


Mapping Evapotranspiration in Idaho with Landsat

Richard G. Allen, University of Idaho

William J. Kramber, Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

Anthony Morse, Spatial Analysis Group



Partners and Collaborators: Dr. Ricardo Trezza, Univ. Idaho; Dr. M. Tasumi, Univ. Miyazaki, Japan; Dr. Justin Huntington, Desert Research Institute; Dr. Jan Hendrickx, NMT; Dr. Ayse Kilic, Univ. Nebraska; Dr. Jeppe Kjaersgaard, South Dakota State Univ.; Clarence Robison, Univ. Idaho; Carlos Kelly, Univ. Idaho; Dr. Magali Garcia, Univ. LaPaz, Bolivia; Dr. Wim Bastiaansen, WaterWatch, Netherlands; Dr. J. Wright, USDA-ARS; Dr. Allan Wylie, IDWR.

EDF / NASA / CWF / WEF Remote Sensing Workshop, San Diego, CA, Sept. 27-28, 2012



Why is mapping Evapotranspiration (ET) important?

- ET is the water **consumed** by irrigated agriculture
- Essential to administration, management, and planning of **water resources**
- In Idaho -- Irrigated Agriculture:
 - covers 3.4 million acres
 - Accounts for over 90% of the water consumed
- In the US – Irrigated Agriculture:
 - covers 50 million acres
 - Accounts for over 80% of the water consumed
- Idaho needs **Serious** Estimates of Water Consumption

Why Energy Balance and Thermal?

Energy balance computes “actual” ET


We can ‘see’ impacts on ET caused by:

- water shortage
- disease
- crop variety
- planting density
- cropping dates
- salinity
- management
- wet soil




$$ET_{\text{crop-actual}} \leq ET_{\text{crop-potential}}$$

Surface Temperature (8/14/2000)



Energy Balance for ET

ET is calculated as a “residual”
of the energy balance




The energy balance
includes all major
sources (R_n) and
consumers (ET , G , H)
of energy

Contrast between ET from Energy Balance (left) and ET from NDVI (right)

$$ET_{rF} = ET_{act} / ET_{ref}$$



ET_{rF} from METRIC (using
Thermal)



$ET_{rF} = 1.25 \text{ NDVI}$

southcentral
Idaho 2006

There are differences




Surface Temperature


NDVI

Why (Moderately) High Resolution?

Water Rights Management
Field Histories
Riparian Systems



Landsat Resolution





MODIS Resolution

Bell Rapids Irrigation District, 2000

Why not use other satellites

- MODIS: 500 meter pixels
- AVHRR: 1000 meter pixels
- SPOT: no thermal band
- IRS AWiFS: no thermal band
- Aster: too infrequent



Applications in Idaho



- Hydrologic modeling
- Water planning
- Water administration



Hydrologic Modeling

Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model

ET data founded on METRIC-Landsat from 1986 to present



Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer Model

METRIC ET data

- More accurately calibrates the groundwater model
- Improved accuracy of depletions and recharge estimates
- Shows long term trends in ET


1996

2000

2002

2006

2008




Potential METRIC Processing for the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer

- 1984 - too sparse
- 1985 - too sparse
- 1986** - yes (METRIC **in Progress**)
- 1987** - cloudy, not as populated as 1986, but possible for METRIC
- 1988 - clouded April-May for METRIC on path 40
- 1989 - clouded Sept-Oct for METRIC on path 40, poor on path 39
- 1990 - possible METRIC on 40, clouded on 39
- 1991 - no - too clouded
- 1992** - possible METRIC for 40 and 39
- 1993 - possible for METRIC, clouded April-May on 39
- 1994 - clouded May-June for METRIC path 40
- 1995 - no - too clouded
- 1996** - yes (METRIC **DONE**)
- 1997 - yes, iffy METRIC for June-July on 39
- 1998** - clouded May for METRIC on 40 and 39
- 1999 - clouded for METRIC in spring
- 2000** - yes (METRIC **DONE**)
- 2001** - yes for METRIC on both paths
- 2002** - yes (METRIC **DONE**)
- 2003** - iffy for METRIC for both paths (path 40 **DONE** through August (cloudy after that))
- 2004** - yes for METRIC on both paths
- 2005 - iffy for METRIC
- 2006** - yes (METRIC **DONE**)
- 2007** - possible, but challenging for METRIC on path 40
- 2008** - yes (METRIC **DONE**)
- 2009** - yes (METRIC **in Progress**)
- 2010** - yes (METRIC **in Progress**)
- 2011** - yes for METRIC on both paths (**in Progress**)

Water Planning

ET by Land Use

Land Use

- 
- Res. - Old Urban
 - Res. - New Subdivision
 - Res. - Farmstead & Rural
 - Comm./Ind./Trans.
 - Water/Canals
 - Wetland/Riparian
 - Public/Recreation
 - Agricultural Irrigated
 - Agricultural Other
 - Feedlot/Dairy
 - Rangeland
 - Barren Land
 - Sewage Treatment/Junkyard


0 5 10 Kilometers

Boise Valley 2000

Water Planning


ET by Land Use

Evapotranspiration



Boise Valley 2000

Seasonal ET by land use



Water Administration in Idaho

Mitigation

- Bell Rapids Irrigation Company
 - Water Rights “Buy Back”

Litigation


- A&B Irrigation District water call
- Clear Springs Foods water call

Water Rights “Buy Back”

Landsat – ET during July 2006 – Thousand Springs, Idaho

*(Side Question:
What is “residual ET”
when fallowed?)*

Bell Rapids Irrigation Project – sold water rights to State of Idaho, 2005





Water Law Terms

- **Water Right**
 - Authorization to use water
 - Includes priority date and rate of flow/volume
- **Call**
 - When a senior water right holder experiences a water shortage they may place a call
- **Curtailment Order**
 - Defines how the state directs junior water right holders to stop diverting water in response to a call
- **Mitigation Plan**
 - Junior users response to a curtailment order


A&B Irrigation District Water Call

- A&B claimed that certain fields were short of water in 2006 due to diversions from junior ground water users
- METRIC ET showed that the fields had ET rates as high as surrounding fields that were not identified as water short


A&B Irrigation District and adjacent land



Year 2006: Mean Daily Evapotranspiration (ET)



Year 2006: Ratio of ETrF and NDVI (ET per amount of vegetation)



A&B Irrigation District Water Call

Summary

- Director issued order denying the call
- Hearing Officer agreed with the Director's decision
- District Court affirmed the Director's decision
- Idaho Supreme Court
 - Argued on February 28, 2012
 - September, 2012 – remanded back to District Court due to 'timing issues' by IDWR

Clear Springs Foods Water Call

Idaho *Business News*

Water curtailment ordered in Magic Valley

POSTED: 11:13 MDT Thursday, July 23, 2009

By IBR Staff

Idaho Department of Water Resources Interim Director Gary Spackman on July 22 issued a [curtailment order](#) to about 250 holders of 315 junior water rights in south central Idaho's Magic Valley. The curtailment order is part of a continuing response to a water delivery call made in 2005 by senior water right holder Clear Springs Foods.

State goes ahead with first large-scale well closure of more than 300 water rights in M.V.

7/31/2009

[Water districts have limited options, could file a stay](#)


By Nate Poppino
Times-News writer

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will go forward this morning with a plan to shut off more than 300 water rights irrigating just less than 9,000 acres of Magic Valley farmland, the first wide-scale well curtailment to actually be carried out by the state.

Clear Springs Foods, Inc.



METRIC ET 2006 April to October




Clear Springs Foods Water Call

Summary

- ESPA GW model used METRIC ET data
 - For model calibration
 - **To select water rights to curtail**
- No complaints from junior users about GW model or METRIC ET data


Performance of Irrigation Entities

– Twin Falls Canal Company, Idaho




Right: ET as a fraction of total water supply (Diversion + Rainfall)

Evapotranspiration as a Ratio of Diversion plus Precipitation



Right: The average K_c over the 220,000 acre service area.

Project wide Crop Coefficient -- METRIC
Twin Falls Tract -- 220,000 acres -- Alfalfa Reference Basis



Other states using METRIC

- Nevada
 - Water transfers to Reno and Las Vegas
- Nebraska
 - Over pumping of the Ogallala Aquifer
- Colorado
 - Kansas vs. Colorado over Arkansas River
 - Nebraska vs. Colorado over S. Platte River
- Wyoming
 - Nebraska vs. Wyoming over N. Platte River
 - Depletions along the Upper Colorado Basin (in progress)
- Oregon
 - Klamath Basin water shortages
- California
 - Imperial Irrigation District: water consumption by irrigation
- New Mexico
 - Middle Rio Grande: water consumption by agriculture and riparian systems
- Montana
 - Flathead Indian Reservation and ground water areas east of Helena: for improved irrigation water management and management of total depletion

ET Investigations involving METRIC Land Use Applications for Water Management


University of Idaho and Associates/Partners

Richard Allen (UI), Ricardo Trezza (UI), Bill Kramber (IDWR), Tony Morse (SAG), Jan Hendrickx (NMT), Ayse Irmak (UNL), Justin Huntington (DRI), Clarence Robison (UI), Carlos Kelly (UI), Jeppe Kjaersgaard (UI), Jeremy Greth (UI), Masahiro Tasumi (UI), Tim Martin (RTI)

UI: University of Idaho
IDWR: Idaho Department of Water Resources
SAG: Spatial Analysis Group (Morse)
NMT: New Mexico Tech
UNL: University of Nebraska, Lincoln
DRI: Desert Research Institute

RTI: Riverside Technologies, Inc. Fort Collins CO
SHA: Soil Hydrology Associates, Los Lunas NM (Hendrickx)
MNRRC: Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
OSE: Office of State Engineer
NRD: Natural Resource District

ET+: Evapotranspiration Plus, Twin Falls ID (Allen)
MBMG: Montana Bureau of Mining and Geology
SNA: SEBAL, North America
NMSU: New Mexico State University
CSU: Colorado State University



RIVERSIDE
Technologies, Inc.

Nebraska
Lincoln

NEW MEXICO
TECH

DRI
Desert Research Institute

USDA
ARS

USGS
US Geological Survey

USBR
United States Bureau of Reclamation

USDOU
United States Department of the Interior

USDA-ARS
United States Department of Agriculture

USBR
United States Bureau of Reclamation

USDOU
United States Department of the Interior

USDA-ARS
United States Department of Agriculture

USGS
US Geological Survey

USBR
United States Bureau of Reclamation


Overlays of Landsat paths and rows over the Upper Colorado Basin

(100 x 100
miles per
path/row)

**24 total
path/rows**

METRIC ~ \$0.5 – 1.0 mill/yr?

NDVI –basis ~ \$400,000/yr?




Concern about Landsat's future

- Landsat 5 was **27 years old** at failure
 - Imaging halted November 2011 due to electronic component problem
- Landsat 7 is **13 years old**
 - Scan line corrector failed March 2003
 - About 22% of each image is missing
 - Missing areas are filled in using ArcGIS tools
- Landsat 8 scheduled to launch February 2013
- Funding for Landsat 9 **is uncertain**

Estimates of Consumption require Integration over Time

Potatoes
Twin Falls, Idaho 2000

$$ET_{period} = \sum_{i=m}^n ET_r F_i \times ET_{r24i}$$




Comparison among very independent approaches

— Agrimet for 2000 — Allen-Robison - 14 yr ave. ○ METRIC for 2000 —

We would 'like' one 'point' (image) each 32 days (minimum)


1 Satellite (each 16 days)

Probability of a Cloud-free Pixel at least every 32 days




2 Satellites (image each 8 days)

Probability of a Cloud-free Pixel at least every 32 days



3 Satellites (image each ~5 days)


Probability of a Cloud-free Pixel at least every 32 days



4 Satellites (image each 4 days)


Probability of a Cloud-free Pixel at least every 32 days

(This is what the 'water community' should be asking for)



8 Satellites (image each 2 days)

Probability of a Cloud-free Pixel at least every 32 days



Support Landsat!!

The Landsat Program and Water Resources Information Needs in the United States




Western States Water Council

The water resources of the United States are a \$200 billion per year economic engine that supports hundreds of thousands of jobs. However, in the West and the Nation water is in short supply, requiring reductions in consumptive uses. Water and water rights are increasingly valuable commodities being bought and sold. Remotely sensed imagery collected by Landsat is essential for determining past and present water use and evapotranspiration (ET) at scales that reveal patterns of land management and water consumption. ET maps derived from Landsat thermal imagery are used operationally by water managers to monitor and manage agricultural and urban water use, administer water rights, evaluate market transfers, negotiate and monitor interstate compacts, estimate water-use by invasive species, and assess and monitor water and food security and sustainability. Landsat is the only operational satellite that combines thermal data with short-wave data at the spatial resolution needed to administer water use and water rights, which is often at the level of the individual agricultural field.

Water Resources Management Needs in the United States for the Landsat program:

- 1) Establish an Operational Land Observation program having spatial resolution at land and water management scales to build on the 30-year global archive of Landsat data
- 2) Maintain continuity of Landsat resolution data in visible, near infrared, short-wave infrared and thermal bands
- 3) Spatial resolution sufficient to observe land and water at field scale: 30 to 60 meter/pixels
- 4) An ideal image procurement process with satellite passes each 4 days (4-day return cycle), 16 days maximum.
- 5) Continuous Scene Acquisition around the globe with no data gaps in any future year
- 6) A policy of and funding for building multiple satellites under a long term program to assure no future data gaps
- 7) Continuation of the existing policy of no-cost data access for all archived and future scenes
- 8) Absolute radiometric uncertainty < 5%, 1-sigma, for VNIR/SWIR bands and < 2%, 1-sigma, for the thermal band.
- 9) Necessary Federal funding:

- 1) \$ 40 million in FY 2012
- 2) \$125 million in FY 2013
- 3) \$250 million in FY 2014
- 4) \$250 million in FY 2015
- 5) \$265 million in FY 2016
- 6) \$250 million in FY 2017
- 7) \$200 million in FY 2018



ET maps showing depths of water evaporated from irrigated fields of Idaho during the April - October growing season-- derived from Landsat.

For more information: Mr. Tony Willardson, Executive Director, Western States Water Council, 5296 Commerce Drive, Suite 202, Murray, UT 84107, (801) 685-2555 <http://www.westgov.org/wswc/> twillardson@wswc.utah.gov

<http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/GeographicInfo/METRIC/et.htm> and <http://www.kimberly.uidaho.edu/water/metric/index.html>
<http://www.westernstatesetworkshop.com/past-events/boise-2011/> and http://wmp.gsfc.nasa.gov/workshops/ET_workshop.php
April 6, 2012.

Why Landsat is an Essential Earth Imaging Program and Why it Requires Federal Support

olution of Landsat -- 30 m reflected data and coincident 60-120 m thermal data monitoring land use change and water consumption of human-related features -- is, riparian systems, forest clearings, vegetation disease outbreaks, etc..

a critical niche between the high resolution commercial satellites and the 'daily' satellites like MODIS, NPOESS-VIIRS and AVHRR, which cannot resolve most land features.

a 16 day return time (8 days with 2 Landsats) that provides the high-frequency needed to monitor the dynamic evolution of vegetation and water consumption.

sub-meter systems can not cover the US every 8 or 16 days.

low angle of less than 8 degrees assures high data-accuracy and fidelity.

are optimal for operational natural-resource models. The models are promoting economic growth and efficiency, food production and security, and es management, planning and projection. Imagery from low-resolution satellites is generally too coarse to be used exclusively, while imagery from high ms (IKONOS, QuickBird, etc.) is too infrequent. Small-sat systems may not y spectral bands and coverage and are currently not capable of carrying thermal Landsat-type coverage and accuracy.

ly 80% of Landsat data are used in natural-resource applications. A majority of sers work in government and do not have the budgets to support high prices for ence has shown that the 30 m Landsat pixel, while ideal for natural resources, command the high prices afforded high-resolution imagery. As a consequence, remain publically financed. America's investment in Landsat reduces costs for roes management products from low-cost or no-cost Landsat imagery.

ntinuous archive of Landsat imagery dating from 1972 for short-wave and from al data provides a time machine for viewing land surface temperature and the entire US. Western water-resource applications depend on the Landsat chive to map and quantify historical water use. No other satellite system comes manent heritage of data.

be interpolated to cumulative monthly and growing season estimates by ages from Landsat with weather-based measurements of potential ET. This ontinue to increase as gridded weather data systems evolve.

us advances in the use of Landsat data for natural resources management, have come because Landsat data are free to users. The user community will elop valuable Landsat-based applications as long as Landsat data are provided minimal cost.

by Richard G. Allen, Univ. Idaho and Tony Morse, Spatial Analysis Group, April 9, 2012.

eoEye, for example, can cover every point of the US approximately only every 160 days and 0 days.

More Information

www.idwr.idaho.gov/GeographicInfo/METRIC/et.htm

www.kimberly.uidaho.edu/water/metric

www.idwr.idaho.gov/geographicinfo/landsat/Landsat

[Concerns.htm](http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/geographicinfo/landsat/Landsat/Concerns.htm)

www.westernstatesetworkshop.com

<http://www.facebook.com/NASA.Landsat>

<http://www.facebook.com/LandsatAdvocates>

0 25 50 100 Kilometers