

Question without Notice

Q.: "What would I like to see as outcome of this Senate Inquiry?"

Dear Senators

Rachel Siewert

Claire Moore

Carol Brown

Bridget McKenzie

The two other questions concerning the Institute of Family Studies and the Constitution are in the process of being researched by me.

Both replies will be sent as soon as possible.

Yours truly,

Janice Kashin

Janice Kashin

PREFACE

Crimes that Shocked Australia

"CHAPTER SEVEN:

CORRUPTION, INCOMPETENCE & FEUDS

The infamous Rum Rebellion of colonial times featured an illegal alignment of law enforcement figures, criminals and the controlling political elite. While this period was a black spot on the integrity of Australian history, some experts believe that the misuse of power observed in NSW between 1965-76 was even more corrupt in its magnitude.

The Liberal Country Coalition parties governed the state then, and the corruption of politicians and elements of the police force was widespread. Fred Hansen was Police Commissioner for some of those years, and it is rumoured that he received \$100.000 a year in bribes from just one illegal casino.

It is also claimed then that 'RA' (NSW Premier Sir Robin Askin), received bribes of up to \$30,000 a month from the 33 Club. Noted academic Dr Alfred McCoy was especially trenchant in his criticism of the scope of corruption.

'No city in the world could rival Sydney's tolerance for organised crime ... from 1965-76 the state endured a period of political corruption unparalleled in its modern history.'

In later years Queensland became similarly tainted, and after the Fitzgerald Commission revealed the extent of illegal practices that had developed in the Sunshine State. Sir Terrence Lewis was stripped of his honours and titles and gaoled for corruption."

It is interesting that the peak periods for corruption in high places in Australia, coincides with the peak periods for high adoption numbers.

Those issuing the Licences, sanctioned criminality, by example.

Ian Ferguson

THE INQUIRY

Nothing was achieved by the NSW Inquiry – we got the Mothers' Book \$15,000. Has it changed anything?

(Was the Statute of Limitations overturned? No)

Did we get an apology? (Not one that acknowledged culpability)
Did anything change?

A.: No. The Benevolent Society got \$90,000 to continue doing more of the same. The Anglicans, the Catholics and the Benevolent Society are <u>still</u> licensed to conduct adoptions.

* <u>I am hoping this Senate Inquiry will achieve massive changes</u>. I can hear Claire saying, "Now, don't get carried away, Janice."

You did ask what changes I was hoping this inquiry would achieve.

1. All licences to conduct adoptions be revoked

(Nobody should <u>ever</u> have had the power to dole out new-borns)

That means <u>all the Churches</u> and <u>any other benevolent institutions</u>
hand back their licences, do not ask for any more grants, and stay well away from the abduction of new-borns.

It is now time for the <u>Churches to fix the mess of human suffering</u> they brought into this naïve country.

Licences to be revoked

No Church body or Voluntary Agency should ever have had the power to engage in the distribution of new-borns. (e.g. when the Rev.

[deceased] was asked by how she should treat the young women in her care when she took over as Deputy Matron at a Home for Delinquent Girls, his reply was, "Well, you'll have to teach them a lesson, my dear.")

The Churches were able to let their desire for punitive judgement and unchristian wrath go berserk simply <u>because they were licensed</u>. "The <u>Government" gave them the power</u> to be judges and executioners – they were <u>relieved of any conscience quota apportioned by their actions</u>, <u>because of that "Licence to Conduct Adoptions."</u>

(The Stanley Milgram experiment of the 1960's showed that, as long as people were sanctioned to behave in a certain way because someone else was responsible, and was taking the rap, 50% of people would electrocute others to the death, as long as someone else up the chain of command authorised the behaviour and the practice, and was responsible for the outcome.)

When you consider you are doing both God's will and the will of the government, one's personal morality can take a holiday.

2. All women who were incarcerated must receive compensation on a case by case basis from the Church who incarcerated them.

So even though the older women have suffered more serious mental breakdowns (because they already had <u>well defined 'core values,'</u> that were then violated during their incarceration, hospitalisation and the theft of their babies,), the younger women were turned into adult women <u>by the births of their babies</u>. The hormones released during the birthing process equipped even the 15 year-olds to mother and love their babies. So their right to motherhood was violated by the government policies and practises, the churches and their co-violators, the hospitals.

I will never forget the tears welling up in the eyes of the Head of Nursing when a couple of the ALAS women told her what had happened to unmarried pregnant women in the Royal Brisbane & Womens' Hospital in 1967.

That was before the CEO Professor Ian Jones' time, but the Head of Nursing was doing her training at that hospital in 1967.

 The establishment of the Adoption Survivors' Trust. (Financed by the Churches, State and Federal Governments). Probably run similarly to the Child Migrant Trust.

The Trust would have

- (a) Trauma Services (Mothers and separated children)
- (b) Psychiatric Services (Geoff Rickarby)
- (c) Psychology Services for Grandchildren, Grandparents and great Grandchildren.

This service would be able to refer clients if there was a need.

4. Financial Services, advice and mentoring.

When a mother has collapsed, she can no longer think, and can't balance a budget, pay off a house or car loan. She needs someone to reorganise her finances, make arrangements for the financial well-being of her children, etc.

Damages caused by Churches and State Governments are likely to be ongoing in the survivors "to the third and even fourth generation." So the following will be needed:-

5. Education to all about the after effects of adoption.

- a. Genealogical bewilderment assistance.
- b. Relationship struggles with Family of Origin.
- c. Facilitation of Reunions.
- d. Adopted persons' Support club (Based on A.A. Model but up to Adoptees ... others butt out.)

(I hate to sound 'selective" but "support groups" who have proven themselves to do more damage than good should not be able to claim any further on State Government coffers, once the "New Order" is brought in.)

Those of us who have used our own money to bring awareness to the public by way of Newspaper Declarations, Conferences, Publication of Books, Public Speaking, writing for Magazines, etc., do so because we would have lost our sanity if we had not. My Adoption Activism has cost me my \$125,000 superannuation as well as \$300,000 of my inheritance since 1994. When I do a tally like that (this doesn't even include my 10 years of lost earnings), adoption trauma has cost me heavily.

6. "Pay the Piper."

This was a throw away sentence. This was packed with meaning that other mothers would get without explanation.

It refers to the way we were treated in the Christian Homes and the Hospitals.

<u>We were objects of derisions</u> – objects of mirth. Almost like monkeys there for their entertainment.

We did not have to be treated like sentient human beings because our reason for being there could always be traced back to our transgressions, so we were less than they were; second class.

We were the reason they got paid, so we literally provided them with entertainment for the working day.

We were their means to a financial end – Government Grants for the Hospital, donations for the Homes from prospective Adoptive Parents, etc.

In essence, <u>we were the entertainment that brought the masses to</u> the doors of the Hospitals and the Homes.

It was entertaining for them to threaten us with the police, to leave me in the dungeon and take mental note of my growing deterioration and fear. It was entertaining for the nurses to "shoo" us away from the Nursery and watch our different reactions. My friend, cried all the time. laughed and ran around the Ward being everybody's best friend. I was a stoic observer waiting for a miracle – the drugs affected everyone differently. I remember saying, pleading with not to let them see her cry — "Don't give them the satisfaction!"

The entertainment wasn't free! We want our payment now!

7. Honouring the Survivors.

All the mothers and their taken children must be found. Since the Government thought mother/child separation was a good idea, the Government (Federal) must facilitate this seek and find mission.

Starting with the oldest mothers and babies, and working towards the present time will ascertain the number of early deaths, suicides, deaths related to *stillboestrol*, *etc*.

If the Lost Children of the Empire were important enough to locate, then the <u>destroyed Mothers and babies of the White Girl holocaust of Australia</u> are also worth finding.

This is important because many adopted people <u>were never told they</u> <u>were adopted. These people are now parents.</u> Many mothers married, so it will be time consuming and costly; however, the Federal Government can access all Registered Birth, Death and Marriage Registers easily – <u>the Federal</u> Government has all the Data Banks.

This exercise is vital for adopted people who were told "Your mother didn't want you" by their Adoptive Parents.

Each separated person located must receive a letter (yes, 250,000 letters!) telling them of the Government Services available. There were only 49,000 aborigines taken. They have all been "Brought Home." The survivors of the "White Girl holocaust" are just as valuable.

8. Registrar General's Books must be opened.

Adopted people must have **free** access to original family records, as in the Northern Territory.

- 9. **Registrar General's Books must be opened** to allow mothers to know where their children went.
- 10. <u>Vetoes against knowledge to be abolished.</u> (This still exists in Victoria.)
 - (a) Vetoes are damaging to both adopted people and mothers. They can become a weapon for Adoptive Parents. Only when people know their origins do they begin to understand themselves. "Know thyself." (One adopted male was being pressured by his adoptive parents, who were ministers of religion, to study for the ministry. He met his family of origin and discovered he came from a long line of musicians, who were also accountants and who enjoyed the sport of sailing.

After an initial period of destabilisation <u>as his core sense of self had</u> <u>been confronted with his genealogical self</u>, he was able to give up any pretensions to ministry and spend every weekend sailing. He has developed a strong bond with his sisters from his original family. Originally he had placed a veto against his genealogical family.)

(b) Mothers must be able to see that their taken babies are alive and well.

Adopted people will sometimes say, "I don't want to see her. She gave me up."

It will be necessary that an education program for adopted people, telling them the truth concerning government practices, church practices, and government policies be implemented for adopted people who do not want to meet their mothers, or their genealogical family. (My son, his wife and daughter came to stay with me for a week. They went off and did what they wanted to do during the day and came "home" at night. I fed them, made sure they were comfortable, and knew that when I woke in the morning, we would all be there. I had finally brought my baby home from hospital. Even though he was 33 years old, I had at last completed the "birthing process." I urge all mothers to bring their taken babies home so that they and their now adult children can complete the

natural journey that they started many years earlier. It would now be complete and the dreadful hiatus of separation, could begin to heal for both mothers and their taken children.)

(c) <u>Taken children must be able to meet their genealogical family.</u>

Many mothers are still reluctant to meet the child taken from them. In their cases, the programming and brainwashing was, to all intents and purposes, complete. Yet these same mothers, when approached by another mother, can have their fears allayed over a cup of coffee, a bunch of flowers and a one-on-one heart to heart.

(E.g. I was approached by a young adopted male who was about 24 years old, upset because the mother he had searched for refused to meet him. He was a fine young articulate man, well educated, highly presentable, beautiful nature, in an executive position. I contacted his mother. She agreed to meet me. I took her a bunch of flowers "from her son." When I described her son to her, she seemed surprised. She somehow expected him to be after money. She had married well. Once I was able to assure her he was a lovely young man of whom any mother would be proud, she relented and agreed to meet him. It was a very successful reunion.

11. **Not all reunions work out well.** It is sometimes hard to ascertain the intention of the person seeking a reunion. But it is the same in all avenues of life. Support services must be in place to assist those whose reunion has not measured up to their expectation.

Counselling services should be able to be accessed <u>before</u> either party ventures into a reunion. Former consent takers should NOT be employed in this capacity. Neither should Adoptive Parents.

Any mother whose baby was taken can smell a consent †aker at 500 metres! They all seem to approach their "jobs" as a "casework situation," and engage in "social work speak." Mothers will back away immediately! (e.g. Institute of Family Studies Questionnaire.)

12. For years, Adoptive Parents were assisted in their parenting by Government monies. In Queensland Adoptive Parents could conduct their support meetings in State Government buildings during the evenings. They paid no money and had their paperwork taken care of by the Adoptions Section of the Dept of Family Services. Social Workers were always on hand to assist them, to address their meetings, and provide them with lists of Psychologists sympathetic to their parenting position.

It is time for the Federal Government, the State Governments and the Christian Churches to financially bear the burden of the wellbeing of the mothers and their taken babies.

Since 1996 I have been advocating for the establishment of an Adoption Trust. The name could be

"Adoption Survivors Trust"

or any other name that mothers and their separated progeny agree upon.

13. <u>Ban Adoption!</u>" Dr Geoff Rickarby made this declaration from the stage of the Travelodge Auditorium, Brisbane in June 1997. As one of the Keynote Speakers whom I met afterwards, he submitted his paper for consideration. I was overwhelmed to find a psychiatrist who was also saying similar things to the Mantra I was chanting.

His perspective was from the other end – interviewing prospective adoptive parents to whom the NSW State Dept of Family Services, Youth & Community wished to decline parenting rights.

The Conference, "Separation, Reunion, Reconciliation" focused on the possibility of effecting Reconciliation in separated mothers and babies, after Reunion. At the time, this was something new. The "Bringing them Home" report was available only the week before, and Jackie Huggins was also a Keynote Speaker. I hoped the mothers and their taken babies could learn from both Jackie and Geoff.

With kinship care in place, and guardianship ratified in law, there is no need for adoption. It could simply drop into oblivion, as a Eugenist Cult practice that we never needed in the past, and certainly will never need in the future.

With the decline of the power and influence of the Masons (Sir Robert Menzies was a Mason!) and the declining numbers of those professing to be Christian, the enforcers and those who benefited are no longer around.

Adoption to be fazed out. All parties to former adoptions be given notice that the practice will no longer exist.

All Adoptive Parents must honour their original commitments to the babies they took from their mothers (Financial support, inheritance, etc.)

<u>Babies no longer to be adopted from birth.</u> Adoption to be replaced by <u>"kinship care"</u> – if parents die in a car crash, children left to be brought up by brothers, sisters, parents of the deceased, or older siblings if an older sibling is 16 years of age. (E.g. my Aunty Nell's brother and his wife were killed in a car crash. Aunty Nell and Uncle Jack brought up their niece and nephew who were then two and four years old. Familial continuance helped the children grow into loved, intelligent, capable people. No genealogical bewilderment, no change of name, no secrecy or sense of abandonment.)

Guardianship Laws to be re-established.

No secrecy

No name change

Guardian to have the responsibilities and powers of parents, until ward is 18 years of age.

14. What do I consider is owing to me?

Restitution, in every way possible.

- a. The money I have spent (i.e. my childrens' inheritance) to maintain my sanity, must be returned to me, i.e. \$450,000 spent in Adoption Activism.
- b. \$780,000 in lost income from 10 years unemployed because of mental and physical collapse I was 66 years of age before I could stay awake all day!
- c. \$1,000,000 to go to my stolen child.
- d. \$500,000 each for my subsequent babies who suffered through having to spend their gestation period in a traumatised womb.
- e. \$50,000 each to my two daughters for pre-cancerous cell removal, necessitated by my doses of *Stilboestrol* at the Horsnby Hospital.
- f. \$50,000 for each of my five grandchildren, who have had to grow up in the knowledge that their uncle and father was stolen from their grandmother. Payment to cover family breakdowns, trauma, etc.

That comes to a total of \$3,580,000 compounding at Supreme Court Rates of 10% p.a. from today, 10th October, 2011.

I apportion the onus of responsibility as follows:-

a. <u>The Anglican Church</u>

Sydney Diocese

\$2,000,000

For theft of baby, personal damages, fraud, contractual kidnapping, deprivation of liberty causing premature birth, threats, etc

b. NSW State Government

Area Health, Hornsby

\$1,000,000

For assault, theft of baby, personal damages, kidnapping, drugging, deprivation of liberty, etc.

b. Federal Government of Australia

For instigating and condoning practices against humanity and against Common Law.

\$ 580,000

INTEREST compounding from today's date

10th October, 2011

Janice Kashin

J A KASHIN