

Senate Standing Committee on Economics
ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE
Department of Industry, Science, Energy and Resources
Inquiry into Australia's Oil and Gas Reserves
20 August 2021

AGENCY/DEPARTMENT: DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRY, SCIENCE, ENERGY AND RESOURCES

TOPIC: QoN 5: The Laminaria-Corallina field

REFERENCE: Question on Notice (Hansard, 20 August 2021, Page 14)

QUESTION No.: 5

Senator PATRICK: Mr Waters, maybe noting that the Laminaria-Corallina field, as I understand, is not going to be looked at again. Even the court proceedings are not about re-establishing the field but about dismantling and disconnecting the vessel. Noting that's retired, perhaps you could provide again, with necessary in-confidence—and noting that secrecy provisions in acts don't apply to provision of data to the Senate—that particular field development plan. In some sense, it's an historical document. You can take that on notice.

Mr Waters: Again, I would need to seek guidance from the legal area within the department. But if we're able to, then we're happy to.

Senator PATRICK: Just point them to section 49 of the Constitution and some of the resolutions of the Senate when you talk to your legal team. How much resource is still in that particular field, the Laminaria and Corallina fields?

Mr Waters: I'm sorry, I don't have that information to hand.

Senator PATRICK: On notice, thank you; that would be appreciated.

ANSWER

Provision of field development plan

An extract of the contents of the field development plan is at Attachment A.

It is noted a field development plan is classified as permanently confidential through its inclusion in the definition of excluded information under regulation 1.06 of the *Offshore Petroleum and Greenhouse Gas Storage (Resource Management and Administration) Regulations 2011* (the **Regulations**) and not publicly released at any time, including when the title is no longer in force.

Administration of field development plans

Under the Regulations if a field development plan had been accepted by the Joint Authority on the commencement day of the Regulations these were transitioned under Regulation 4.16. The Regulations commenced on 1 April 2011.

NOPTA uses annual titles assessment reports (submitted under Part 3 of the Regulations), monthly production reporting (submitted under Part 7 of the Regulations), and annual performance update meetings to monitor field performance against the field development plan. Part 4 of the Regulations outlines where a field development plan must be varied.

On 8 April 2021, the Australian Government endorsed an enhanced framework for the decommissioning of offshore oil and gas infrastructure in Australia, following a comprehensive review process. The enhanced decommissioning framework will modernise field development plans and require regular updates to planning and cost estimates for activities throughout the life of the field.

Resource volumes in the Laminaria and Corallina Fields

Based on the most recent annual title assessment report NOPTA considers that, given the current status of the project, remaining oil volumes represent approximately 5 million barrels of 2C contingent resources i.e. resource volumes without an identified commercially viable development pathway. NOPTA does not independently verify these numbers.

For a production licence NOPTA receives information on reserves or resources through the annual title assessment report under regulation 3.08 of the Regulations. The amounts are self-reported by the licensee.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1-13

2 ABOUT THIS STUDY 2-14

3 PERMIT HISTORY AND STATUS 3-15

 3.1 Location 3-15

 3.2 Permit History and Licence Particulars 3-15

 3.3 Discovery Appraisal and Development Drilling 3-15

4 DEVELOPMENT PERFORMANCE TO END 2000 4-17

 4.1 Production Facilities 4-17

 4.2 Reservoir Performance 4-18

 4.2.1 Overall Production Performance 4-18

 4.2.2 Individual Well Production Performance 4-19

 4.2.3 Gas Injection Performance 4-20

 4.2.4 Summary 4-20

5 STATIC RESERVOIR MODEL UPDATE 5-21

 5.1 Additional Data Post May 1998 FDP Update 5-21

 5.1.1 Seismic Re-processing 5-21

 5.1.2 Pre-SDM Velocity Modelling and Migration 5-22

 5.1.3 Wells 5-23

 5.2 Revised Structural Mapping 5-23

 5.2.1 Historical Time Interpretation Overview 5-23

 5.2.2 Laminaria Two Way Time Interpretation 5-24

 5.2.3 Corallina Two Way Time Interpretation 5-27

 5.2.4 Time to Depth Conversion 5-27

 5.2.5 Selection of Preferred Base Case Map 5-29

 5.2.6 Changes from Previous Interpretation 5-29

 5.2.7 Interpreted Structural Style 5-30

 5.3 Revised Geological Model 5-31

 5.3.1 Reservoir Geology 5-31

 5.3.2 3D Geocellular Modelling 5-31

 5.3.3 Incorporation of Rock Properties within the Geocellular Modelling 5-32

 5.3.4 Structural Evaluation 5-33

 5.3.5 Model Evaluation 5-33

 5.4 Reconciliation of the Static Model with initial FDP and FDP Update Models 5-34

 5.4.1 Initial Fluid Contacts 5-35

 5.5 Hydrocarbons in Place 5-35

 5.5.1 Deterministic STOIP Assessment 5-36

 5.5.2 Probabilistic STOIP Assessment 5-36

6 DYNAMIC RESERVOIR UPDATE 6-41

 6.1 Additional Data Post May 1998 FDP Update 6-41

 6.1.1 Pressure Data 6-41

 6.1.2 Water Production Data 6-41

 6.1.3 Pressure Transient Data 6-41

 6.1.4 Well Productivity 6-43

 6.2 Dynamic Model 6-45

6.2.1	General Model Description	6-45
6.2.2	Model Input Assumptions.....	6-46
6.2.3	Modelling Methodology	6-53
6.3	Phase 1 Developed Reserves.....	6-57
6.3.1	Reconciliation of Deterministic Phase 1 Developed Reserves (01.98 vs 05.01)	6-61
6.4	Remaining Oil & Development Opportunities.....	6-62
6.4.1	Remaining Oil (Undeveloped Reserves).....	6-62
6.4.2	Uncertainties Relating to Remaining Oil.....	6-63
6.4.3	Development Opportunities.....	6-64
6.4.4	Locations Considered	6-64
6.4.5	Alternative Development Options Considered.....	6-65
7	PROPOSED PHASE 2 DEVELOPMENT	7-67
7.1	Scope	7-67
7.1.1	Well Engineering	7-67
7.1.2	Subsea Engineering	7-68
7.2	Phase 2 Developed Reserves	7-69
7.3	Economic Analysis.....	7-72
7.3.1	Economic Sensitivity Analysis	7-72
7.4	Health Safety and the Environment.....	7-73
7.4.1	General Principles.....	7-73
7.4.2	Responsibilities.....	7-73
7.4.3	Risk Management	7-74
7.4.4	Value Assurance – Design Safety and Integrity	7-75
7.4.5	Operations Safety Case – Personnel and Assets.....	7-75
8	FUTURE EXPLORATION, APPRAISAL AND DEVELOPMENT	8-77
9	REFERENCES.....	9-78
	APPENDIX 1 – TABULATIONS OF GEOLOGICAL DATA	79
	APPENDIX 2 - PETROPHYSICS	85
	APPENDIX 3 – DEPOSITIONAL SYSTEMS AND SYSTEMS TRACTS	87
	APPENDIX 4 – GEOCAP MODELLING.....	95
	APPENDIX 5 – MONARCH PROPERTY MODELLING EXPRESSIONS	101
	APPENDIX 6 – SCENARIO TREE LAMINARIA & CORALLINA PHASE 1	106
	APPENDIX 7 – APPROVALS REGISTER	107

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Current Laminaria Structure Map Indicating Infill Well Locations
Figure 3.1	Laminaria Unit Area
Figure 4.1	Laminaria / Corallina Phase 1 Development Schematic
Figure 4.2	Summary of Northern Endeavour Operational Performance during 2000
Figure 4.3	Laminaria Reservoir Pressure
Figure 4.4	Corallina Reservoir Pressure
Figure 4.5	Comparison Pre-RFSU Forecast Production vs Actual Performance
Figure 5.1	Seismic Survey Location Map
Figure 5.2	Woodside Pre-SDM Re-processing Flowchart
Figure 5.3	Western Geophysical Laminaria 3D Processing Workflow 1999
Figure 5.4	Overburden Velocity Layers
Figure 5.5	Comparison of 1998 vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.6	Comparison of 2000 Contractor vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.7	Comparison of 1998 vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.8	Comparison of Contractor PoSTM vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.9	Comparison of 1998 vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.10	Comparison of Contractor PoSTM vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM Processing
Figure 5.11	Comparison of 1998 vs Woodside 2000 Pre-SDM over Corallina
Figure 5.12	1996 JO Interpretation – GECO Processing
Figure 5.13	Comparison of GECO processing vs 1997 Pre-SDM
Figure 5.14	Top Reservoir (Jo) Depth Map – 1998 Pre-SDM Interpretation
Figure 5.15	JO Depth Map November 1998 (LAM-3st ‘Downthrown’ Model)
Figure 5.16	JO Depth Map November 1998 (LAM-3st ‘Upthrown’ Model)
Figure 5.17	Pre-Stack Interpretation Process Flow
Figure 5.18	Mild and Harsh Demultiples Applied to Gathers
Figure 5.19	Primary Reflection Identification Seed Grids
Figure 5.20	Laminaria-5ST Synthetic Seismogram
Figure 5.21	Laminaria-5ST VSP – Gather Tie
Figure 5.22	Laminaria-3 Synthetic Seismogram
Figure 5.23	Laminaria-3 VSP – Gather Tie
Figure 5.24	Expression of JO Seismic Event
Figure 5.25	Interpretation Methodology 1 – Near Top Reservoir Horizon (JO)
Figure 5.26	Methodology-1 (SJA) Top Reservoir (JO) TWT Structure Map
Figure 5.27	Interpretation Methodology 2 – Near Top Reservoir Horizon (JO)
Figure 5.28	Methodology-2 (DPH) Top Reservoir (JO) TWT Structure Map
Figure 5.29	Near Base Laminaria (JC) Confidence Map – Average Amplitude
Figure 5.30	Near Base Laminaria (JC) TWT Confidence Map – Filtered
Figure 5.31	Methodology-2 : Top Laminaria (JO) Confidence Map
Figure 5.32	Methodology-2 Top Laminaria (JO) TWT Confidence Map – Filtered
Figure 5.33	Methodology-1 Composite Pre-Stack Confidence Map
Figure 5.33	Methodology-1 Composite Pre-Stack Confidence Map
Figure 5.34	Pre-Stack Confidence Mapping X-Line 1570 – Low Confidence Area
Figure 5.35	Pre-Stack Confidence Mapping : X-Line 1580 – High Confidence Area
Figure 5.36	Corallina Field : Top Reservoir Two Way Time Structure Map
Figure 5.37	Corallina Field Pre-Stack Confidence Mapping – Average Peak Amplitude
Figure 5.38	Base Eocene Channel Two Way Time Map
Figure 5.39	KA to TE Interval Velocity from PreSDM Model
Figure 5.40	KA to TE Interval Velocity after 50% Anomaly Reduction
Figure 5.41	Methodology 1 : JO Depth Correction Imposed by Kriging
Figure 5.42	Methodology 2 : JO Depth Correction Imposed by Kriging
Figure 5.43	Methodology 1 : Top Reservoir Depth Structure Map
Figure 5.44	Methodology 2 : Top Reservoir Depth Structure Map
Figure 5.45	1997 FDP Update (Ref 2) TP Reservoir Depth Structure Map
Figure 5.46	Corallina Depth Calibration : Re-scaling of Raw Depth Map
Figure 5.47	Corallina Top Reservoir Depth Structure Map