Trans-Pacific Partnership Submission 1

C L I F F O R D C H A N C E

CLIFFORD CHANCE

LEVEL 7, 190 ST GEORGES TERRACE PERTH, WA 6000 AUSTRALIA

TEL +618 9262 5555 FAX +618 9262 5522

www.cliffordchance.com

By email: jsct@aph.gov.au

10 October 2016

Committee Secretary
Joint Standing Committee on Treaties
PO Box 6021
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Members of the Committee

I refer to your ongoing inquiry into the Trans-Pacific Partnership. In the evidence I gave at the hearing in Perth on Wednesday 5 October 2015, I took one question on notice and also referred to two research papers.

# Question taken on notice

The question I took on notice concerned the total number of known instances of Australian companies/investors bringing Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) proceedings against foreign States. I stated my belief that the total number was 11, but, having conducted further research, I believe the number is 10. The relevant cases are listed below:

- 1. Lighthouse Corporation Pty Ltd and Lighthouse Corporation Ltd, IBC v. Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste, ICSID Case No. ARB/15/2, registered 14 January 2015, based on private contract (pending);
- 2. African Petroleum Gambia Limited (Block A1) v. Gambia, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/6, registered 12 March 2014, based on private contract (pending);
- 3. African Petroleum Gambia Limited (Block A4) v. Gambia, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/7, registered 12 March 2014, based on private contract (pending);
- 4. Tullow Uganda Operations Pty Ltd and Tullow Uganda Limited v. Uganda, ICSID Case No. ARB/13/25, registered 26 September 2013, based on private contract (concluded);

JSCOT\_TPP\_SRL

## Trans-Pacific Partnership Submission 1

#### CLIFFORD CHANCE

- 5. Tullow Uganda Operations PTY LTD v. Uganda, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/34, registered 31 October 2012, based on private contract (pending but possibly concluded status not clear);
- 6. Tethyan Copper Company Pty Ltd v. Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/1, filed 12 January 2012, based on Australia-Pakistan BIT (pending);
- Planet Mining Pty Ltd v. Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/40, registered 26
  December 2012, based on Indonesia-Australia BIT (pending; consolidated with
  Churchill Mining v. Indonesia, ICSID Case No. ARB/12/14, registered 22 June 2012,
  based on Indonesia-UK BIT);
- 8. Russell Resources International Limited et al v. Democratic Republic of Congo, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/11, registered 6 April 2004, based on private contract (discontinued under ICSID Administrative and Financial Regulation 14(3)(d) on 10 February 2009);
- 9. Misima Mines Pty. Ltd. v. Papua New Guinea, ICSID Case No. ARB/96/2, registered 29 April 1996 (discontinued under ICSID Arbitration Rule 44 on 14 May 2001); and
- 10. White Industries Australia Limited v. India, UNCITRAL, filed in 2010, based on Australia-India BIT (concluded award rendered 30 November 2011).

Further information for cases 1-9 above is available on the website of the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes. Please note that, as I said in my evidence, there may be more examples than the 10 cases listed above, as some forms of ISDS are conducted on a private and confidential basis.

# Papers referred to or tendered

At the beginning of my evidence, I commented on the evidence of Dr Jeffrey Wilson concerning the trend towards multilateralism in trade and investment treaty practice. In this context, I handed-up a paper of mine titled "Green multilateralism: the changing interface between environmental regulation and the investment treaty system". The citation for that paper is as follows:

Sam Luttrell, "Green multilateralism: the changing interface between environmental regulation and the investment treaty system", in Kate Miles (ed.), Research Handbook on Environment and Investment Law (Elgar Publishing, forthcoming, 2016)

Later in my evidence, in answering a question (posed by the Member for Forrest, Ms Nola Marino MP) concerning the potential for Australia to face ISDS claims by United States

## Trans-Pacific Partnership Submission 1

CLIFFORD CHANCE

investors, I referred to a 2014 research paper prepared for the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of The Netherlands. The citation for that research paper is as follows:

Christian Tietje & Freya Baetens, "The Impact of Investor-State-Dispute Settlement (ISDS) in the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership - Study Prepared for Minister for Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands", MINBUZA-2014.78850 (2014)

The part of the Tietje/Baetens paper that I quoted in my answer is on page 9.

I hope this information is useful to the Committee. I take this opportunity to thank the Committee for involving me in this important process. If I can be of any further assistance to the Committee, please let me know.

Yours faithfully

Dr Sam Luttrell Counsel Clifford Chance