

Committee Secretary
Select Committee on Strengthening Multiculturalism
Department of the Senate
PO Box 6100
Canberra ACT 2600

28 April 2017

Dear Secretary

RE: MULTICULTURALISM DEBATE

The views and opinions expressed here are a threat to the enemies of Australia with the hostile agenda of subverting the racial basis for a progressive cohesive White Australia nation.

It would not surprise me if this submission is censored because it stands in direct opposition to the stated objectives of this Select Committee on Strengthening Multiculturalism. That would be the worst possible type of free speech censorship. However if there is anyone loyal to Australia in this committee, or perhaps in the entire Parliament House, please prevent this cowardly political censorship. There are very good reasons to denounce and condemn multiculturalism as an extremist diabolical political ideology for the disintegration of harmonious homogenous singular race-based nations.

If an enemy wants to destroy a country from within without war, what it would do in secret is to annihilate the sense of nationalism and diminish the majority racial stock of the country. In Australia this means the White race and using the lethal social engineering weapons of **multiracialism, multiculturalism** and **globalism**.

This ideological pathology is engineered to fracture and divide a nation into different groups with conflicting morals, values and cultures. The different groups do not work together for the betterment of the nation and the different groups within it, they work towards advancing their own group interests. State enforced multiculturalism is nothing short of biological warfare waged against Australian Whites.

Promoting racial intermixing is key to this subversion because the White European people of Australia would be genetically destroyed to cause

White population replacement and eventual genocide extermination of all White European ethnic races in Australia.

The first Australian Federal Parliament session in 1901 established a de-facto White Australia Policy. The overwhelming majority of Australians during that period, not yet corrupted and fooled into acceptance of multiculturalism, were able to clearly express this natural healthy aspirations for the protection of their White racial heritage. At the beginning of Federation, no politician would dare violate the national goal of preserving a White Australia.

This Government has betrayed Australia's founding principles and the intent of the founding fathers and colonial pioneers of Australia prior to and upon federation in 1901 which was to strictly preserve a White Australia country though the Immigration Restriction Act 1901 with the greatest degree of unanimity supported by all Australians then for more than 50 years later.

The following are quotes from Hansard archives proving my contention and anyone needing a fuller account should read the parliamentary debates leading up to the enactment of the Immigration Restriction Act on 23 December 1901 and subsequent bill amendment debates.

"I should like to say further that there is no intention and no desire on the part of this Government to take any step which will lead to any permanent settlement of coloured persons in Australia. The prevention of that is the object of the Immigration Restriction Act."

--- Mr Edmond Barton (Hunter---First Prime Minister of Federated Australia, Minister for External Affairs 1901)

"When this Government was first formed, and met in Sydney, in January, we determined to place a "White Australia," as it was briefly termed, as the first plank of our political platform. We determined to give effect to this by a series of measures relating to aliens within our borders, to aliens outside our borders, whom we desire to keep permanently outside, and by other measures flowing from these."

--- Mr. Alfred Deakin (Ballarat---Attorney-General 1901)

"This land is our land, to own and to develop. Our claim to hold this country and to continue its development rests not on conquest nor on feelings of hostility to any other people. It is based on the great work

of our fathers, our grandfathers and great-grandfathers—the men who explored our great continent, tilled its land, built its cities, and developed its industries. Our forefathers handed to us a proud heritage. We can only preserve our heritage for our descendants by preserving the homogeneity of our race, and that we must and will do."

“Let there be no mistake. The White Australia policy is a racial policy. It is the sheerest humbug and the silliest form of appeasement to say that economics and not race determined the policy in the days of our fathers. It was racial in the beginning and will remain racial to the end”.

--- Mr. Arthur Calwell (Melbourne---Minister for Information and Minister for Immigration 1949)

Response to terms of reference

a) the views and experiences of people from culturally and linguistically diverse, and new and emerging communities;

Every instance of trying to mix people of ethnic racial dissimilarity results in more social tensions and upheavals in any nation. The greater the cultural and linguistic difference, the more ruinous nation wrecking impact.

b) the adequacy and accessibility of settlement and social inclusion services and resources available to individuals and communities;

No provision is to be specially catered for people of other races who are in Australia because this encourages more of such alien races to come here.

c) the adequacy of existing data collection and social research on racially motivated crimes;

Existing data collection and social research on racially motivated crimes are skewed to hide the greater propensity of crimes of all non-White races, but in particular the phenomenally much higher rate of petty crimes of Aboriginals. In all Western countries, where there is

a flood of third-world immigrants, the crime rates have soared tremendously.

A very pertinent case-study is the media-censored stark increase of racially-motivated crimes of Blacks against Whites in South Africa ever since White-rule was foolishly disposed in favour of democratic majority Black-rule in 1994. Blatant genocide in progress. Is promoting multiculturalism more important than preventing wholesale genocide of the White race?

d) the impact of discrimination, vilification and other forms of exclusion and bigotry on the basis of 'race', colour, national or ethnic origin, culture or religious belief;

It is a healthy natural instinct to identify with people of one's own race and culture and look upon others as foreign and to be wary of associating with such alien racial people and customs. The rights of free associations demand that no such prohibitions be enacted against discrimination, vilification and other forms of exclusion and bigotry on the basis of race, colour, national or ethnic origin, culture or religious belief.

Those who choose to come into a land that is foreign to their kind must take on the consequences of challenging socio-economic problems of assimilation in their stride. This is indeed very good as it forces them to blend into the existing majority White Australian customs better.

Those who oppose this racist stance are hypocrites who lie about safeguarding Australian values but allow all kinds of foreign cultures and religions inside that ultimately destroy the White Australian identity.

e) the impact of political leadership and media representation on the prevalence of vilification and other forms of exclusion and bigotry on the basis of 'race', colour, national or ethnic origin, culture or religious belief;

The political leadership and especially media representation have been relentlessly suppressive of all sense of White Australian pride and patriotism to the extent that it is indistinguishable from an enemy propaganda tool hell-bent on annihilation of White racial awareness and nationalism.

On the contrary, what goes for popular Australian culture now in the enemy-controlled mass media is very degenerate 'political correctness' multiracialism psychological warfare against White Australians.

f) how to improve the expected standards of public discourse about matters of 'race', colour, national or ethnic origin, culture or religious belief;

The standards of public discourse would be greatly improved if the Racial Discrimination Act 18C and 18D were totally repealed so that Australians reclaim their vital non-negotiable absolute responsible rights to freedom of speech. The Government has no lawful right to impose ludicrous legal restrictions, contrived upon vilification, hurt feelings or even verbal harassment, on this essential fundamental freedom from which every other issues forth. Such state-sanctioned transgressions of rights are a harbinger of an emerging draconian communist brutal regime.

In a homogenous White majority Australia, there would not be the need for curtailment of the freedoms we cherish because there would not be multiculturalism-induced social conflicts and ills. Therefore multiculturalism policies must be stopped and reversed for robust free public discourse in society.

g) how to better recognise and value the contribution that diverse communities bring to Australian social and community life;

This country would be better served if the pitfalls and social problems that racially alien communities cause are highlighted to heighten the warning against forgetting the dangers of multiculturalism. There is more harm than good to the presence of racially diverse communities, the more racially different the worse the consequences.

It is counterproductive to give prominence to such contributions as it would dilute the sense of racial national pride within the majority White Australians.

h) the potential benefits and disadvantages of enshrining principles of multiculturalism in legislation;

Absolutely nothing of such enshrinement principles of multiculturalism in legislation must ever be tolerated. It is an act of treason of this Government to even consider such nation destructive legislation. All those who attempt to do so must be severely reprimanded, be relieved of their official positions and face charges of treachery.

i) the potential benefits and disadvantages of establishing a legislative basis for the Multicultural Advisory Council, or for an ongoing Multicultural Commission; and

No such Multicultural Advisory Council or Multicultural Commission must ever be created. Those attempting to do so must be stripped of their right to be in government and face a people's court for punishment of their treasonous legislation.

j) any related matters.

Race is a biological reality fact and not a social construct. This committee has chosen to cynically put race within inverted commas so as to psychologically diminish the vital important reality of race. Anti-racism is a war against Whites in their own countries.

Racism is racial loyalism, loyalty to the interests of one's race. Racists are racial loyalists, loyal and true to the vital and best interests of their race, to the interests of its past and future generations, to the line that made them, that gave them life and being, to their ancestors who created them and their descendants who depend on them for creation.

A particular racial group that dominates the world wants others to loose their innate instinct for racial survival and right of racial self-preservation while they despicably promote their vile racial supremacism through the guise of their religion. That heinous racial subversion for the aim of White race extermination must be stopped and outlawed, not healthy racism.

Yours faithfully

Ivan Chan