

The People of Australia were not given adequate opportunity to engage with public servants PRIOR TO AND DURING the negotiations for amendments to the International Health Regulations even though [over 400 special interest lobbyists were given a seat at the negotiating table.](#)

It is clear that the negotiations were NOT designed to evaluate the violations of fundamental human rights, the poor decisions and the mistakes that were made not to mention the crimes that were committed over the past 5 years. There are many, many amendments that should have been adopted, but were not.

It is clear that the purpose of these amendments is NOT to improve the health of We the People. How can one purport to seek to prevent, prepare for and better respond to the “next pandemic” while failing to even define the terms “pandemic,” “prevention,” “preparedness,” and “response?”

The only thing that these amendments will improve is the future profits made by the ever-expanding Pharmaceutical Hospital Emergency Industrial Complex (PHEIC).

I oppose the 2024 amendments to the International Health Regulations (2005) and I DEMAND that our government reject them in their entirety before the date set out pursuant to Article 61 of the IHR for the following reasons:

Please note that in the current IHR (2005): ***“a health measure does not include law enforcement or security measures.”***

1. **Article 1** - The definition of “*pandemic emergency*” is so vague that it is meaningless. It accomplishes nothing except to offer the Director-General a means to instill needless fear on a global basis which is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
2. **Article 1** - The definition of “*relevant health products*” should not contain cell-and gene- based therapies and it completely ignores natural herbs, nutritional products and alternative remedies. Mentioned in Articles 13, 16, 17 and 18 and Annex 1, it essentially guarantees profits for the Pharmaceutical Hospital Emergency Industrial Complex (PHEIC) at the expense of more affordable, safer and more effective natural products. This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
3. **Article 1** - The failure to define the terms “pandemic,” “prevention,” “preparedness,” “response,” “safe and effective,” and “vaccine” is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
4. **Article 4** - Using two different terms to define the manner in which states parties communicate with the World Health Organization is redundant, wasteful and, quite frankly, it is simply stupid. There is simply no need for this amendment whatsoever, therefore it is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
5. **Article 10** - The amendment to this article continues to allow the World Health Organization to operate in secrecy during times of emergency “*when justified by the magnitude of the public health risk, WHO should share with other States Parties the information about the event available to it.*” Secrecy is repugnant in an open and just society. This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
6. **Article 12** - Since the definition of “pandemic emergency” is inadequate, the authority to declare a “pandemic emergency” is meaningless. It accomplishes nothing of value except to offer the Director-General a means to trigger needless fear on a global basis. Despite this new definition, the criteria for declaring various levels of health emergencies is now only more confusing. Giving the WHO Director-General

- the authority to unilaterally declare a “Pandemic Emergency (PE)” in addition to a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) with absolutely no oversight and no checks or balances on his power is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
7. **Article 13** - All of the nice sounding words in this amendment serve to hide the fact that the World Health Organization acts as the marketing and promotion arm of the Pharmaceutical Hospital Emergency Industrial Complex. (PHEIC). As stated, “*the Director-General shall... share with a State Party, upon its request, the product dossier related to a specific relevant health product, as provided to WHO by the manufacturer.*” The World Health Organization runs a highly profitable pre-qualification scheme. This blatantly corrupt form of crony-capitalism is absolutely **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 8. **Articles 15, 16, 17 and 18** - By instructing the Director General “*provide available information on any WHO-coordinated mechanism(s) concerning access to, and allocation of, relevant health products,*” the amendments to these articles simply feed into the [World Health Organization’s Pre-qualification and Emergency Use Listing money-making racket](#). These amendments are **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 9. **Article 18** - The amendment to this article stipulates that “*Recommendations issued by WHO to State Parties shall... take into account the need to... maintain international supply chains.*” While this may seem to be beneficial, it must be seen in the light of the negotiations for the proposed “*Pandemic Agreement*” which would place the World Health Organization in control of the “*Global Distribution and Logistics Network.*” This veiled grab for power, control and profit is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 10. **Article 24.1(a), Article 24.1(b) and Annex 4.1(c)** - Forcing conveyance operators to apply “health measures” on board and while travellers are embarking and disembarking in violation of fundamental freedoms is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 11. **Article 27** - The additional authority to quarantine “*suspect persons who are not ill*” is an abominable violation of the fundamental right to liberty as well as a direct violation of Article 3 of the IHR(2005). This totalitarian overreach is also found in Articles 1, 18, 27, 31, 32, 40 and Annexes 1, 7 and 8. The unwarranted quarantine of people absolutely and totally **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 12. **Article 35, Annex 6.4 and ANNEX 6.8** - The World Health Organization must not be empowered to “*develop and update, as necessary, technical guidance, including specifications or standards related to the issuance and ascertainment of authenticity of health documents.*” The mere requirement of any form of “*health document*” is a violation of the fundamental right to privacy and is clearly **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 13. **Article 43** - The WGIHR should have worked to increase transparency, but this amendment would institute exactly the opposite by requiring that “*information shared during the consultation must be kept confidential.*” Secrecy is repugnant in an open and just society. This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 14. **Article 44** - This amendment requires “*States Parties... shall maintain or increase domestic funding, as necessary, and collaborate... to strengthen sustainable financing to support the implementation of these Regulations.*” HOW MUCH IS THIS GOING TO COST? This open ended agreement to keep throwing money at the Pharmaceutical Hospital Emergency Industrial Complex shows that the negotiators learned nothing over the past 5 years. This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
 15. **Article 44(bis)** - Article 21 of the World Health Organization’s Constitution has no provision for a “*Coordinating Financial Mechanism*” to be included in the International Health Regulations. Such a “*Mechanism*” is outside of the Constitutional authority of the World Health Organization and **MUST** not be included

within the IHR. Please note the recommendation made by the International Health Regulations Review Committee on page 71 of their Final Report: *“The Committee notes that, under Article 44, WHO already has a role, in collaboration with States Parties, to mobilize financial resources, and cautions against creating an explicit financing function for WHO under the Regulations.”* Not only is this unconstitutional, it is also **UNACCEPTABLE**.

https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr2/A_WGIHR2_5-en.pdf

16. **Article 44(bis)** - The failure to define the details of the *“Coordinating Financial Mechanism”* while empowering unelected, unaccountable and unknown future bureaucrats to control the *“Mechanism,”* the failure to determine how much this is going to cost, the failure to require full transparency and make public a complete audit trail as well as the failure to ensure that conflicts of interest will be prevented are all **UNACCEPTABLE**.
17. **Article 44(bis)** - How much money does our nation intend to voluntarily donate to the extremely vague *“Coordinating Financial Mechanism”*? We the people will have absolutely no control over who receives that money, nor will be able to determine how it is to be spent. How will we ever know if the expenditures were of any benefit? What are the metrics and means of analysis by which anyone could ever determine whether or not the spending of our money accomplished anything other than to make the recipients more wealthy? This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
18. **Article 44(bis) 2(e)** - The *“Coordinating Financial Mechanism”* is quite likely going to allow unelected brokers within the financial elite to influence and control the manner in which money is provided and utilized. This is not a method by which health will be improved. This is merely a cover story for the ongoing expansion of their wealth. This is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
19. **Article 44(bis) 2(e)** - The *“Coordinating Financial Mechanism”* will be empowered to *“leverage voluntary monetary contributions for organizations.”* This is such an obvious example of corrupt crony capitalism at its very worst that it is clearly **UNACCEPTABLE**.
20. **Article 45** - The fact that *“States Parties may **process and** disclose personal data”* is absolutely **UNACCEPTABLE**.
21. **Article 54(bis)** The creation of a States Parties Committee for the Implementation of the IHR (2005) to *“facilitate the effective implementation”* of the *“Coordinating Financial Mechanism”* along with the creation of a sub-committee to *“provide technical advice”* is yet another example of useless and wasteful bureaucracy that is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
22. **Annex 1.2(c)(i) and Annex 1.3(b)** Directing member nations to develop, strengthen and maintain their core capacities for surveillance must be limited in its scope in order to protect individual rights. The ongoing and ever-increasing invasion of our privacy and fundamental freedoms is **UNACCEPTABLE**.
23. **Annex 1.2(c) and Annex 1.3(i)** - Directing member nations to develop, strengthen and maintain the core capacity to address misinformation and disinformation runs the risk of violating the fundamental right of freedom of speech. This *“surveillance”* must reflect back solely upon the government’s duty to provide accurate information to the public, and must not, in any way, be used to censor public discourse, which is completely, and undeniably **UNACCEPTABLE**.
24. **Annex 2** - There needs to be an acknowledgement that by crossing out *“wild-type”* poliovirus, the WHO is admitting that vaccine-derived poliovirus has become a global health problem that was self-induced. The massive iatrogenic causation of disease by the very treatments that are claimed to prevent such disease (*“pandemic related health*

products” is at the core of why the actions of the World Health Organization in general and specifically the 2024 amendments to the International Health Regulations are **UNACCEPTABLE**.

25. **Annex 6** - The possibility of “*someone who is unable to sign*” being given a “vaccination” without their consent and stipulating that “*the guardian shall sign the certificate on their behalf*” opens the possibility for enormous abuses of power and is absolutely **UNACCEPTABLE**.

I DEMAND that the 2024 amendments to the International Health Regulations be rejected in their entirety.

Sincerely,

The following are the names of the 15 Reject the Amendments Submitters

David Iorlano

Dr Rupert Good

Mr Andrzej Socha

Mr David Hensley

Mr Franco Smarigiassi

Mr John Oldham

Mr Jonathan Parkin

Mr Michael Higgins

Mr Stephen Mitchell

Mr William Smythe

Mrs Daniella Whitehead

Mrs Erika Harvey

Mrs Kim Gierdien

Ms Anne Millar

Ms Sandi Sultanowsky