

Great afternoon,

[REDACTED]

As a private citizen of Australia, I support cheap reliable energy and thus can not support the use of nuclear power. I also understand the costs have not included disposal of waste which is a vital part of the assessment. If this isn't included, no comparison can be made for cost and sustainability. Nuclear power is a risk to Australian national security as the pollution has no effective and safe disposal and until the legacy of this risk to human health and safety has a solution, there can be no way to determine the costs or risks. This is unacceptable to my family.

The current approval system for power providers has lead to ongoing losses of jobs and prosperity for short-term profit. It is damaging the biophysical basis of humans existence and thus the system is failing the people of Australia. Until the approval system is changed to allow the community to maintain health and jobs, it will continue to be unsustainable and damage Australian sovereignty. Adding nuclear power options to a biased and unsustainable system will add further pressure and policy that prioritises short-term profit over life. I can not support the increase in pressures that is currently damaging the prosperity of Australians and increasing costs on the community.

Considering Australia is the best placed globally to take advantage of the renewable energy sector, any competition to this will damage this economic strength and limit Australia's competitiveness. Australia's delay in making a transition to cheaper energy forms has left us behind other countries and thus we are loosing jobs and economic opportunities. Adding expensive power options that are unsustainable as they do not include all stages of the power creation process is opposite to good economic management. If anything like the current gas system experience, this will lead to more of Australian wealth going overseas with Australian's and business paying a high price so corporations can avoid tax and profitise from the monopoly position. Any financial subsidies that will be required are in direct opposition to Australian economic strength and jobs creation. I support Australian jobs for Australian products so currently can't support including nuclear power approval.

The long time required for nuclear power creation and short lifespan make the option unable to repair current limitations in the power system. The ongoing delay to using the natural competitive advantage has already made the nuclear power option less competitive and risky. Within a decade, renewable energy will be significantly cheaper and the cost assessment should clearly articulate this. By the time a nuclear power station is built, it may already be not viable without the entire costs being included.

As a conservative, I can't support highly risky, expensive compared to the options power provision that is unsustainable and misleading as the whole process cost is not included for the nuclear option against the others that does include the entire process.

Please don't waste money, increase risk of increasing costs and accidents and leave a legacy of expensive and dangerous materials for the next 100plus generations.

The huge cost and unknowns of managing the waste makes the nuclear option far inferior. As previously stated, I can not support increasing costs forced onto the Australian public while increasing risk to health and national security. This proposal demonstrates the corrupted system as no reasonable person who cares about the

long-term prosperity and health of average Australians would support such short term decision making.

Thankyou for this opportunity

Tim Nott