



**SUBMISSION TO  
SENATE EDUCATION AND EMPLOYMENT COMMITTEES**

**INQUIRY INTO THE DELIVERY OF QUALITY  
AND AFFORDABLE EARLY CHILDHOOD  
EDUCATION AND CARE SERVICES**

**March 2014**

**Child Care New South Wales  
PO Box 660  
Parramatta NSW 2124**

Ph: 1300 556 330  
Fax: 1300 557 228  
Email: [info@childcarensww.com.au](mailto:info@childcarensww.com.au)

## Recommendations

### *RECOMMENDATION 1*

*That national funding agreements and state-level funding for early childhood education and care services be dramatically overhauled to recognise the unique challenges facing families, services and the workforce in NSW.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 2*

*That primary school teaching qualifications – augmented by appropriate industry-specific training – be recognised in early childhood education and care services as an interim measure to assist in addressing the shortage of Early Childhood Teachers in NSW.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 3*

*That the new Early Childhood Teacher requirements for smaller early childhood education and care services that took effect 1 January 2014 be immediately suspended and implementation delayed until such time as workforce shortages have been addressed in NSW.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 4*

*That Early Childhood Teacher waivers be linked to the early childhood education and care service applying for the waiver, rather than the current practice of linking the waiver to the individual educator.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 5*

*That the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority remove the fee for early childhood education and care services to apply for an Early Childhood Teacher waiver in light of workforce shortages in NSW and other jurisdictions.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 6*

*That clause 135 of the Education and Care Services National Regulations be immediately amended to allow a more flexible approach to the temporary replacement of Early Childhood Teachers in NSW, as per the existing requirements in other jurisdictions.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 7*

*That the Federal Government assist families, early childhood education and care services and its workforce by funding the sector more appropriately in respect of Equal Remuneration Orders.*

### *RECOMMENDATION 8*

*That dedicated regional staff from the NSW Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate resume their former role as a local resource for early childhood education and care services, assisting services to identify and implement policies, procedures and practices consistent with their commitment to continuous improvement.*

**RECOMMENDATION 9**

*That local councils and State Planning Departments be meaningfully engaged in the planning and socio-demographic analysis associated with proposed early childhood education and care services and that Local Environmental Plans be regularly reviewed to ensure consistency with state and national regulations pertaining to early childhood education and care.*

**RECOMMENDATION 10**

*That publicly available, online planning tools be utilised to provide indications of future demand for early childhood education and care services to avoid approval of new services in areas where there is not sufficient demand, and conversely to ensure approval of new services in areas where there is strong demand for early childhood education and care services.*

**RECOMMENDATION 11**

*That local councils, State Planning Departments and State Early Childhood Education and Care Directorates (or similar) work with the early childhood education and care sector to urgently identify planning barriers to the delivery of quality, affordable and accessible early childhood education and care.*

**RECOMMENDATION 12**

*That the 2016 ratio requirements for 2-3year olds be delayed or staggered until such time as appropriate Government resources are invested to assist early childhood education and care services to adjust to the new requirements and consequential impacts.*

**RECOMMENDATION 13**

*That the NSW Government deliver Universal Access funding to all long day care and preschool services in NSW to assist in the provision of Early Childhood Teachers dedicated to delivering preschool programs to preschool-aged children in their year before school to support the achievement of the NSW Government's Universal Access Targets and ensure compliance with the National Partnership Agreement on Universal Access to Early Childhood Education Intergovernmental Agreement on Federal Financial Relations.*

**RECOMMENDATION 14**

*That assessment and rating procedures within the early childhood education and care sector be urgently reviewed and that the sector be more adequately resourced to ensure that services can be assessed and rated, and subsequent assessments conducted, in a more timely fashion.*

**RECOMMENDATION 15**

*That the amendments to the National Quality Framework agreed to by the Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood in November 2013 be implemented expeditiously such that they not be delayed by State Government elections.*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Recommendations .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2. Early Childhood Education and Care in NSW .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. Outcomes for Children in Early Childhood Education and Care Services .....</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1 Workforce Factors Such as Stability, Qualifications and Wage Rates.....	8
3.1.1 Early Childhood Teachers .....	8
3.1.2 Equal Remuneration Order Application .....	13
3.2 Quality Regulation (Including Staff-to-Child Ratios) .....	15
3.2.1 Advisory, Support and Regulatory Structures .....	15
3.2.2 Government Regulation of Childcare and Early Learning .....	16
3.2.3 Ratios.....	20
3.2.4 Funding.....	21
3.3 Participation and Access to Services .....	22
3.3.1 Demand For and Expectations of Early Childhood Education and Care Services .....	22
<b>4. Implementation of the National Quality Framework .....</b>	<b>24</b>
4.1 Assessment and Rating.....	24
<b>5. Impactsof the Announced Government Amendments to the NQF .....</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1 Reducing Regulatory Burden on the Sector .....	26
5.2 Changes to Certified Supervisor Requirements .....	26

## 1. Introduction

Child Care New South Wales represents, advocates on behalf of and provides collective services for early childhood education and care services throughout New South Wales, representing more than 1100 registered services across the state. Our members are primarily private, long day care services. Approximately 65% of members are metropolitan-based, with the remaining 35% of members based regionally. Our members are committed to providing excellence in early childhood education and care for the more than 100,000 families we provide essential services to. The reasons for investment in early childhood education and care are sound and well established:

*“Early advantages accumulate. So do early disadvantages. Later remediation of early deficits is costly – redirecting additional funds towards the earlier years, before the state of traditional schooling, is a sound investment in the productivity and safety of our society. Such investment achieves 17% return per annum to the child and society”<sup>1</sup>.*

Child Care New South Wales welcomes the opportunity to provide a submission in response to the Senate Education and Employment Committees’ *Inquiry into Quality and Affordable Early Childhood Education and Care*. It should be noted that Child Care New South Wales has provided input to and strongly supports the submission lodged separately by our national body, the Australian Childcare Alliance. This submission is intended to complement the Australian Childcare Alliance submission, highlighting NSW-specific issues, examples and recommendations. It should also be noted that Child Care New South Wales recently submitted a detailed submission<sup>2</sup> in response to the *Productivity Commission Inquiry into Childcare and Early Childhood Learning*, with many of the issues and recommendations highlighted in that submission reiterated in this submission.

## 2. Early Childhood Education and Care in NSW

According to the Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority (ACECQA), as at 31 December 2013, there were 4785 approved services in NSW, of which 4574 were centre-based services (long day care, preschool and outside school hours care)<sup>3</sup>.

The most recent data provided by the Department of Education<sup>4</sup> indicates that as at June 2013, there were 340,130 children across 246,030 families using approved child care in NSW, approximately 60% (203,230) of whom are in long day care, reflecting the growing demand for early childhood education and care services more closely aligned with work hours. The same data confirms that almost a third of the 1.057 million children in approved care nationally are in NSW, and similarly that one third of early childhood education and care services nationally are located in NSW. As highlighted in Figure 1 above,

<sup>1</sup> Heckman, J.J. (2006) *The Economics of Investing in Early Childhood*


<sup>2</sup> Child Care New South Wales (2014) Submission to Productivity Commission Inquiry into Childcare and Early Childhood Learning  
[http://pc.gov.au/data/assets/pdf\\_file/0005/134096/sub333-childcare.pdf](http://pc.gov.au/data/assets/pdf_file/0005/134096/sub333-childcare.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority (2013) *NQF Snapshot Q4 2013*  
[http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014\\_ACECQA\\_Snapshot\\_Q4\\_final\\_web.pdf](http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014_ACECQA_Snapshot_Q4_final_web.pdf)

<sup>4</sup> Department of Education (2014) *Child Care and Early Learning in Summary – June quarter 2013*  
[http://docs.education.gov.au/system/files/doc/other/cc\\_el\\_in\\_summary\\_june\\_qtr\\_2013.pdf](http://docs.education.gov.au/system/files/doc/other/cc_el_in_summary_june_qtr_2013.pdf)

NSW has the largest proportion (33.5%) of children attending long day care (as opposed to other types of early childhood education and care services), highlighting the need for sustained investment in the sector. A similar proportion (30%) of the number of children in outside school hours care nationally are also in NSW, which Child Care New South Wales suggests reflects the transition from long day care to outside school hours care for parents working outside the home and/or studying.

**Figure 1: Children using long day care by state and territory, June quarter 2013**



Source: Department of Education administrative data.

The NSW Government's *Review of NSW Government Funding for Early Childhood Education*<sup>5</sup> indicates that there are approximately three times as many long day care and occasional care services operating in NSW as preschools, with approximately twice as many children in NSW under the age of 6 attending long day care services as those attending preschools (p14).


The child care, education and training volume of the *Report on Government Services*<sup>6</sup> released in late January 2014, confirms that there has been a 6.5% increase in the number of children aged 12 years and younger attending Australian Government approved early childhood education and care services<sup>7</sup>. This report also highlights that 54.7% of all child care services in NSW are privately managed, with 73% of centre-based long day care services, 27.8% of vacation care services; 28.5% of outside school hours care services; and 6.2% of preschool service providers in NSW privately managed (Table 3A.64). This same report highlights that the number of child care places in NSW fell by 1161 between 2011-12 and 2012-13, including 361 fewer long day care places (Table 3A.60). It should be noted that this reduction in long day care places is in spite of the number of long day care services in NSW increasing in recent years, substantiating the arguments made throughout this submission about the impacts of qualification requirements and changes to ratio requirements on the number of places that services are offering (despite being approved for a higher number of licensed places).

<sup>5</sup> NSW Government (2012) *Review of NSW Government Funding for Early Childhood Education* [https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/downloads/about-us/statistics-and-research/public-reviews-and-enquiries/review-of-nsw-government-funding-for-early-childhood-education/review\\_nsw\\_gov\\_funding\\_ece.pdf](https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/downloads/about-us/statistics-and-research/public-reviews-and-enquiries/review-of-nsw-government-funding-for-early-childhood-education/review_nsw_gov_funding_ece.pdf)

<sup>6</sup> Australian Government (2014) *Report on Government Services 2014* <http://pc.gov.au/gsp/rogs>

<sup>7</sup> Australian Government (2014) *Report on Government Services – Volume B: Child care, education and training* <http://pc.gov.au/gsp/rogs/childcare-education-training>

NSW is in a somewhat unique situation in that there is such a high proportion of 'small' services relative to the proportion of small services in other states, presenting challenges in terms of economies of scale and capacity to absorb costs associated with regulatory reforms and other external pressures. As indicated in Figure 2 overleaf, there are 1083 'small' centre-based services of 29 or less approved places in NSW. This represents 25.6% of centre-based services in NSW. This is considerably higher than in Victoria, where 19.7% of centre-based services are 'small' services; and Queensland where 18% of centre-based services are 'small' services. Nationally, 20.4% of centre-based services are 'small' services of 29 or less approved places<sup>8</sup>.



The 2011 Census data<sup>9</sup> shows that NSW has a population of 6.917 million people (32% of the Australian population), comprising 1.830 million families (32% of Australian families) and an average of 1.9 children per family (consistent with the national average). Child Care New South Wales has summarised key statistical data pertaining to the Sydney Significant Urban Areas, NSW, and Australia in Table 1 below.

**Table 1: Key 2011 Census Data for Sydney, NSW and Australia<sup>10</sup>**

	Sydney Significant Urban Areas	NSW	Australia
<b>Population</b>	4.029 million	6.918 million	21.508 million
<b>Median Age</b>	36	38	37
<b>Families</b>	1.054 million	1.830 million	10.874 million
<b>Average Children Per Family</b>	1.9	1.9	1.9
<b>Median Weekly Household Income</b>	\$1 490	\$1 237	\$1 234
<b>Median Monthly Mortgage Repayments</b>	\$2 169	\$1 993	\$1 800
<b>Median Weekly Rent</b>	\$365	\$300	\$285

<sup>8</sup> These calculations were undertaken 1 February 2014 using publicly available data from the national database provided on the ACECQA website <http://acecqa.gov.au/educators-and-providers1/national-registers>

<sup>9</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics (2013) *2011 Census QuickStats*  
[http://www.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census\\_services/getproduct/census/2011/quickstat/1?opendocument&navpos=95](http://www.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census_services/getproduct/census/2011/quickstat/1?opendocument&navpos=95)

<sup>10</sup> Australian Bureau of Statistics (2013) *2011 Census QuickStats*  
[http://www.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census\\_services/getproduct/census/2011/quickstat/1?opendocument&navpos=95](http://www.censusdata.abs.gov.au/census_services/getproduct/census/2011/quickstat/1?opendocument&navpos=95)

This data highlights the unique pressures being faced by families in the urban Sydney area, where median monthly mortgage repayments are 120% that of the national average and the median weekly rent is almost 130% that of the national average. With almost 20% of the Australian population residing within the urban Sydney area and families facing relatively higher costs of living than families in other part of NSW and indeed the remainder of Australia, there is limited capacity to ‘absorb’ increased early childhood education and care fees, noting regular media reports<sup>11</sup> that Sydney is one of the most expensive cities to live in across the globe. The child care, education and training volume of the *Report on Government Services*<sup>12</sup> released in late January 2014 confirms that NSW continues to have the highest median weekly service cost of Australian Government approved long day care services outside of the Australian Capital Territory, with a median cost of \$392/week (less in regional NSW, where the median cost was \$325/week). Child Care New South Wales argues that the higher population, higher costs of living, higher land/rental costs, higher early childhood teacher requirements (see below) and higher award wage rates (Educational Services (Teachers) Award 2010 and Children’s Services Award 2010) in NSW are compounding to increase the cost of delivering high quality early childhood education and care, which – without additional government support – is passed directly on to families by private and not-for-profit services alike.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 1**

*That national funding agreements and state-level funding for early childhood education and care services be dramatically overhauled to recognise the unique challenges facing families, services and the workforce in NSW.*

### **3. Outcomes for Children in Early Childhood Education and Care Services**

#### **3.1 Workforce Factors Such as Stability, Qualifications and Wage Rates**

##### **3.1.1 Early Childhood Teachers**

NSW is currently experiencing serious shortages of Early Childhood Teachers, despite massive numbers of teachers on the waiting list for primary school positions. In NSW, the NSW Education Minister has called for a cap on the number of student enrolments in teaching degrees given reports<sup>13</sup> of 40 000 teachers on the waiting list for permanent positions in NSW, with the oversupply expected to last until at least the end of the decade, even if there was a doubling of resignations or retirements. Despite this oversupply, these educators’ qualifications are not currently recognised in early childhood education and care services, undermining the potential for these educators to help address the shortage of Early Childhood Teachers in NSW.

<sup>11</sup> See for example <http://www.dailytelegraph.com.au/news/nsw/cost-of-living-in-australia-is-among-the-highest-in-the-world/story-fni0cx12-1226677641006>, <http://www.smh.com.au/data-point/rising-price-of-living-in-australia-20130426-2ik16.html>, <http://au.pfinance.yahoo.com/money-manager/debt-and-budgeting/article/-/20618246/sydney-named-worlds-11th-most-expensive-city/>

<sup>12</sup> Australian Government (2014) *Report on Government Services 2014* <http://pc.gov.au/gsp/rogs>

<sup>13</sup> <http://www.smh.com.au/national/education/piccoli-calls-for-cap-on-teaching-degrees-20131120-2xvm8.html>

**RECOMMENDATION 2**

***That primary school teaching qualifications – augmented by appropriate industry-specific training – be recognised in early childhood education and care services as an interim measure to assist in addressing the shortage of Early Childhood Teachers in NSW.***

The latest NQF Snapshot Report<sup>14</sup> released by the Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority shows that at 31 December 2013, 147 approved services in NSW were already operating with a staff waiver. Child Care New South Wales expects this number will be higher when the next NQF Snapshot is released, as the next report will pick up the months following the new qualification requirements for small services that took effect 1 January 2014, noting that services could not apply for waivers prior to this commencement date (see below).

The ECT situation has been exacerbated in NSW, where there has been –to date – a complete absence of flexibility regarding temporary replacement of ECTs. In all states except NSW, if an ECT is away for a period of up to 12 weeks (eg in the case of illness), they can be replaced by a Diploma. In NSW however, if an ECT calls in sick, unless a temp agency can provide an ECT on the spot, the service will technically be in breach and may face prosecution. The lack of flexibility is seriously hindering the capacity of services to meet regulatory requirements and provide continuity of care for our children.

As a further example of inconsistent requirements across jurisdictions, NSW-based early childhood education and care services catering for 30 or more children are required to have a higher number of ECTs (or higher number of hours in attendance) at the service than in all other jurisdictions, with twice the number of ECTs required in NSW for services with 80 or more children (see Table 2 overleaf). This is one of the prime reasons that ECTs are so difficult to source in NSW.

Services are also being forced to lose staff with decades of experience because they do not have an early childhood qualification (see below). Services simply cannot absorb the financial implications of requiring double the number of ECTs as other jurisdictions, which has unfortunately necessitated fee increases being passed on to parents, as reflected in the member feedback below.

*“I doubt if the families [at our service] will be able to afford the increased fees needed to pay an ECT as we are in the west of Sydney with true Aussie battlers trying to pay off their homes or pay rent and keep their families together doing the right thing. There simply is a limit as to what they can afford to pay. Many will need to reduce days and have their children ‘minded’ by grandparents or neighbours, robbing the children of vital early learning and development programs”. (details withheld)*

*“At this point in time we are going to have to operate at less than licensed places [because of the new ECT requirements] and we have to turn families away. We will also have to reduce staff hours to accommodate the lower numbers of children we will be catering for” (small service, details withheld)*

<sup>14</sup> Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority (2014) *NQF Snapshot Q4 2013*  
[http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014\\_ACECQA\\_Snapshot\\_Q4\\_final\\_web.pdf](http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014_ACECQA_Snapshot_Q4_final_web.pdf)

**Table 2: Early Childhood Teacher Requirements – NSW Relative to Other Jurisdictions**

	NSW		Other Jurisdictions	
<b>≤ 25 approved places or ≤ 25 children in attendance</b>	≥ 20% of the time that the service provides education and care, the service must have access to an ECT working with the service		≥20% of the time that the service provides education and care, the service must have access to an ECT working with the service	
<b>25-29 children in attendance on any given day</b>	Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours on given day	Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours on given day
	Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for six hours on given day	Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for six hours on given day
<b>30-39 children in attendance on any given day</b>	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance at all times that the service is educating and caring for 30-39 children		Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours on given day
			Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for six hours on given day
<b>40-59 children in attendance on any given day</b>	<b>2 ECTs</b> in attendance at all times that the service is educating and caring for 40-59 children		Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours on given day
			Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for six hours on given day
<b>60-79 children in attendance on any given day</b>	<b>3 ECTs</b> in attendance at all times that the service is educating and caring for 60-79 children		Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours and <b>second ECT</b> or suitably qualified person in attendance 30% of operating hours on the given day
			Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 6 hours and <b>second ECT</b> or suitably qualified person in attendance 3 hours on the given day
<b>≥ 80 children in attendance on any given day</b>	<b>4 ECTs</b> in attendance at all times that the service is educating and caring for ≥ 80 children		Service operating <50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 60% of operating hours and <b>second ECT</b> or suitably qualified person in attendance 60% of operating hours on the given day
			Service operating ≥50 hours/week	<b>1 ECT</b> in attendance for 6 hours and <b>second ECT</b> or suitably qualified person in attendance 6 hours on the given day

*“The number of children in attendance must now drop to 20 from 28. Fees will be increased to cover the costs of an ECT – if I can get one! Fee increases are needed to compensate of the loss of child places. Dropping child places means terminating one staff member and decreasing staff hours” (details withheld)*

*"We now have to employ an ECT for one full day a week. This will be at the cost of an existing Cert III educator. Depending on how many years since completing their ECT degree as to their hourly rate of pay, I have estimated an increased cost of between \$5 and \$10 per hour to employ this ECT. This equates to \$3000 - \$6000 per year. This will be passed on as increased fees to parents. Again, this is a lot of money to a small centre" (South Coast based service with less than 20 approved places)*

*"Unfortunately, the new requirements for ECTs is driving the cost of care up, not down. The new requirements re: ECTs has required my service to REDUCE numbers. Families are disappointed to find that we can accommodate more children but are limited because of the new requirements. Our area caters to the middle/working class – demand is linked to the cost of care. As the cost of providing care rises to accommodate the new regs/ECT requirements, the cost becomes prohibitive and places provided have had to be decreased. We cannot access the required ECT and yet the director is a 3yr trained Primary School teacher with 13 years experience in NSW primary schools and 18 years experience as the Authorised/Nominated Supervisor while owning/operating preschool and long day care services (all with high accreditation results). The government needs to recognise experienced primary school trained qualifications as an equivalent to ECT qualifications – even as an introduction to the new requirements, until there are sufficient ECTs available!" (Sydney-based service with 25-29 approved places)*

*"We are in a small rural town and finding an ECT is like finding a needle in a hay stack. It is also annoying that we would have to put off very experienced staff with diploma qualifications for more than likely someone straight from uni with no experience with young children. It is a very worrying time for us." (details withheld)*

*"Many older educators have been pushed out as they have the skills but not the formal paperwork" (owner of four Northern Rivers/ North Coast/Mid North Coast based services with 30-39 and 40-59 approved places)*

*"I am considering selling my business as I will no longer be qualified to run my own service that I have managed for twenty years" (details withheld)*

On a related note, given the serious shortage of ECTs in NSW, many services have been forced to apply for an ECT waiver in order to ensure compliance with staffing requirements. As at 30 September 2013, 155 approved services in NSW were already operating with a staff waiver<sup>15</sup>. Noting that new requirements for our smaller services commenced 1 January 2014, most members commenced the ECT recruitment process months – if not years – ago. Of the 143 members (noting that these individual respondents could own/operate multiple services) who responded to a member survey conducted by Child Care New South Wales in November 2013, 55 reported that they had been forced to apply for an ECT waiver in the past, with 26 (47%) of these members reporting that they had been looking for an ECT for more than 12 months, and 10 (18%) reporting that they had been looking for more than two years.

The particularly challenging situation for services in NSW – again highlighting inconsistency across jurisdictions – was that the Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate refused to process waiver

<sup>15</sup> Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority (2013) *NQF Snapshot Q3 2013*  
<http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/0910 ACECQA Snapshot Q3 final.pdf>

applications ahead of the 1 January 2014 commencement of the new qualification requirements, despite agencies in other jurisdictions, such as Victoria and Western Australia, processing applications many months prior to the 1 January 2014 commencement. Whilst the NSW Directorate assured Child Care New South Wales that a 'common sense' approach would be taken to enforcement in the first weeks of the year, services should not have been forced to lodge paperwork on 1 January 2014 en-masse, opening after the Christmas break without knowing the outcome of their waiver application. It was enormously difficult to explain to members why they could not proactively prepare themselves to ensure regulatory compliance, when their counterparts in other states could do exactly that.

Feedback from members in late 2013 indicated that up to a third of small services in NSW could be in breach on 1 January 2014 given that they simply could not 'manufacture' an ECT workforce to meet the new requirements. Recognising the potential barriers to compliance – out of the services' control – Child Care New South Wales had lobbied for a 12-month delay in the introduction of the new requirements, which would have allowed a full year's intake of graduates to enter the workforce in attempt to fill some of the ECT gap in NSW. This request was unfortunately denied.

**RECOMMENDATION 3**

***That the new Early Childhood Teacher requirements for smaller early childhood education and care services that took effect 1 January 2014 be immediately suspended and implementation delayed until such time as workforce shortages have been addressed in NSW.***

As reflected in the member feedback below, there is a clear need for ECT waivers to be linked to the service, rather than the individual, and for the application process to be simplified:

*"It is frustrating that the ECT waiver is specific to the individual. We had one approved and the 'interim ECT' moved suburbs and had to resign due to travel. We have not been able to employ an ECT however we employed another final year student who qualifies to be an interim ECT. Now we need to reapply for the waiver! It would be helpful if the waiver applied to the service, so long as the 'interim ECT' complied with all stated requirements to be approved" (Sydney based service)*

*"Applying for the ECT waiver was an unnecessarily complicated process and the waiver was only granted for half the time we requested. Our staff member has been with us a long time and had 12 months to complete her degree at the time of the application. A 12 month waiver would have allowed our staff member to complete her degree. Instead we have to look at advertising for and employing another teacher on a contract basis until our current staff member has completed her studies. So much for continuity of care!" (details withheld)*

**RECOMMENDATION 4**

***That Early Childhood Teacher waivers be linked to the early childhood education and care service applying for the waiver, rather than the current practice of linking the waiver to the individual educator.***

**RECOMMENDATION 5**

***That the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority remove the fee for early childhood education and care services to apply for an Early Childhood Teacher waiver in light of workforce shortages in NSW and other jurisdictions.***

Still on the issue of ECTs, in all states except NSW, if an ECT is away for a period of up to 12 weeks (eg in the case of illness), they can be replaced by a Diploma qualified educator. In NSW however, if an ECT calls in sick, unless a temp agency can provide an ECT on the spot (a virtually impossible ask, even in metropolitan areas), the service will technically be in breach and may face prosecution. The lack of flexibility is seriously hindering the capacity of services to meet regulatory requirements and provide continuity of care to our children. Child Care New South Wales has sought the NSW Government's support in introducing the flexible ECT arrangements available in other jurisdictions. Whilst encouraged by very recent feedback suggesting that the Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate is in support of this suggestion, the regulatory framework is such that any changes will take months to achieve, noting that machinery of government processes apply.

**RECOMMENDATION 6**

***That clause 135 of the Education and Care Services National Regulations be immediately amended to allow a more flexible approach to the temporary replacement of Early Childhood Teachers in NSW, as per the existing requirements in other jurisdictions.***

### **3.1.2 Equal Remuneration Order Application**

As mentioned above, the early childhood education and care sector is currently experiencing chronic workforce shortages, with United Voice quoting union research suggesting that 180 educators leave the sector every week<sup>16</sup>. On 15 July 2013, United Voice and the Australian Education Union (Victorian Branch) lodged an application for an Equal Remuneration Order (ERO), seeking increased wages; an additional minimum of two hours/week for planning, preparing, evaluating and programming activities; and a minimum of one hour/fortnight for attending staff meetings outside of the ordinary hours of work. The Independent Education Union of Australia lodged an additional application for an Equal Remuneration Order on 8 October 2013. The application covers the Children's Services Award 2010; Educational Services (Teachers) Award 2010; Local Government Industry Award 2010; and CS Award, EST Award of LG Award to whom an enterprise agreement applies. The unions are arguing that employees do not receive equal remuneration for work of comparable value to other occupations/industries.

These applications are being dealt with by a Full Bench of the Fair Work Commission. Child Care New South Wales is engaged in the ERO case via our membership of the Australian Childcare Alliance and through individual members' membership of the Australian Childcare Centres Association, a registered organisation of employers under the Fair Work Act.

<sup>16</sup> Big Steps in Early Childhood Education and Care (2013) *About Big Steps* <http://bigsteps.org.au/about>

Child Care New South Wales believes this ERO application to be as significant as it is complex. Service providers recognise that their passionate, dedicated educators are their greatest and strongest asset upon which their businesses are built. As a key driver of workforce participation and productivity, Child Care New South Wales argues that the Commission must consider the extent of any ERO on the affordability and availability of child care if services are unable to meet the new requirements. United Voice acknowledged in its July 2013 correspondence to our members about the application that “parents and employers will have difficulties meeting significant pay increases and as such will continue to lobby the government to fund the sector appropriately”. Child Care New South Wales agrees that families and employers will indeed have difficulties meeting significant pay increases, which could have serious consequences for early childhood education and care, workforce participation and the broader economy.

Affordability remains one of parents’ central concerns regarding early childhood education and care. As highlighted in the submission lodged by the Australian Childcare Alliance in response to the *Productivity Commission Inquiry into Childcare and Early Childhood Learning*<sup>17</sup>, increased fees can result in a trend towards part-time care, or even a withdrawal of children from early childhood education and care. The submission references recent Australian Childcare Alliance surveys, which highlight that the high cost of care is a determinant of families’ ability to access early childhood education and care, with more than 60 per cent of families indicating that they would increase their use of childcare if cost were not a barrier. Clearly, if the ERO application is successful, there will be a consequent – and presumably significant – impact on parents’ workforce participation, as fees will almost certainly rise to meet higher wages and altered workforce conditions.

Members are acutely aware of the affordability concerns of families, particularly in low socio-demographic areas and rural/remote areas. Fees are kept as low as possible whilst remaining viable for the business to survive and hence provide ongoing employment opportunities for its staff, ongoing employment opportunities for families at the service and most importantly – ongoing early childhood education and care for children at the service. Services would simply not be able to absorb the impacts of the ‘significant pay increases’ envisaged by the applicants, and as such, consequent fee increases are probable.

Child Care New South Wales argues that this case could have major bearing on workforce factors such as stability, qualification and wage rates, and trusts that a positive outcome can be achieved for educators, services and families alike. The concurrent execution of three, major inquiries into early childhood education and care (the *Productivity Commission Inquiry into Childcare and Early Childhood Education*; the *Senate Inquiry into the Delivery of Quality and Affordable Early Childhood Education and Care Services*; and the *Senate Inquiry into the Immediate Future of the Childcare Sector in Australia*), all with a focus on quality, affordability and accessibility, demonstrates the tipping point facing families, service providers and government alike. With increased requirements across the sector, insufficient funding to support the implementation of these new requirements, and increased demand across many parts of the country, Child Care New South Wales is concerned that the timing of the ERO application could have

---

<sup>17</sup> Australian Childcare Alliance (2014) *Submission to the Productivity Commission Review into Childcare and Early Childhood Learning*  
[http://pc.gov.au/\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0015/134016/sub310-childcare.pdf](http://pc.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0015/134016/sub310-childcare.pdf)

a compounding effect. As the application is not expected to be resolved inside the next 12 months, it is likely that the impacts of this ERO application will be felt at the same time as the most substantial ratio changes affecting services in NSW – the 2016 commencement of 1:5 educator to child ratios (see below).

**RECOMMENDATION 7**

***That the Federal Government assist families, early childhood education and care services and its workforce by funding the sector more appropriately in respect of Equal Remuneration Orders.***

### **3.2 Quality Regulation (Including Staff-to-Child Ratios)**


#### **3.2.1 Advisory, Support and Regulatory Structures**

Child Care New South Wales welcomed the Council of Australian Governments' commitment to a nationally consistent approach, improved education and developmental outcomes for children using education and care services, and a commitment to more collaboration and cooperation within and between jurisdictions. However, implementation was always going to be challenging, particularly in an administrative and funding sense. Figure 3 (overleaf) provides an example of the complex advisory structures now in place for just part of the advisory and support frameworks across early childhood education and care, separate to the many working groups and committees that sit below this structure.

Whilst there is a high level of awareness of the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority (ACECQA) within the early childhood education and care sector, Child Care New South Wales argues that for centre-based services in NSW, there remains considerable confusion about the roles, responsibilities and functions of ACECQA, particularly relative to those of the Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate within the NSW Department of Education. Members frequently contact Child Care New South Wales seeking clarity as to whether an inquiry, form, report or general information should be lodged with ACECQA, the Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate or another body/agency (eg Office of the Children's Guardian), with some members being threatened with enforcement action for not lodging forms with the relevant agency despite being advised by other agencies that it is not required. Similarly, with multiple support measures available from Federal and State/Territory Governments for children with additional needs; children at risk of serious abuse or neglect; parents undertaking activities such as job search work, study, training or undertaking rehabilitation to enter, or re-enter the workforce; families with additional needs and so on, many members have commented that this complex web of programs needs to be streamlined to focus on the families who need funding the most, thereby benefiting families and saving government administration costs that could be otherwise redirected to families.

In NSW, the Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate regulates the operation of early childhood education and care services for children from birth to school age. It also provides some funding to education and care services, though – as explained further below – this is not generally the case for long day care, and especially not privately owned long day care services.

**Figure 3: Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood Advisory and Support Structures**



With the Directorate acting as the regulatory authority in terms of assessment and rating, our members regularly report that they feel they have lost their local 'support person', as local Directorate staff are now seen as enforcers rather than mentors, champions, networkers and facilitators. This has been exacerbated by the lack of meaningful feedback and guidance to members as to what they could do differently to move from one rating to a higher rating for each of the quality areas following completion of the initial assessment and rating process (see section 3.4 below). There is a clear need for the Directorate to revisit its role and function at the local level to ensure that services can access guidance and support in keeping with their commitment to continuous improvement.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 8**

***That dedicated regional staff from the NSW Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate resume their former role as a local resource for early childhood education and care services, assisting services to identify and implement policies, procedures and practices consistent with their commitment to continuous improvement.***

### **3.2.2 Government Regulation of Childcare and Early Learning**

As stated above, whilst the National Quality Framework was intended to raise quality and drive continuous improvement and consistency in Australian education and care services, it has unfortunately escalated the regulatory burden on educators to the point that it may be perceived as counter-

productive in some instances. Child Care New South Wales is not arguing against quality improvements; continuous improvement; nor consistency. However, these important regulatory reforms must be implemented seamlessly and efficiently, such that they become the building blocks of the sector rather than road blocks. The following member comment highlights the administrative burden being experienced by the sector currently:

*“A medium sized centre needs a full-time manager (38 hours per week) just to manage government red tape regulations, QIP assessment and ratings, and some basic centre management work such as enrolments.”* (owner of four Northern Rivers/ North Coast/Mid North Coast based services with 30-39 and 40-59 approved places)

All levels of government have played a role in developing an early childhood education and care sector capable of delivering quality education and care. However, Child Care New South Wales argues that considerable rationalisation could be undertaken to deliver more streamlined regulatory and operating frameworks without compromising quality of education and care, whilst at the same time improving affordability and accessibility. A common criticism from members about the current regulatory and operating frameworks is put simply in the following member comment: *“There are too many levels of government involved”*.

The most common frustration expressed by members regarding the current regulatory framework is that the ‘nationally consistent’ regulations are remarkably inconsistent in practice. Much of this inconsistency relates to the decision to set minimum regulatory standards for a myriad of aspects of early childhood education and care, while at the same time allowing jurisdictions with higher standards prior to the new regulations being introduced to be maintained. This in practice means that in some jurisdictions, ratios and educator requirements are considerably more stringent than in other jurisdictions as explained throughout this submission.

Looking at local government specifically, members’ interactions tend to be focused on plans, policies and strategies that apply to future development within the relevant local government area. Early childhood education and care services are required to meet a range of local government requirements regarding the development and planning process, as well as a range of State Government requirements regarding licensing, followed by a number of Federal Government requirements regarding the physical size and structure of the service; Child Care Benefit; fees and registration. This is one of the areas that our members have been working with state jurisdictions to seek red tape relief on, as in many instances, the same paperwork could address all three levels of requirements, yet separate copies and/or separate paperwork is required to be kept/lodged.

On a related matter, members in NSW have reported a circuitous process in terms of development approval whereby the NSW Department of Education and Communities will not issue a service approval until such time as an occupancy permit has been provided<sup>18</sup>, but some local councils will not issue an occupancy permit until such time as the Service Approval has been provided. For example, a member in

---

<sup>18</sup> See Regulation 25(g)(i) of the Education and Care Services National Regulations or ACECQA [Form SA01 – Application for Service Approval – Centre Based Care](#)

the central coast area of NSW has been asked by the local council to provide “A copy of relevant approvals for a centre based service issued by the NSW Department of Education and Communities (DEC) to be submitted to the principal certifying authority prior to occupation of the child care centre”, which is not possible given that this occupancy certificate is a requirement of obtaining the service approval. Whilst this particular council has correctly identified the current regulatory authority, many councils’ Local Environmental Plans refer to regulatory authorities that no longer exist, or do not recognise or refer to more recent reforms within the sector (both state and national). This highlighted the need for local authorities and State Planning Departments to be meaningfully engaged in discussions surrounding early childhood education and care.

Whilst some local government authorities include as part of their social impact assessment an analysis of the needs of residents and workers in relation to early childhood education and care services, as well as assessments on the likely impacts of a proposed development on existing services/facilities (eg The Hills Development Control Plan 2012<sup>19</sup>), there is no central repository of planning and demographic data of relevance to current and future planning within early childhood education and care, meaning that development approvals can be granted where there simply is not sufficient need for further early childhood education and care services in a local area. As an example, there is a street in Quakers Hill in Western Sydney where there are five early childhood education and care services – which Child Care New South Wales understands have multiple vacancies – in a 200m strip due to poor planning. A member from the mid-north coast of NSW put it simply that *“Local Council’s approval of new services in areas where there is not a demonstrated need is diluting services”*.

Another issue with local government is the limitations placed on where services can be built and the number of licensed places permitted. For example, Parramatta Council does not allow services in R2 low density areas (single story dwellings and duplexes) and only allows them to be built in R3 medium density areas (town houses and flats) or in R3 commercial areas (commercial and apartment blocks), thus pushing up the cost of building a service in the Parramatta local government area due to the higher cost R3 and R4 land. This impacts on both growth and flexibility. Fairfield City Council has a limit of 40 licensed places for new early childhood education and care services and does not permit an existing provider to establish a service on an adjacent block to meet the needs of families in relation to places and flexibility. The Hurstville City Council requires new early childhood education and care services to have a minimum of 35% of licensed places allocated to children under 2 years of age. Whilst this is in line with the most recent Census data for the local government area, this requirement of the Development Control Plan represents a barrier to the feasibility of operating an early childhood education and care service in the area, thereby impacting on both accessibility and affordability for families.

Members consistently report restrictive parking requirements as one of the most common barriers to addressing demand for early childhood education and care services in Sydney. The following member example highlights the significant impact of car parking requirements on the number of licensed places

---

<sup>19</sup> <http://www.thehills.nsw.gov.au/IgnitionSuite/uploads/docs/The%20Hills%20DCP%202012%20Part%20B%20Section%206%20-%20Business.pdf>


available at early childhood education and care services and hence the affordability and accessibility of care for local families.

*"Parramatta City Council requested a stacker car-park via a D.A Condition. We had to get 2 extra car spaces and without it the service would have been approved with 8 less children. With the stacker car-park we were approved for 28 children per day. The actual indoor/outdoor space requirement via the regulations would have enabled us to have over 40 children per day, but as we were limited to the 1 to 4 ratio for car spaces - even with the stacker car park - we were restricted to 28 places.*

*We currently have 49 families enrolled at the service and only about 5-7 families actually use the car park at different times of the day. We have a train station a 2-minute walk away, a bus stop up the road and a very big council parking lot surrounding our commercial property on 3 sides and they still won't let us consider all these attributes. We have submitted traffic assessment reports in the past with these considerations to no avail.*

*The total cost of the stacker car-park (plan pictured) surrounding digging, electrical, water drainage and the installation was just over \$100 000.*

*There are several councils surrounding us with different Development Control Plans and different conditions. Our council, Parramatta City Council requires us to have a 1 to 4 ratio for parking spaces. Fairfield City Council also has this same requirement. Holroyd Council and Bankstown don't list specific requirements in terms of how many parking spaces are required. They only require that parking arrangements are adequate and that a traffic assessment report be submitted".*



There is scope to leverage off existing online tools such as the NSW Department of Planning and Infrastructure's Population and Housing Projections website<sup>20</sup>, which provides population projections, age changes and key drivers of growth by region, to provide indications of future demand for early childhood education and care services.

Child Care New South Wales argues that a more collaborative approach to planning - within and across jurisdictions – would assist in alleviating excessively restrictive conditions associated with development controls, such as limiting hours of operation (thereby prohibiting moves towards flexible early childhood education and care); hours that children are allowed outdoors; lighting within and outside the service etc.

<sup>20</sup> <http://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/en-au/deliveringhomes/populationandhousingprojections.aspx>

**RECOMMENDATION 9**

***That local councils and State Planning Departments be meaningfully engaged in the planning and socio-demographic analysis associated with proposed early childhood education and care services and that Local Environmental Plans be regularly reviewed to ensure consistency with state and national regulations pertaining to early childhood education and care.***

**RECOMMENDATION 10**

***That publicly available, online planning tools be utilised to provide indications of future demand for early childhood education and care services to avoid approval of new services in areas where there is not sufficient demand, and conversely to ensure approval of new services in areas where there is strong demand for early childhood education and care services.***

**RECOMMENDATION 11**

***That local councils, State Planning Departments and State Early Childhood Education and Care Directorates (or similar) work with the early childhood education and care sector to urgently identify planning barriers to the delivery of quality, affordable and accessible early childhood education and care.***

### 3.2.3 Ratios

As another example of inconsistent regulatory requirements, in NSW, services have been required to have an educator:child ratio of 1:10 for 3-5 year olds for many years. However, in other jurisdictions, the educator:child ratio requirement for the same age cohort will not reduce to 1:11 until 2016, noting that the ratios are currently above this (eg in Queensland the current ratio requirements for three years to younger than six years is 1:12). As such, even two years from now, other jurisdictions will still not have ratio requirements equivalent to those in NSW. This is yet another factor limiting flexibility and affordability for families, as well as the viability of some services in NSW, when compared with other jurisdictions.

This contrasts starkly with the ratio requirements for 2-3 year olds, scheduled to commence 1 January 2016, where NSW services are being asked to dramatically drop the educator:child ratio. It must be recognised that the new educator to child ratios for 2-3 year olds represents a substantial change for long day care services – the most significant of the changes to ratios of any age group. The new requirements, which take effect 1 January 2016, change the educator:child ratio from 1:8 to 1:5. Long day care services essentially have two options – either drop the number of children in the 2-3 year old rooms to meet the new ratio requirements, or increase the number of educators. Both options will have a significant impact on the operational costs of long day care services, at a time when parents are reporting that they can afford it least. Members are already reporting that they are already ‘phasing out’ provision of care for 2 year olds in advance of the 2016 ratio changes, or planning to reduce the number of approved places for 2 year olds to a multiple of 5 rather than a multiple of 8. For example, a

long day care service based in Sydney's north west, already operating with a waiting list, will be dropping the number of 2yos from 16 to 15. At the same time as families losing a permanent 2yo place and the service losing fees, the service will also incur additional employment costs, as the room of 16 children, formerly staffed by two educators, will now be a room of 15 children staffed by three educators. This is but one example of the many we are hearing on a regular basis.

**RECOMMENDATION 12**

***That the 2016 ratio requirements for 2-3year olds be delayed or staggered until such time as appropriate Government resources are invested to assist early childhood education and care services to adjust to the new requirements and consequential impacts.***

**3.2.4 Funding**

Whilst significant gains have been made in terms of shifting the Australian mindset that early childhood education and care is as much about quality early education as it is about the highest levels of care, this has not been matched by public investment in the sector. International research is proving time and time again that investment in early childhood education and care delivers solid returns to tax-payers, with findings that every dollar invested in early childhood education and care saves taxpayers up to \$13<sup>21</sup>, and generates a 15-17% return to society<sup>22</sup>. However, only 56% of Australia's total expenditure on early childhood education in 2010 was met from the public purse, compared with an OECD average of 82% public funding<sup>23</sup>.

Even the current 'Universal Access' funding arrangements, which *should* be viewed as positive investment in early childhood education and care, are seriously flawed in an implementation sense, undermining the potential widespread benefits of this investment. Child Care New South Wales remains extremely frustrated and deeply disappointed by the NSW Government's continued failure to provide Universal Access funding to private long day care services in NSW. By way of background, in 2008, the Commonwealth and all State/Territory governments signed a *National Partnership Agreement on Early Childhood Education*<sup>24</sup>, less formally known as the Universal Access agreement. The agreement committed all Australian governments to achieving by 2013 universal access to early childhood education programs for all children in their year before school, delivered by university qualified ECTs, for 15 hours a week, 40 weeks a year.

The NSW Government's *Review of NSW Government Funding for Early Childhood Education*<sup>25</sup> made it clear that "families and children should benefit from the Government's investment in quality early

<sup>21</sup> Calman, L and Tarr-Whelan, L (2005) *Early Childhood Education for All* <http://web.mit.edu/workplacecenter/docs/Full%20Report.pdf>

<sup>22</sup> Heckman, J.J. (2006) *The Economics of Investing in Early Childhood*

<sup>23</sup> OECD (2013), *Education at a Glance 2013: OECD Indicators*, OECD Publishing <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/eqg-2013-en>

<sup>24</sup> Council of Australian Governments (2008) *National Partnership Agreement on Early Childhood Education* [http://www.federalfinancialrelations.gov.au/content/npa/education/early\\_childhood/national\\_partnership.pdf](http://www.federalfinancialrelations.gov.au/content/npa/education/early_childhood/national_partnership.pdf)

<sup>25</sup> NSW Government (2012) *Review of NSW Government Funding for Early Childhood Education* [https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/downloads/about-us/statistics-and-research/public-reviews-and-enquiries/review-of-nsw-government-funding-for-early-childhood-education/review\\_nsw\\_gov\\_funding\\_ece.pdf](https://www.det.nsw.edu.au/media/downloads/about-us/statistics-and-research/public-reviews-and-enquiries/review-of-nsw-government-funding-for-early-childhood-education/review_nsw_gov_funding_ece.pdf)

childhood education programs regardless of whether they access a long day care centre or a preschool”, a recommendation strongly endorsed by Child Care New South Wales. This recommendation is consistent with the requirements of the *National Partnership Agreement on Universal Access to Early Childhood Education Intergovernmental Agreement on Federal Financial Relations*<sup>26</sup>, which states that the agreement “will be implemented consistently”; and that universal access would ensure the quality early childhood education program – defined as “a program delivered in the year before full-time schooling in a diversity of settings, including long day care centre based services, stand-alone preschools and preschools that are part of schools” – “would be delivered in a manner that met the needs of parents and working families”. In briefings provided to state-level working groups and directly to Child Care New South Wales in mid-2013, the NSW Government also acknowledged that “funding LDCs to have early childhood teachers dedicated to preschool-aged children will support the achievement of our universal access targets”. Despite these clear recommendations and requirements of national agreements – and in stark contrast to the position of other jurisdictions – the NSW Government continues to direct Universal Access funding to the community sector only, failing to provide Universal Access funding to benefit the education and care outcomes of the more than 200 000 children in long day care in NSW. It could be argued that the NSW Government is using Universal Access funding as a cost-shifting measure to fund early childhood education and care services in the community sector – funding that would have otherwise been required to come from the NSW Budget.

**RECOMMENDATION 13**

***That the NSW Government deliver Universal Access funding to all long day care and preschool services in NSW to assist in the provision of Early Childhood Teachers dedicated to delivering preschool programs to preschool-aged children in their year before school to support the achievement of the NSW Government’s Universal Access Targets and ensure compliance with the National Partnership Agreement on Universal Access to Early Childhood Education Intergovernmental Agreement on Federal Financial Relations.***

### **3.3 Participation and Access to Services**

#### **3.3.1 Demand For and Expectations of Early Childhood Education and Care Services**

Child Care New South Wales does not have access to state-wide data on demand for early childhood education and care in NSW. However, we have sought our members’ feedback on this issue at member meetings, by survey and via ongoing members communication channels. It is fair to say that demand varies markedly within and between regions, ranging from high-demand, waiting-list situations to low-demand viability concern situations, combined with changes in patterns of education and care, as reflected in the comments below:

<sup>26</sup> Council of Australian Governments (2008) *National Partnership Agreement on Universal Access to Early Childhood Education Intergovernmental Agreement on Federal Financial Relations*  
[http://www.federalfinancialrelations.gov.au/content/npa/education/early\\_childhood\\_education/early\\_childhood\\_education.pdf](http://www.federalfinancialrelations.gov.au/content/npa/education/early_childhood_education/early_childhood_education.pdf)

*"We have had an increase in demand due to our financial investment providing the very best in child care with ECTs in all rooms, highly qualified staff and excellent facilities" (South Coast service with 80 or more licensed places)*

*"We are experiencing an increase in demand with more and more families where both parents are in full-time work" (Sydney-based service with 60-79 approved places)*

*"There has been a decreased demand in the number of days attending due to affordability issues, but an increased expectations of some families who want their child/ren to read and write at a much earlier age" (Sydney-based service provider with multiple services ranging in size from 25-29 places to 60-79 approved places)*

*"There has been decreased demand as there is an oversupply of services in our areas" (service provider with 5 services in the Sydney and South Coast regions ranging in size from 25-29 approved places to 80 or more approved places)*

*"Demand has decreased at individual centres because there are a lot more operating in the area and demand is linked to fees and government rebates available" (Sydney-based service with 25-29 approved places)*

*"Families are starting to choose 2-3 days per week [rather than 5 days per week]. We offer an 8hr day and a 10hr day for 2-5 year olds (soon to be 3 year olds only ahead of the future 1:5 ratio requirements). More families are choosing the short day program at a lower cost" (Sydney-based service provider with three services ranging in size from 25-29 approved places to 40-59 approved places).*

*The higher fees of NQF and wage demands drive parents to more casual arrangements and cheaper forms of care" (North Coast service with less than 59 approved places)*

*"Increased demand for part time. Many parents may work full time but they use grandparents as part time carers" (Sydney based service with 25-29 approved places)*

The points raised by members above regarding 'casual arrangements', 'cheaper forms of care' and 'part-time carers' highlights concerns about informal early childhood education and care, noting that the focus of these informal arrangements tends to be 'care' rather than 'early childhood education', which can lead to negative outcomes for children. As highlighted by Bernal and Keane, *"informal care used after the first year leads to significant reductions in child achievement. Formal care (i.e., centre based care, pre-school) does not have any adverse effect on cognitive outcomes."*<sup>27</sup>.

Members have reported that fluctuating population size can also seriously affect demand. Whether the result of housing booms (see member comment below), sea change/tree change population drift or seasonal conditions (eg drought), temporary or permanent population fluctuation can seriously hinder a service's ability to plan for the future.

<sup>27</sup> Bernal and Keane (2006) *Child Care Choices and the Children's Cognitive Achievement: The Case of Single Mothers*  
[http://research.economics.unsw.edu.au/mkeane/Bernal\\_Keane\\_IV\\_Single\\_Mothers.pdf](http://research.economics.unsw.edu.au/mkeane/Bernal_Keane_IV_Single_Mothers.pdf)

*“There are less families with younger children in my area now than 5 years ago. It’s a small coastal village on the south coast. This downturn in children can also be noticed with less numbers of children enrolled at the local primary school and less children registered at local junior sporting organisations. A housing boom on the coast some years ago has led to less houses for permanent rental and those that are available are at too high a rent for young families. They have moved slightly inland to gain cheaper rental. (South Coast based service with less than 20 approved places)*

As acknowledged in the *Report on Government Services*<sup>28</sup>, “it is difficult to measure accurately how many children access multiple services” (p3.10), which also complicates analysis of demand.

As outlined in Section 3.3 above, Child Care New South Wales argues that there is much improvement to be made to the collection and distribution of early childhood education and care service supply and demand data at the local, regional, state and national level.

## **4. Implementation of the National Quality Framework**

In addition to the issues raised in Section 3.2 above, the following comments refer specifically to the Assessment and Rating process as experienced in New South Wales.

### **4.1 Assessment and Rating**

The National Quality Framework, introduced 1 January 2012, requires early childhood education and care services to be assessed against new, higher quality standards. Approximately 32% of the 14,116 education and care services across Australia had received a quality rating as at 31 December 2013<sup>29</sup>, with 37% of the 4785 approved services in NSW assessed.

A major concern for Child Care New South Wales is member reports of inconsistent assessment and rating procedures based on different interpretations of the National Quality Standards, or a perceived ‘fait accompli’ where assessors state at the commencement of an assessment and rating visit that very few services will ever receive an ‘exceeding’ rating. Child Care New South Wales has been compiling feedback from members on their assessment and rating experiences, which has highlighted a range of deficiencies in the process, from reporting inaccuracies (eg commenting on the use of iPads in services that do not have iPads; commenting on the condition of sandpits in services that do not have a sandpit; commenting on the three rooms in a service that actually has five rooms); to assessor subjectivity (eg assessors who have indicated their personal values and beliefs regarding privately owned and community based services) to jurisdictional confusion (eg whether photographs should be taken of children during an assessment and rating visit if their families or the Department of Communities has requested or ordered not have their photograph taken). Child Care New South Wales has been working

<sup>28</sup> Australian Government (2014) *Report on Government Services – Volume B: Child care, education and training*  
<http://pc.gov.au/gsp/rogs/childcare-education-training>

<sup>29</sup> Australian Children’s Education and Care Quality Authority (2013) *NQF Snapshot Q4 2013*  
[http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014\\_ACECQA\\_Snapshot\\_Q4\\_final\\_web.pdf](http://files.acecqa.gov.au/files/Reports/2014/2014_ACECQA_Snapshot_Q4_final_web.pdf)

closely with the NSW Early Childhood Education and Care Directorate in an attempt to swiftly address these issues and we look forward to participating constructively in the 2014 review. However, it should be noted that whilst these deficiencies are being identified and suggested solutions proposed, the current assessment and rating process and publishing of data continues.

Whilst the quarterly 'snapshot' reports from ACECQA state clearly that "caution should be taken when making comparisons between jurisdictions or making predictions about services yet to be rated" and "the services rated are not representative of all services"<sup>30</sup>, this has not prevented media outlets from publishing their own interpretations of the data, describing 'working towards' as a "low" rating, further describing services who have been rated as less than 'exceeding' as having "flunked" or "bent the rules"<sup>31</sup>. Articles like this beg the question of whether the data should in fact be published before the entire sector has been assessed and rated and parents families informed of the limitations of the data released to date. Child Care New South Wales argues that only aggregate data should be published until the entire sector has been assessed and rated and the scheduled 2014 review completed.

Child Care New South Wales is also deeply concerned that at the current rate of assessment and rating visits, it will be many years before the entire sector has been assessed, presenting enormous challenges for those services already rated as 'working towards' and keenly requesting a re-assessment to demonstrate the improvements they have made to ensure they are now 'meeting' the relevant quality area/s within the National Quality Standards. Whilst there is a legislative requirement for these services to be re-assessed within a 12-month period, it has been made clear to Child Care New South Wales that initial assessment and rating visits are the current priority in NSW, suggesting that it is highly unlikely that this 12-month requirement will be met and as such, that services whose practices have improved since their last assessment and rating visit are stuck with the initial rating for an extended period of time.

#### **RECOMMENDATION 14**

***That assessment and rating procedures within the early childhood education and care sector be urgently reviewed and that the sector be more adequately resourced to ensure that services can be assessed and rated, and subsequent assessments conducted, in a more timely fashion.***

## **5. Impactsof the Announced Government Amendments to the NQF**

Child Care New South Wales welcomed the progress reported in the communiqué<sup>32</sup> issued following the 29 November 2013 Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood meeting aimed at improving the operation of the NQF. These changes included proposed legislative changes to reduce

<sup>30</sup> ibid

<sup>31</sup> The Australian (2 August 2013) *The Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority says childcare centres are not meeting standards, rules bent for 1 in 20* <http://bit.ly/17K1HIW>

<sup>32</sup> Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood (2013) Communiqué <http://www.scseec.edu.au/site/DefaultSite/filesystem/documents/Communiques%20and%20Media%20Releases/2013%20Communiques/SCSE%20Meeting%20Communique%2029%20November%202013.pdf>

regulatory burden on the sector; ‘streamlining’ the assessment and rating process; changes to certified supervisor requirements; improved recognition for staff studying a relevant early childhood degree; and possible transitional provisions to deal with workforce shortages, including greater flexibility on staff ratios of an employee is sick or absent for the short term. The challenge for the Federal, State and Territory Governments is delivering timely outcomes and managing expectations given that these changes were flagged almost four months ago, with no visible progress to date in terms of implementation. Child Care New South Wales understands that the bulk of the changes require machinery of government processes, complicated by a number of imminent State Government elections. It is important that these important changes be implemented expeditiously to deliver beneficial outcomes to children, families, service providers, government and the sector as a whole.

**RECOMMENDATION 15**

***That the amendments to the National Quality Framework agreed to by the Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood in November 2013 be implemented expeditiously such that they not be delayed by State Government elections.***

### **5.1 Reducing Regulatory Burden on the Sector**

Child Care New South Wales welcomed the Abbott Government’s commitment to reduce regulatory burden on the sector and was pleased to see this commitment reflected in the outcomes of the November 2013 Standing Council on School Education and Early Childhood meeting. Child Care New South Wales has sought the support of the NSW Government in supporting initiatives to reduce red tape – which we define as administrative burden imposed by regulation – and is working with the NSW Minister for Small Business and NSW Small Business Commissioner to identify workable solutions which would both assist the Federal Government in meeting its child care red tape commitments and assist the NSW Government in meeting its *NSW 2021: A Plan to Make NSW Number One* red tape commitments.

### **5.2 Changes to Certified Supervisor Requirements**

Child Care New South Wales is keen to ensure that the proposed changes to certified supervisor requirements are implemented swiftly to significantly reduce administrative burden for both service providers and government. Child Care New South Wales has previously expressed concern that the application process for certified supervisors is leading to lengthy delays, despite a statutory timeframe of 60 days applying for decisions to be made. Members have reported extensive delays in the processing of ACECQA form CS01 (Application for Supervisor Certificate) – with some delays exceeding 18 months – which has led in some instances to credit card expiry dates having passed, further delaying the processing time as payments cannot be authorised. Whilst the application form itself does not appear overly burdensome, the existence of a 17-point checklist outlining attachments to accompany the application highlights the complexity of the application process. This is in addition to the provision of a resume, written reference and evidence of completion of an approved education and care qualification. Child Care New South Wales argues that a dramatically streamlined process would greatly

assist in the earliest possible appointment of certified supervisors to ensure that services can meet their legislative requirements. Child Care New South Wales understands there may be progress on this issue in the near future, but at the time of writing, the current process remains. On a similar issue, Child Care New South Wales argues that approval forms should not be required for 'Nominated Supervisors', as this should be a case of notification, not approval.

====//=====