

SENATE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

# INQUIRY INTO THE HOME AFFAIRS LEGISLATION AMENDMENT BILL 2025

As the national peak body for people from refugee and asylum seeking backgrounds and the organisations and individuals who work with and support them, the Refugee Council of Australia (RCOA) welcomes the opportunity to make a submission to the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee on the *Home Affairs Legislation Amendment (2025 Measures No. 1) Bill 2025*.

As this legislation was only introduced on 26 August 2025 and is being rushed through Parliament, leading to a lack of scrutiny and devastating implications. The attempt to **remove natural justice and due process for certain groups of people should be a warning sign to all** that the cornerstone of our democracy is under threat.

The Bill appears to be a direct attempt to pre-empt or undermine decisions by Australian courts.

The **Refugee Council strongly opposes the proposed Bill** and the Australian Government's related arrangement with Nauru announced on 30 August 2025.

The Bill seeks to **remove a bedrock of our democracy – the rules of natural justice and procedural fairness** – when trying to remove people from Australia and send them to Nauru or other undisclosed third countries.

## 1 The rushed and reckless legislation

The Refugee Council's many concerns about this legislation include:

- a) Pre-emption of current legal challenges before the courts in relation to forcibly sending people to Nauru. The role of the judiciary and the separation of powers is central to our democratic functioning. The Government's attempt to circumvent the decisions of the courts and to prevent people from due process via judicial consideration sets a troubling precedent. The removal of due process would see no consideration for the real risk of persecution or harm for people sent to Nauru or other countries.
- b) There is nothing preventing Nauru or other undisclosed third countries from refouling people, **sending** people to back to harm, persecution or death in their countries of origin.
- c) People's **health needs** would not be considered before removal, and there is clear evidence that the health system in ill-equipped to handle additional health needs.
- d) The Government **will not have to give notice** to people about arranging for their own removal, and the consequences for the individual for not complying is prison time.
- e) The Government can **retrospectively** validate their visa decisions made prior to the 2023 NZYQ High Court decision, including decisions based on wrong information or outdated law, rather than permitting the decisions to be properly reviewed.
- f) While the Government has indicated it would apply this legislation to a limited group, the law would allow a future government to apply it to a much wider group of people.
- g) The removal of due process would mean that **people wrongly sent to Nauru**, including those who have not been convicted of any offences, would have **no way to challenge their removal**.

## 2 Expansion of poorly conceived legislation

This legislation does not sit alone: it is an expansion of legislation rushed through the last sitting week of the 47<sup>th</sup> Parliament in November 2024, legislation which the Refugee Council strongly opposed. That legislation was a response to the High Court ruling in 2023 that found that it was **unconstitutional** and **unlawful** to detain people indefinitely in immigration detention where there was not a real prospect of people being returned.

The related laws include:

#### a) Migration Amendment Bill 2024

- This law **circumvents the High Court ruling** that the Government's harmful restrictions on people released from detention are unconstitutional and unlawful
- Made the MoU with Nauru possible (and the potential for other undisclosed third countries) so that they can warehouse human beings, effectively expanding Australia's brutal regime of offshore detention
- The Government has neglected to include any safeguards to ensure people are protected in these third countries and that they are not sent back to danger and persecution in another country
- Contrary to the Government's claim that this law would only impact a small number of people, the legislation clearly set out how it could apply to tens of thousands, including recognised refugees and people seeking protection
- The Minister would be able to remove a refugee's protection finding in order to remove them
- Families will be permanently and forcefully separated

#### b) The Migration Amendment (Removal and Other Measures) Bill 2024

- The Government first introduced this Entry Ban and Forced Removal Bill in March 2024 and later abandoned it due to the lack of support in Parliament and the outcry over its measures
- This law empowers the Home Affairs Minister to direct refugees and people seeking protection, under threat of 1-5 years of imprisonment, to return to countries where they face persecution or even death
- The Home Affairs Minister has the power to compel these people to facilitate their own removal from Australia, including engaging with the very governments or authoritarian regimes who may have been persecuting or seeking to harm them
- The Minister can reverse a refugee's protection finding
- This law would allow the Minister to designate certain countries as "removal concern countries", essentially banning people coming to Australia from these countries, including those travelling for study, business tourism or to visit family (effectively a travel ban similar to the Trump administration)

### 3 Legislation's relationship to the 2025 Nauru arrangement

The Refugee Council is also deeply troubled by the Australian Government's arrangement with Nauru for people impacted by the High Court NZYQ decision, particularly that:

- a) People will be **forcefully sent to Nauru to spend the rest of their natural lives on Nauru**, with no clarity about their freedom of movement outside of or away from Nauru.
- b) People with **Australian citizen family members will be forcibly and indefinitely separated** from their immediate family.
- c) There are **no safeguards** for people subject to this deal, either in their removal from Australia or after arrival in Nauru.

- d) There is concern about how **complex health needs** will be met, as Australia has had to regularly medically transfer people from Nauru to Australia for treatment, including people suffering from dengue fever in August 2025.
- e) The financial costs of this arrangement are exorbitant, with the Australian Government to make an upfront payment of \$408 million plus \$70 million per year on an ongoing basis as part of the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) with Nauru. If these reported figures are correct, the costs in the first five years of this arrangement will exceed \$685 million. With news reports indicating that 280 people will be sent to Nauru, this means that, in the first five years, the cost of sending each person there will be at least \$2.4 million. Such financial arrangements with third countries carry substantial risks, as evidenced by the investigation the Australian Government launched in 2023 into suspect payments made to politicians and officials on Nauru and PNG as part of their offshore processing arrangements. There is no evidence that the Australian Government has made provisions to ensure that this new arrangement with Nauru has safeguards in place to avoid a repeat of actions that led to the allegations of corruption, fiscal impropriety and cronyism.
- f) The **mental health impacts on people** subject to this or people who fear they could be subject to it are immense, with people already reporting high levels of suffering and distress.
- g) The **reputational cost to Australia** is enormous, with this deal likely to damage not only Pacific relations but also our standing globally on refugee and human rights issues.

People subject to the proposed legislation who have been convicted of offences have served their time and require support to rehabilitate and reintegrate into the community, just like Australian citizens who have interacted with the justice system. Many have Australian family members who fear that they will never see their loved ones again if they are forced to Nauru. There are also indications that people who have **never been convicted of any crimes** are being swept up in the Nauru arrangement and, since the legislation seeks to **remove due process and natural justice**, they could be permanently separated from family in Australia without any recourse.

#### RECOMMENDATION

The Refugee Council of Australia urges the Senators to reject the Home Affairs Legislation Amendment (2025 Measures No. 1) Bill 2025 and to find alternatives to this legislation and the arrangement with Nauru.