Submission Regarding The Criminal Code and Other Legislation Amendment (Removing Commonwealth Restrictions on Cannabis) Bill 2018

We welcome the opportunity to make a submission regarding the Criminal Code and Other Legislation Amendment (Removing Commonwealth Restrictions on Cannabis) Bill 2018 ("the Bill") currently before the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee.

360Edge is Australia’s leading specialist alcohol and other drug consultancy, combining decades of academic research and clinical experience to provide effective evidence-based solutions to alcohol and drug related policy and responses.

In relation to the Bill, 360Edge supports the decriminalisation of possession and use of cannabis, as well as a regulated recreational cannabis market.

In particular, we agree with key propositions put in the Explanatory Memorandum of the Bill that:

- Cannabis causes less net harm than alcohol and tobacco.
- Cannabis criminalisation puts unnecessary pressure on the criminal justice system and incentivises organised crime.
- Cannabis criminalisation is a barrier to successful treatment of cannabis dependence and other related harms.

The following will outline the key arguments for and arguments cannabis legalisation to assist policy makers in reaching a conclusion on the best course of action for Australia.

Cannabis Policies Locally and Abroad

In Australia, cannabis possession and use is currently illegal. But in several states and territories (South Australia, ACT and Northern Territory) a small amount for personal use is decriminalised.¹ That is, it is illegal, but not a criminal offence.

In all other jurisdictions cannabis is subject to discretionary diversion usually by police (referred to as “depenalisation”).²

---


² Ibid.
Several jurisdictions around the world have now legalised cannabis, including Uruguay, Catalonia and nine states in the United States.  

Legalisation of cannabis is relatively recent in most jurisdictions so the long-term benefits or problems of legalisation are not yet known. However, one study found little effect of legalisation on drug use or other outcomes, providing support for neither opponents nor advocates of legalisation. Other studies have shown no increase in use, even among teens.  

Canada is well underway to legalising cannabis, with legislation expected to be implemented within the next few months, and the New Zealand Prime Minister has flagged a referendum on the issue.  

Full decriminalisation of cannabis along with all illicit drugs has occurred in Portugal, a move which has received considerable praise and support within drug policy circles. Portugal’s drug decriminalisation approach has been shown to have increased the number of dependent users seeking treatment and drastically decreased drug-related harms.  

In the latest National Drug Strategy Household Survey, 75% of respondents were in favour of decriminalisation and around a quarter supported cannabis legalisation.  

In a 2015 opinion poll, around 30% of Australians thought cannabis should be legal. Teenagers 14–17 years old were least likely to support legalisation (21% of that age group) and 18–24 year olds were most likely to support it (36% of that age group).  

**Concerns about cannabis legalisation**  

Opponents of legalisation are concerned it will increase use, increase crime, increase risk of car accidents, and reduce public health – including mental health. Many are also concerned cannabis is a “gateway” drug.  

The “gateway drug” hypothesis was discounted decades ago. Although cannabis usually comes before other illegal drug use, the majority of people who use cannabis do not go on to use other drugs. In addition, alcohol and tobacco usually precede cannabis use, which if the theory were correct would make those drugs the “gateway”.  

There is also no evidence legalisation increases use. But studies have shown some additional health risks, including:

---
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11 Above at n4.  
12 Hall, W. What has research over the past two decades revealed about the adverse health effects of recreational cannabis use? Addiction 110, 19-35. 
- Around 10% of adults and one in six teens who use regularly will become dependent
- Regular cannabis use doubles the risk of psychotic symptoms and schizophrenia
- Teen cannabis use is associated with poorer school outcomes but causation has not been established
- Driving under the influence of cannabis doubles the risk of a car crash
- Smoking while pregnant affects a baby’s birth weight.

However, the causal link between many of these risks and cannabis has not been established. For example, it is not clear whether people who are at risk of schizophrenia are more likely to use cannabis or whether cannabis increases the risk of developing schizophrenia. Given the small number of people who use cannabis regularly, the population health risks are relatively minor. For example, 1% of the general population has been diagnosed with schizophrenia. Among people who use cannabis regularly the risk doubles to 2%. Although 10% of the population has used cannabis in the past year, only 3% of the population uses weekly or more. Therefore, the increased risk of schizophrenia applies only to 3% of the population.

Arguments for changes to legislation

Arguments in favour of legalisation centre on reducing harms, reducing social costs, raising tax revenue and as well as civil liberties concerns.

Reducing harms

Australia’s official drug strategy is based on a platform of harm minimisation, including supply reduction, demand reduction (prevention and treatment) and harm reduction. Arguably, policies should therefore have a net reduction in harm.

A focus on harms is more consistent with this policy than a focus on percentage of people who use. Changes in use do not always directly correlate with changes in harms. We have seen in the last 10 years a decrease in the number of people using methamphetamine but a significant increase in harms, and an increase in the number of people using cocaine with no recorded increase in harms.

However, some of the major harms from using illicit drugs are precisely because they are illegal. A significant harm is having a criminal record for possessing drugs that are for personal use. This can negatively impact a person’s future, including careers and travel. Decriminalisation of cannabis would also reduce these harms without requiring full legalisation.

Reducing crime and social costs

A large proportion of the work of the justice system (police, courts and prisons) is spent on drug-related offences. Yet, as Mick Palmer, former AFP Commissioner, has noted “drug law enforcement has had little impact on the Australian drug market”.

Decriminalisation may reduce the burden on the justice system, but probably not as much as full legalisation because police and court resources would still be used for cautioning, issuing fines, or diversion to education or treatment.

Decriminalisation and legalisation both potentially reduce the involvement of the justice system and also of the black market growing and selling of cannabis.

---

Raising tax revenue

Economic analysis of the impact of cannabis legalisation calculate the net social benefit of legalisation at A$727.5 million per year. This is significantly higher than the status quo at around A$295 million (for example from fines generating revenue, as well as perceived benefits of criminalisation deterring use).

The Parliamentary Budget Office estimates tax revenue from cannabis legalisation at around A$259 million.16

Civil liberties

Many see cannabis prohibition as an infringement on civil rights, citing the limited harms associated with cannabis use. This includes the relatively low rate of dependence and very low likelihood of overdosing on cannabis, as well as the low risk of harms to people using or others.17

Many activities that are legal are potentially harmful: driving a car, drinking alcohol, bungee jumping. Rather than making them illegal, there are guidelines, laws and education to make them safer that creates a balance between civil liberties and safety.

Conclusion

There is an abundance of evidence to support the decriminalisation of the possession and use of cannabis. Consistent with Australia’s official drug policy it will reduce harms significantly and there is no evidence that it will increase use. In addition, decriminalisation starts to bring legislation in line with community and expert opinion that drug use should be taken out of the criminal justice system. Overall, the limited data from overseas legalisation policies appear to support a case to trial cannabis legalisation in Australia.

Yours sincerely,

Professor Nicole Lee
Director at 360Edge
Adjunct Professor at the National Drug Research Institute

---
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