

Re: Government proposal to cut the 'Better Access to Mental Health Initiative' to 10 sessions, and proposed cut to rebates for Specialist Psychologists

Senate Standing Committee / Minister Mark Butler,

As an Australian citizen, and a Clinical Psychologist, I am writing to express my objection about the Government's proposed changes to the *Better Access to Mental Health Care Initiative* ('*Better Access Initiative*') as announced in the 2011 Federal Budget. Specifically, I am deeply concerned by the proposal that from 1 November, 2011, the yearly maximum allowance of sessions of psychological treatment available to people with a recognised mental health disorder will be reduced from 18 to **10** sessions.

Whilst new investments in mental health care are important and are to be applauded, they should not be at the detriment of existing mental health programs. For example, I understand that the Government has proposed to redirect funding from the '*Better Access Initiative*' to team-based community care (ATAPS). Personally, I do not want to be mandated to participate in treatment involving multiple disciplines (i.e., psychiatry registrar, social worker, occupational therapist, mental health nurse) in order to access psychological treatment. Under the existing '*Better Access Initiative*' I have already been able to access and achieve effective gains from psychological treatment without the utilisation of team-based care.

Therefore, I am deeply concerned as to how much those treatment gains will be adversely impacted if the funding for the '*Better Access Initiative*' is effectively halved (18 sessions to 10 sessions per annum) as it implies that the same treatment outcomes can be achieved with half the amount of sessions. The proposed cuts to the '*Better Access Initiative*' reflects the Federal Government's lack of understanding of the specific and varied needs of Australians with mental health disorders.

Taking a hard line on mental health consumers is not the answer. It is unrealistic to expect individuals in a vulnerable psychological state to immediately establish a rapport with a mental health professional even within the current 12-18 sessions – let alone achieve treatment gains within 10 sessions. I do not need the added pressure or stigma of needing to recover quickly with the threat of being referred to a community team or psychiatrist and therefore having to start again with new practitioners.

Senator/Minister, I also wish to express concern about reducing the Medicare rebate available for patients of Clinical Psychologists. The NC acknowledges that Clinical Psychology is one of nine equal specialisations within Psychology. These areas of specialisation are internationally recognised, enshrined within Australian legislation, and are the basis for all industrial awards. They have been recognised since Western Australia commenced its Specialist Title Registration in 1965, and it is the West Australian model which formed the basis for the 2010 National Registration and Accreditation Scheme recognition of specialised Areas of Endorsement. All specialisations require a minimum of eight years training including a further ACPAC accredited postgraduate training in the specialisation leading to an advanced body of psychological competency in that field. No specialisation should be referred to in a manner that creates the appearance of the same level of skill and knowledge as basic training in any field. As is the

case with Clinical Psychology currently, each area of specialisation deserves a specialist rebate with its own item number relating to that which is the specialist domain of that area of psychology (e.g. for clinical neuropsychology - neuroanatomy, neuropsychological disorders/assessment/rehabilitation, etc; for health - clinical health psychology, and health promotion; forensic - forensic mental health, etc). Specialist items for the other specialisations of psychology may mean that clinical psychologists might not qualify for any those second tier items pertaining to other specialisations; however, we deeply respect specialisations within psychology and believe that our members would seek to undertake further training in those fields should they wish to seek to demonstrate that they have attained those other advanced specialised competencies that are not part of clinical psychology. Regarding our specialisation, we wish to re-state that Clinical Psychology requires a minimum of eight years' training and is the only profession, apart from Psychiatry, whose entire accredited and integrated postgraduate training is specifically in the field of lifespan and advanced evidence-based and scientifically-informed psychopathology, assessment, diagnosis, case formulation, psychotherapy, psychopharmacology, clinical evaluation and research across the full range of severity and complexity. We are well represented in high proportion amongst the innovators of evidence-based therapies, NH&MRC Panels, other mental health research bodies and within mental health clinical leadership positions.

The treatment of the moderate to severe range of mental disorders is the unique specialised training of the Clinical Psychologist and, to undertake a comprehensive treatment of these individuals, more than thirty sessions per annum are sometimes required. In this way, Clinical Psychologists should be treated as Psychiatrists are under Medicare as both independently diagnose and treat these client cohorts within the core business of their professional practices. However, this is unlikely to be granted presently given the government imperative to cut costs so we believe that the decision to cut session numbers for the specialist clinical psychologist Medicare items should be reversed immediately.

Senator / Minister, I urge you to reject these proposals immediately and instead maintain both the current amount of treatment sessions and Medicare rebate available with a Clinical Psychologist under the *Better Access to Mental Health Care Initiative* to be 12, with an additional 6 sessions for 'exceptional circumstances'.

I trust that my feedback will be given due consideration.

Yours sincerely,