

I wish to make comments on the green loans scheme.

I have been an energy auditor, subcontracting to the HEAT scheme in the ACT. I feel this scheme has been working well and the clients have gained improved understanding of energy processes and their options in dealing with them. I have done the training to be a green loans assessor but was so discouraged by the awkwardness of the process that I did not want to get involved until things had been made clearer and the bureaucratic morass cleared. I was especially put off by the allocation system where the times were fixed and one had to adjust one's life around keeping these times free rather than the system used in the HEAT scheme, where the auditor is matched with a client and then they make their own arrangements to find a time mutually suitable and thus the auditor s can organise arrangements for minimum travel.

I am a sleeper in the Green Loans Scheme but I am experienced with over 300 audits completed so I feel my expertise would be to some extent wasted if the sleeper clause were brought in to reduce the numbers of assessors..

I feel that if the bureaucracy of the ACT HEAT system was more closely matched, including the assessments and reports, then the scheme could be significantly streamlined with a higher degree of professionalism.

Regards,

Robert