HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Standing Committee on Economics

Flood insurance inquiry: Additional questions, March 2024

Additional data

- 21. Please complete this workbook of additional data requests, covering:
 - a. Flood-related claims categories
 - b. Complaint numbers
 - c. Number and percentage of claims initially denied or partially denied
 - d. Complaints to IDR, and IDR decisions
 - e. Referral to the Australian Financial Complaints Authority (AFCA)
 - f. Decisions at AFCA
 - g. Main sources of disputes referred to AFCA
 - h. Claims-handling staff numbers
 - i. IDR staff numbers

If you do not collect some/all of this data, please indicate why not.

If alternative relevant data is available, please provide this.

If there are particular caveats around data interpretation, please include these.

a: Flood insurance-related claims categories

Total no. flood- related claims		,	% contents only claim		% small business claim
18,648	31%	51%	8%	5%	4%

Data caveats (if any):

The provided total claim number is representative of all relevant claims lodged with regards to the four CAT events (not solely flood-related claims).

For clarity, the '%building only claim' category has been added.

b: Complaint numbers

Flood event	Total no. claims lodged	Total number complaints	% of claims for which complaints were lodged	% of total complaints that went to IDR	IDR cases as a % of total claims lodged
CAT 221	16,044	8,433	29%	14%	7%
SE 222	1,887	798	26%	31%	13%
CAT 223	589	289	24%	25%	12%
SE 224	128	68	27%	34%	18%
Total	18,648	9,588	28%	15%	8%

Data caveats (if any):

The provided claim numbers are representative of all relevant claims lodged with regards to each of the four CAT events (not solely flood-related claims).

Hollard interpreted 'went to IDR' as complaints managed by IDR team, rather than frontline teams.

For columns E and F, this data was not captured in a reportable format prior to Oct-2022.

There may be multiple complaints for one claim.

c: Number and % of claims initially denied or partially denied

Flood event	No. claims denied	•	% of denied claims	% of denied claims due to other policy exclusion
CAT 221	2970	1020	2%	98%
SE 222	470	132	1%	99%
CAT 223	58	30	0	100%
SE 224	9	14	0	100%
Total	3507	1196	2%	98%

Data caveats (if any):

The provided claim numbers are representative of relevant claims denied or partially denied with regards to each of the four CAT events (not solely flood-related claims).

Please note that as per guidance from the ICA, the data provided reflects data as at October 2023; for the four events specifically covered by the Committee's terms of reference; and only for home and contents, motor, and commercial lines. Please also refer to Attachment B, Preliminary Matters of our previous submission.

The data included in column E provides the '% of denied claims due to other policy exclusion' as a percentage of all denials (i.e. claims partially denied and claims fully denied).

d: Complaints to IDR, and IDR decisions

Flood event	Total no. complaints handled	No. cases – insurer's decision upheld	No. cases resolved in full favour of policyholder.	No. cases resolved in partial favour of policyholder; whether in relation to claim or in the form of a financial or non-financial remedy	No. unresolved cases at IDR
CAT 221	8,433	2589	Not Available	Not Available	26
SE 222	798	329	Not Available	Not Available	1
CAT 223	289	96	Not Available	Not Available	4
SE 224	68	22	Not Available	Not Available	0
Total	9,588	3036	Not Available	Not Available	31

Data caveats (if any):

Hollard categorises a complaint referred to IDR as 'insurer's decision upheld' in circumstances where there is no change to the insurer's decision and there was no apology issued or ex-gratia payment offered. Where the claim decision remain unchanged, but an apology was issued or exgratia payment made, this would be categorised as in favour of the customer.

The breakdown associated with no. of cases resolved in full favour or partial favour of policy holder is not available.

The 'total no. complaints handled' includes all frontline, IDR and EDR complaints.

e: Referral to AFCA

Flood event	No. claims that went to AFCA	% of total claims referred to AFCA
CAT 221	426	3%
SE 222	30	2%
CAT 223	60	10%
SE 224	0	0%
Total	516	3%

Data caveats (if any):

We have used the AFCA Portal and AFCA's definition of a complaint to provide this data. AFCA has the authority to refer complaints back to the financial firm for a final opportunity to resolve at IDR (as indicated on page 37 of AFCA's Submission to the Committee in October 2023). The AFCA data as reflected in column B captures complaints that AFCA referred back to Hollard at IDR, as well as EDR complaints.

% of total claims referred to AFCA' has been interpreted as a % of total claims referred to AFCA for the specific flood event.

f: Decisions at AFCA

Flood event	No. cases – insurer's decision/handling upheld	No. cases – insurer's decision/handling partially upheld	No. cases – insurer's claim decision overturned/rejected in full favour of policyholder		% cases to AFCA that were resolved early*
CAT 221	15	9	12	32	90.86
SE 222	1	0	0	10	95.24
CAT 223	0	0	0	18	100
SE 224	0	0	0	0	N/A
Total	16	9	12	60	

^{*}AFCA cases referred early = they did not require the appointment of an adjudicator, panel or ombudsman to make determinations

Data caveats (if any):

We have used the AFCA Portal and AFCA's definition of a complaints to provide this data. AFCA has the authority to refer complaints back to the financial firm for a final opportunity to resolve at IDR (as indicated on page 37 of AFCA's Submission to the Committee in October 2023). The AFCA data captures complaints that AFCA referred back to Hollard at IDR, as well as EDR complaints.

g: Main sources of disputes referred to AFCA

	ISSUE	% of all complaints to AFCA
1	Denial of Claim	37
2	Delay in claim handling	37
3	Claim amount	22
4	Other	4
5	Total	100

Data caveats (if any):

We have used the AFCA Portal and AFCA's definition of a complaint to provide this data. AFCA has the authority to refer complaints back to the financial firm for a final opportunity to resolve at IDR (as indicated on page 37 of AFCA's Submission to the Committee in October 2023). The AFCA data captures complaints that AFCA referred back to Hollard at IDR, as well as EDR complaints.

h: Claims-handling staff numbers

Year	Permanent FTE	Temporary FTE	Lotal claims lodged	Ratio of perm. FTE to claims	Ratio of total FTE to claims
2019	272	25	149572	1:550	1:503
2020	300	25	154805	1:516	1:476
2021	349	86	155520	1:446	1:358
2022	490	119	160348	1:327	1:263
2023	605	81	130763	1:216	1:191
2024 (March)	637	113	38785	N/A	N/A

Data caveats (if any):

Number of claims lodged based on all claim reported calendar year e.g. 1 Jan 2019 - 31 Dec 2020.

FTE data reflects data as at end Dec of the allocated year, except for 2024, where figures were provided for end March 2024.

2024 ratios are not comparable, given mid time period and therefore have not been included.

i: IDR staff numbers

Year	Permanent FTE	Temporary FTE	Total cases (complaints) handled	Ratio of perm. FTE to complaints	Ratio of total FTE to complaints
2019	30		We do not have the breakdown of data between IDR and frontline prior to October 2022.	N/A	N/A
2020	31		We do not have the breakdown of data between IDR and frontline prior to October 2022.	N/A	N/A
2021	36		We do not have the breakdown of data between IDR and frontline prior to October 2022.	N/A	N/A
2022	50		We do not have the breakdown of data between IDR and frontline prior to October 2022.	N/A	N/A
2023	50	0	12169	1:243	N/A
2024	49	0	2558	N/A	N/A

Data caveats (if any):

These staff numbers are for customer resolution team staff who manage both IDR and EDR complaints. Hollard does not have separate teams that soley manages IDR complaints or EDR complaints.

FTE data reflects data as at end Dec of the allocated year, except for 2024, where figures were provided for end March 2024. 2024 ratios are not comparable, given mid time period and therefore have not been included.