# SENATE SUBMISSION REGARDING ADVERSE COAL INDUSTRY IMPACTS ON AIR QUALITY AND POPULATION HEALTH

### **Newcastle Air Quality**

- Newcastle region already has poor air quality and continued expansion of the coal industry, such as the proposed fourth coal loader will make already **poor air quality**<sup>1</sup> even worse: The Fourth Coal Terminal (T4) site will emit .8 tonne daily dust (TSP) best case scenario, 2t daily worst case<sup>2</sup>.
- Long-term monitoring sites close to Hunter River have annual averages higher than WHO standard of 20ug/m3 (K3 Fern Bay, HDC Mayfield, NCC Stockton)<sup>2</sup>.
- In October 2012 alone, 36 air quality exceedances for particulates in Newcastle & Upper Hunter (98 during past 12 months). Five daily exceedences in Stockton from 13 Oct to 8 Nov 2012.<sup>3</sup>
- Trains from Rutherford to port (31km) releasing 8.57 g per km per wagon<sup>2</sup> (half is PM10) will emit 212.5 t of PM10 annually. Railway dust plus T4 site dust equals 363 t of PM10 per year (not including empty trains). <sup>4</sup>

## **Additional Health Cost Burden**

- G. Morgan et al.<sup>5</sup> calculations of health cost burden of PM10 in Hunter applied to this figure gives conservative estimate of an **additional \$29 million per year** in 2011 dollars.<sup>4</sup>
- People generally not aware of community wide burden from air pollution. Need to strive to reduce air pollution from current levels rather than increase it with new pollution generating activities.

### **Health Damages to Vulnerable People**

- NEPM standards do not protect health of people with chronic heart or lung disease, with active respiratory infection, asthmatics, infants/children and the elderly who are susceptible to adverse health impacts at lower levels. <sup>4</sup>
- Even a few hours exposure to particulates can trigger CVD-related mortality and nonfatal events including MI, heart failure, strokes and adverse respiratory events. <sup>6</sup> There is no discernable 'safe' threshold. Expect 4 deaths per million pop during 3-day episode at 50 ug/m3 (i.e., daily NEPM standard). <sup>7</sup>
- Demographic profile of 22,600 living in 8 suburbs closest to Kooragang shows lower household income, higher rate of unemployed, 1/3<sup>rd</sup> children and elderly, and 21 nursing homes/schools/preschools. Pollution health effects are magnified through such vulnerable populations and raise issues of social inequity of exposure (i.e., environmental injustice).
- Comprehensive **Health Impact Assessment** is essential prior to approval of T4 to fully understand the potential harms to vulnerable residents; i.e., who will be most affected, in what ways, and how to avoid inequitable health impacts. <sup>9</sup>

## **Diesel Exposures**

Diesel engine exhaust (e.g., from coal train locomotives) is a World Health
Organization declared human carcinogen. Even background levels as little as 1-2
ug/m3 are likely to carry small excess risk of cancer. <sup>11,12</sup> Rail line exposure from
Port to Rutherford affect 32,000 residents and approximately 23,000 students
attending schools within 500m of coal corridor.

### **Cost Benefit Analysis and Externalities**

 A full costing to the taxpayers of the pollution damages from and subsidies given to the coal industry suggests a net liability for society. Public health cost of treating disease caused by coal-fired electricity in Australia is \$2.6 billion per year. <sup>13</sup> Costs in USA are between 0.8 and 5.6 times the value of electricity produced. <sup>14</sup>

## **Coal Burning and Global Warming**

- Single largest contributor to GHG emissions. Burning 120mt coal produces 300mt CO2 or 55% of Australia's current CO2 emissions (550mt). Port exported 122mt in 2012 and aspires to nearly triple this amount (331mt).
- Best science now predicts 4-6 degree warming by end of century <sup>19</sup>. IEA's *World Energy Outlook 2012* states that to avoid 4 degrees by 2050, two thirds of coal must remain in the ground. What will be the economic cost of 4-6 degrees warming of the planet?
- Policy that gives future generations a fighting chance is to accelerate a 'just transition' from coal to non-fossil fuel economy in the Hunter. We have a critical mass of energy expertise; let's apply it to renewable sources of energy.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Doctors for the Environment, Australia (DEA) (2012). Submission to T4 EA re: air quality.
- <sup>2</sup> PWCS T4 EA Report on Air Quality.
- <sup>3</sup> Whelan, J. (November, 2012). Coal dust and community health: An information package prepated by the Coal Terminal Action Group.
- <sup>4</sup> Ewald, B. (December, 2012). Health costs of air pollution in Newcastle and from the proposed T4. CCEB, School of Medicine & Public Health, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, 2308.
- <sup>5</sup> Morgan, et al. (2005). Air pollution economics. Health costs of air pollution in the greater Sydney metropolitan region. NSW Department of Environment and Conservation.
- <sup>6</sup> American Heart Association (AHA). (June, 2010). Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific statement from the AHA. *Circulation*. 121, 2331-2378. (R.D. Brook, et al.).
- <sup>7</sup> WHO, 2000. European air pollution standards. Table 25.
- <sup>8</sup> Higginbotham, N. et al (2010). Environmental injustice and air pollution in coal affected communities, Hunter Valley, Australia. *Health and Place*, 16 (2), 259-266.
- <sup>9</sup> Harris, P. & Harris-Roxas, B. (2010). Assessment of human health and wellbeing in project environmental assessment. In Project environmental clearance: Engineering and management aspects. Wide Publishing, 357-379.
- <sup>10</sup> WHO Europe. (2009). Night noise guidelines for Europe.
- 11 Rushton, L. (2012). The problem with diesel. Journal of National Cancer Inst. 104 (11), 1-2.
- <sup>12</sup> Rushton, L., Bagga, S., & Bevan, R., et al. (2010). Occupation and cancer in Britain. *British Journal of Cancer*. 102(9), 1428–1437.
- <sup>13</sup> The Australian Academy of Technological Sciences and Engineering (ATSE) (2009). The hidden costs of electricity: Externalities of power generation in Australia. ATSE, Parkville, VIC: Ian McLennan House.
- <sup>14</sup> Muller, N.Z., Mendelsohn, R., & Nordhaus, W. (August, 2011). Environmental Accounting for Pollutionin the United States Economy. *American Economic Review.* 101, 1649–1675.
- <sup>15</sup> Rosewarne, S. & Connor, L. (2012). Coal curse: the black side of the subsidized resources boom. *The Conversation*, 3 July. <a href="http://theconversation.edu.au/coal-curse-the-black-side-of-the-subsidised-resources-boom-7801">http://theconversation.edu.au/coal-curse-the-black-side-of-the-subsidised-resources-boom-7801</a>
- <sup>16</sup> Productivity Commission (2011). Annual Review of Industry Assistance.
- <sup>17</sup> Grundoff, M. (2012). Dig a little deeper for full mining story. Newcastle Herald, 28 November.
- <sup>18</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics. (2012). Census 2011: *Census for a brighter future*. Retrieved from <a href="http://www.abs.gov.au/census">http://www.abs.gov.au/census</a>

## Dr. Nick Higginbotham, 8 March, 2013

<sup>19</sup> Global Carbon Project, 2012. Limiting climate change to 3 degrees C getting harder to achieve. *Nature Climate Change* 3 December. http://www.smc.org.au/2012/12/round-up-limiting-climate-change-to-2c-getting-harder-to-achieve-nature-climate-change-experts-respond/