4th October 2011

Committee Secretary
Senate Standing Committees on Community Affairs
PO Box 6100
Parliament House
Canberra
ACT 2600 Australia

Dear Senator,

Re: Government proposal to cut the 'Better Access to Mental Health Initiative' to 10 sessions.

As a Clinical Psychologist with international experience in community mental health in adult, paediatric, and adolescent services, I am writing to express my objection to two matters (1) The Government's proposed changes to the Better Access to Mental Health Care Initiative ('Better Access Initiative') as announced in the 2011 Federal Budget, and (2) the Government’s consideration of abolishing the current ‘two-tiered’ system of Medicare Rebates to psychologists. I will address each issue in turn.


(a) The Medicare Evaluation has shown that the vast majority of clients only used 10 sessions. Firstly, there are a number of methodological issues with this evaluation which have been noted by the Australian Psychological Society, which I will mention later in point (d). But these results, taken at face value, still attract significant concerns in relation to reducing rebateable sessions.

A strong body of scientific evidence demonstrates that clinical psychological intervention for severe and complex psychological disorders requires at least 12, and in some cases up to 30 or more, sessions for effective treatment. Under the current proposal, those with the most severe or complex issues (e.g., those with eating disorders, complex obsessive-compulsive disorder, those with severe depression with suicidal features, those with complex personality issues) will be most disadvantaged. Just as it is not appropriate to arbitrarily cap a surgeon’s time in completing a major surgery, it is unjustifiable to arbitrarily (without support from evidence) reduce rebateable psychological sessions from 18 to 10.

In an era of ‘evidence-based’ practise across various industries (including Government and Health), it is apparent that the ‘evidence-base' those consulting for the Government are referring to is of poor scope and quality. The proposed cuts to the ‘Better Access Initiative’ reflects the Federal Government’s lack of understanding of the Clinical Psychology evidence-base, the nature of clinical psychological therapy, and the specific and varied needs of Australians with mental health disorders.

(b) Those presenting with only mild presentations are unlikely to be affected by the cuts to session numbers. The treatment of disorders in the moderate to severe range is the unique role of a specialist-trained Clinical Psychologist. In order to undertake comprehensive assessment and treatment of these individuals more than thirty sessions per annum are sometimes required. Clinical Psychologists should be treated as Psychiatrists are under
Medicare as both independently diagnose and treat these client cohorts within the core business of their professional practices. I acknowledge that this is unlikely to be granted presently, due to the government imperative to cut costs, however I believe this parallel highlights how ill-considered it is to reduce specialist mental health care provision.

(c) The expectation that complete and effective treatment may be provided within 10 sessions with clients experiencing severe or complex mental illness is unrealistic and endorsement of this by the government is irresponsible.

(d) The decision to reduce sessions from 18 to 10 is based upon a Medicare evaluation with significant methodological flaws which diminishes the credibility of the study. It has been reported that the study did not meet fundamental standards of research design (it did not identify the nature, diagnosis or complexity of the clients seen by psychologists by type of psychologist; it did not identify the nature or type of psychological intervention actually provided; it did not factor in or out medication use by the client; it did not factor in or out therapy adherence indicators; it did not have a valid criterion measure related to a range of diagnoses or complexity in order to assess pre and post intervention condition of clients; it did not undertake follow-up assessment of clients, which is often the point at which the relative strength of any competent treatment becomes manifest; it did not determine relapse rates by type of psychologist; it was a self-selected sample of psychologists who self-selected their clients and clinically administered the research questions in session; it was not subjected to peer review). Therefore the conclusions supporting the reduction of sessions must be considered invalid. What is needed is a well-designed prospective study aimed clearly at answering specific questions in accordance with principles of scientific, psychological research.

(2) The current two-tier Medicare Funding for Psychologists.
Relating to this second matter, I understand that there has been lobbying of the Government to abolish the two-tiered Medicare funding for psychologists (currently Clinical Psychologists are rebated by Medicare at a higher-rate than General Psychologists). Medicare is a body aimed at assisting those with clinical mental health issues, and not all psychologists are trained to do this (e.g., an Organisation Psychologist is not trained to treat Clinical psychological illness, and vice versa). Thus it would be unethical for generalist psychologists to claim to provide Clinical Psychology services.

Regarding our specialisation, Clinical Psychology requires a minimum of eight years' training and is the only profession, apart from Psychiatry, whose entire accredited and integrated postgraduate training is specifically in the field of lifespan and advanced evidence-based and scientifically-informed psychopathology, assessment, diagnosis, case formulation, psychotherapy, psychopharmacology, clinical evaluation and research across the full range of severity and complexity. We are well represented in high proportion amongst the innovators of evidence-based therapies, NH&MRC Panels, other mental health research bodies and within mental health clinical leadership positions.

Clinical Psychology is one of nine equal specialisations within Psychology. These areas of specialisation are internationally recognised, enshrined within Australian legislation, and are the basis for all industrial awards. They have been recognised since Western Australia commenced its Specialist Title Registration in 1965, and it is the West Australian model which formed the basis for the 2010 National Registration and Accreditation Scheme recognition of specialised Areas of Endorsement.

All specialisations require a minimum of eight years training including a further ACPAC accredited postgraduate training in the specialisation leading to an advanced body of psychological competency in that field. As is the case with Clinical Psychology currently, each area of
specialisation deserves a specialist rebate with its own item number relating to that which is the specialist domain of that area of psychology (e.g., for clinical neuropsychology - neuroanatomy, neuropsychological disorders/assessment rehabilitation, etc; for health - clinical health psychology, and health promotion; forensic - forensic mental health, etc). Specialist items for the other specialisations of psychology may mean that clinical psychologists might not qualify for any of those second tier items pertaining to other specialisations; however, we deeply respect specialisations within psychology and believe that our members would seek to undertake further training in those fields should they wish to seek to demonstrate that they have attained those other advanced specialised competencies that are not part of clinical psychology.

Senator, I urge you to reject these proposals immediately and instead maintain the current amount of treatment sessions available with a Clinical Psychologist under the Better Access to Mental Health Care Initiative to be 12, with an additional 6 sessions for ‘exceptional circumstances’. I also urge you to retain the current two-tiered system of Medicare rebates for psychologists, which rightfully acknowledges and respects the additional, specialist training of Clinical Psychologists in assessing and treating mental health issues.

I trust that my feedback will be given due consideration.

Yours sincerely

Clinical Psychologist