29	22.43	21.52	27.72	23.47	22.55	23.29	-1.76
Total	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	0.00
INCREASE 02/03 over 97/98	Pop'n	%	Grant \$	%					
NSROC	25,471	10.77	1,837,450	11.25					

SHOROC WESROC SSROC Total %

INCREASE IN FAG 02/03:97/98

ROC TOTAL STATE TOTAL ROC PROPORTION % NATIONAL TOTAL STATE : NATIONAL ROC : NATIONAL

> ROC TOTAL STATE TOTAL NATIONAL TOTAL

135,513	57.31	11,446,788	61.70
61,512	26.02	1,975,588	21.52
236,441	100.00	16,212,126	100.00
7.35		27.57%	
	2002/03	2002/03	2002/03
and the second s	Gen	Road	Total
	81,074,716	20,912,434	101,987,150
	340,161,401	129,216,452	469,377,853
		40.40	64 76

952,300

5.53

5.90

13,945

23.83	16.18	21.73
,003,702,209	445,372,208	1,449,074,417
33.89	29.01	32.39
8.08	4.70	7.04

Grant \$	%	
16,212,126	18.90	
76,642,603	19.52	21.
236,178,737	19.47	

1997/98	1997/98	1997/98	Total
Gen	Road	Total	Increase
68,180,732	17,594,292	85,775,024	16,212,126
284,579,261	108,155,989	392,735,250	76,642,603
23.96	16.27	21.84	
840,112,874	372,782,806	##############	236,178,737
33.87	29.01	32.38	
8.12	4.72	7.07	

21.15