

From:
To: [Committee, Wind Turbines \(SEN\)](#)
Subject: Submission to the Select Committee on Wind Turbines
Date: Thursday, 11 December 2014 2:13:23 AM

The Secretary,

My submission is brief, heartfelt and based on 66 years of hard observations mixed with a knowledge of science, which it seems no longer taught.

My comments are set out below under your committee's terms of reference.

the effect on household power prices, particularly households which receive no benefit from rooftop solar panels, and the merits of consumer subsidies for operators;

Only a fool would argue that the attempts by all Australian governments over the last 20 years to promote the use of renewables, such as wind power, before they are ready to take a significant future place in energy generation in economic, technical and social terms, hasn't been to the detriment of electricity consumers both economically and socially.

Few try to justify these errant policies economically, with their supporters generally preferring to argue that the cost burden that the consumers have borne is justified by the greater good arising from "saving the planet" or "reducing carbon pollution" or the utterance of other meaningless catchphrases.

The reality is that wind power will never provide dependable power in the volumes the planet's people presently demand and will increasingly yearn for. Worse still, it can never deliver reliable power and can't even delivery significant unreliable power without massive installations across every landscape, a situation which will never happen, as before this point could be reached the few who are blighted with the presence of these monstrosities today will become the many, and they will rise up and bring down the towers and the governments that have promoted them.

how effective the Clean Energy Regulator is in performing its legislative responsibilities and whether there is a need to broaden those responsibilities;

The Clean Energy Regulator needs to be depoliticised. Instead of acting in a political manner, promoting the need to reduce "carbon [unsaid: dioxide] pollution" it should be stripped of all staff who do not understand that their role should be to to promote:

1. cleaner coal burning (even less SOX, NOX and particulates than Australian coal fired stations produce today);
2. greater use of natural gas; and
3. greater use of hydro power in conjunction with water conservation.

I omit nuclear power from the above list only because I could be accused of having a very minor vested interest, but mark my words, it will become part of the mix as we inevitably slip into the next glacial period.

the role and capacity of the National Health and Medical Research Council in providing guidance to

state and territory authorities;

No comment.

the implementation of planning processes in relation to wind farms, including the level of information available to prospective wind farm hosts;

Too many wind farm hosts have taken the cash bribes offered and in doing so ruined the lives of their neighbours and have indirectly taken funds which would have been better directed to the community's needy. Planners and politicians alike have conspired with developers to hide the health issues associated with wind farms in the belief that the sufferings visited upon community after community were justified by the greater good they were facilitating. Unfortunately for all concerned, the so called greater good was an illusion.

It is really not a question of providing more information to prospective wind farm hosts, as greed will always exist whatever good argument are provided against it. It is a question of exposing this scam for what it is; totally withdrawing financial support for it; and legislating to ensure that any then unsubsidised scheme that applied for planning permission must have all neighbours' support (ie, anyone who is within earshot or eyesight) before it can be approved.

the adequacy of monitoring and compliance governance of wind farms;

Pathetic. All Australian governments have been have ignored monitoring and compliance as they have been in the business of promoting these monstrosities for political reasons.

the application and integrity of national wind farm guidelines;

No specific comments. Generally speaking, they require significant tightening.

the effect that wind towers have on fauna and aerial operations around turbines, including firefighting and crop management;

They are well document fauna killers. This alone should be enough to see them deactivated.

the energy and emission input and output equations from whole-of-life operation of wind turbines; and

In whole of life terms these wind farms are a net negative from a power generation an emissions perspective. Perhaps there only positive is that in whole of life terms they generate more CO2 than coal fired power and thus may help in a minuscule way to feed to feed tomorrow's population in a cooling world.

any related matter.

There needs to be a recognition that there never was any science behind the demonisation of carbon dioxide. It has been a scam from day one, dreamed up as a means of gaining

unfettered revenues for the United Nations. The time has come for Australian politicians from all warring camps to speak the truth to their electors, rather than mouthing the party lines handed down to them by their United Nations masters. The assumption that the great unwashed are stupid and can't be told the truth is a false assumption. We Australians intuitively know that our politicians of all ilk are selling us down the river as slaves to the New World Order. The first true leader who steps forward and admits that the public has been hoodwinked will sweep all opposition before him (or perhaps her).

Alan Scott