

**Subject:** sub 4 submission on surrogacy  
**Date:** Sunday, 31 January 2016 4:14:44 PM

---

I am writing to you regarding the upcoming inquiry on surrogacy.

I have only just heard about this inquiry, so will give just a short response. I hope to be able to give a more detailed response, but if not this will have to suffice.

I would to explain what surrogacy is. It is the use of an egg and a sperm to create an embryo. This embryo is then incubated for nine months. Which then turns into a baby. This baby is then raised to adulthood to become a productive member of our society. It is not rocket science, and you probably know all this.

What seems not to be understood is that this person identifies with the egg, as the egg is produced by the grandmother, resides in the mother's body for her life, to conception. That egg develops and stores memory of the mother's life and her connection to her community and ancestry. The sperm is produced in the last few months before conception, and absorbs the energy from the surrounding environment. I do not have time to reference all this at the moment.

This created embryo bonds in utero to the gestational carrier. It develops the taste for the foods of the mother, this is a survival instinct, to learn to eat the right foods. It also develops the language of its mother, and is able to cry in a tone that the mother understands. German babies cry on the German inflection and French babies cry on the French inflection.

Babies respond differently to male voices and to female voices. So a baby being commissioned by a gay male couple should have the child carried by a male incubator.

The child attaches to the primary carer. If this is not the actual incubator and egg, this attachment becomes a pathological attachment. Just remember that the adoption industry created a theory called attachment theory to justify their crimes of removing healthy newborns. Attachment theory is a man-made construct, not based on reality.

So we have children of adoption who are angry because they know they are taken, but have been groomed to believe a falsehood. At least we can see their anger. Children of surrogacy bond to the surrogate, and identify with the egg donor, and then pathologically attach to the commissioner. They end up confused.

Because the trauma of adoption and surrogacy is so great it can take twenty + years for this trauma to materialize. People of adoption are taking forty to fifty years to start to comprehend their loss.

Is there any difference between altruistic and commercial surrogacy? NO.

Even if the altruism is from a mother to her daughter, the honeymoon eventually ends and the reality sets in, the grandmother continually mourns the loss of her child. This is likely to sever the relationship between mother and daughter.

The argument that it is better to have surrogacy here where we can regulate it does not stack up. It is currently illegal in a number of jurisdictions. It is about time the law was enforced and people prosecuted. If the current law enforcers are unable or unwilling to enforce the current

laws, what evidence is there that any new law will be enforced.

I will not waste any more of my time on this, the law will be changed and we will go down a slippery slope that we cannot return from. Though those that vote for this will have their names recorded and (if they are not recipients of this monstrosity) available for their grandchildren and beyond to know they voted for a form of slavery and child trafficking.

PS I have just returned from an international conference on adoption research, and what lies ahead for humanity in the future, makes Hitler's medical experiments in the death camps seem mild.

Can I request that before a vote every member acknowledge and conflict of interest.

Yours Sincerely