

1. Would you support a referral pathway to doctors and school nurses for children and young people who are vaping, or have a suggestion for an alternative mechanism?

Apologies for the slight delay with this response

I write to confirm that the best option for seeking support is through the school based nurse and also through to the general practitioner

For complex and severe problems that might require more specialist referral there are not clear Pathways of Care and there is a need to further develop

Better pathways of care for underage people requiring further support.

Yours truly

Professor Michael Farrell

Professor Michael Farrell | **Director** | **National Drug and Alcohol Research Centre**

UNSW Medicine | University of New South Wales | Sydney | NSW 2052 | Australia

COMMENTARY



WILEY

Time to reconsider the best practice models of substance use care for young people

1 | INTRODUCTION

The NSW Government announced on 21 September 2022 a half-a-billion dollar investment to deliver targeted health and justice reforms in response to the Special Commission of Inquiry into the Drug Ice. A total of \$358 million over 4 years will be directed towards health-related programs including evidence-based treatment, support and early intervention services for priority populations notably young people, Aboriginal people, pregnant women and people with co-occurring mental illness. Many young people try drugs but for some, drug taking becomes problematic and they need help to get their lives back on track. Between one-fifth and one-quarter of young people in New South Wales (NSW) engage in a risky level of substance use [1]. Young people are especially vulnerable to serious developmental issues, physical harm, psychological issues and social problems due to substance use [2, 3]. Early treatment can reduce use in the long term and improve employment, housing and health outcomes [4, 5], however the window of opportunity to prevent the transition from episodic substance use to a substance use disorder is narrow. Of the individuals who develop a substance use disorder, half will do so within the first two to 4 years of first use [6]. Despite such urgency of early identification and treatment effective interventions for young people using drugs and alcohol are neither well understood nor widely implemented. In this commentary, we argue for a reconsideration of how best to provide alcohol and other drug (AOD) care to young people and put forward several strategies to enhance the benefits young people can gain from engagement with services.

Almost 40% of all people receiving drug and alcohol treatment in Australia are aged less than 30 years [7]. Despite this, numerous barriers exist for young people in accessing services. Young people are reluctant to engage in health and treatment services [8, 9]. Limited health literacy, lack of knowledge of services, difficulties navigating

the health system, geographic isolation, poverty, social exclusion, language barriers and concerns about confidentiality are significant barriers for young people seeking treatment [10–12].

Health services have generally moved to youth-centred service models to improve engagement with young people [1]. Valuable principles for service provision have been documented in the NSW framework such as harm minimisation, human rights, respect, safety and non-discrimination [1]. However, implementing youth-friendly healthcare into adult-orientated health spaces remains a challenge. Hospital-based services are consistently found to be less effective in engaging young people [13] and less able to respond effectively to young people with multiple disadvantages compared to community-based interventions [14, 15]. Due to the limited number of youth-specific AOD services in Australia [16], young people often end up in adult services, placing them at considerable risk by exposing them to more risky drug use behaviours, more experienced adult users, different drugs and adult networks of users and suppliers [17, 18]. Adult services predominantly take a biomedical approach seeing substance use disorders as a chronic relapsing illness [19]. Young people use drugs differently and have different treatment goals compared to adults [18, 20–22]. Young people often have mild to moderate drug use and do not wish to completely cease using drugs [22]. Many seek instead to reduce their use, or learn about drug use to make more informed choices [23].

A health system adopting a biomedical approach to substance use may be at odds with the meaning young people themselves ascribe to their drug use [24].

There have been substantial investments in youth AOD services in Australia. Such services are delivered through specialist health services that include youth-specific residential withdrawal and rehabilitation centres, outreach and support services, and assisted accommodation [25]. A majority of these offer residential rehabilitation models of care, which are generally not suitable for

mild use. A related barrier is the insistence of some treatment services that consumers attend withdrawal management services before admission to treatment, which is often unnecessary for youth presentations. An alternative approach, such as a period of 'time out' in a youth residential setting, where young people would be among peers in a drug free environment, could be better placed to care for young people.

Many young people with substance use issues come into contact with community-based youth services, offering psycho-social models of support that emphasise youth-centredness, nonjudgmental environments, safety and support and forming therapeutic relationships with young people [26]. These capacity-building approaches are effective components of a more comprehensive approach to treatment [27] and may begin to address some of the underlying reasons for substance use among young people [28]. However, many youth services do not have the capacity to employ appropriately trained allied health professionals with substance use skills. Youth specialist organisations such as Headspace, established in recognition of the low rate of service access for young people, generally lack substance use expertise and few, if any, substance use services operate within a similar framework. Additionally, young people with severe substance use are excluded from Headspace services.

Little research exists on how youth AOD service providers understand young people's substance use and how they work within the boundaries and resourcing structure of the clinical environment. Additionally, descriptions and evaluations of effective, holistic and youth-friendly models of care and which service elements are the most impactful, are few in the research literature [29]. We have identified several strategies potentially able to increase young people's service seeking, service uptake and satisfaction.

These strategies are based on findings from three different studies. A study drawing on semi-structured interviews with nine health and community staff about their experiences providing healthcare to young people involved with AOD services [30]. A study examination disadvantaged young people's experiences in different types of youth services. This study involved three purposefully sampled, qualitative case studies of young people and youth workers across three different types of youth services. This included semi-structured interviews with 12 program workers and managers and group-based workshops with 16 young people aged between 16 and 19 [31]. Lastly, a study investigating a peer-led youth program supporting socioeconomically disadvantaged young people. This study was a qualitative field study drawing on ethnographic methods and participant observation data from weekend workshops and semi-structured

interviews with 15 workers and 23 young people aged between 16 and 25 years [26, 32]. Data from all studies were subjected to thematic analysis. Methods and results have been reported elsewhere [26, 31–33]. The approaches outlined below may assist services as they develop their response to the special commission and also have broad applicability, beyond the NSW health system.

2 | ADDRESSING SOCIO-STRUCTURAL DETERMINANTS OF HEALTH

Young people benefit from a treatment system able to account for the unique conditions of their lives. Trauma, poverty and entrenched disadvantage are not prerequisites for substance use but are strong risk factors [34]. Young people who experience disadvantage are both over-represented and underserved in the Australian system [15, 35]. Responses to substance use must therefore comprehensively engage with the drivers of disadvantage and incorporate holistic approaches such as wraparound supports for intersecting needs. Broader strategies to reducing poverty, increasing retention in education and training, reducing un- and underemployment, provision of affordable and secure housing, increasing access to suitable primary health care and early childhood services, support for navigating the justice systems and promoting positive community connections and opportunities for meaningful and active participation may prevent or reduce morbidity and mortality and the need for youth AOD treatment.

3 | YOUTH-FRIENDLY, FLEXIBLE TREATMENT SERVICES AND PROACTIVE ENGAGEMENT WITH YOUNG PEOPLE

To facilitate accessibility, engagement and to fill gaps in local service provision, drug and alcohol services should be placed where young people naturally congregate and feel safe. The use of flexible delivery methods such as outreach, drop-in, out-of-hours services, and digital and culturally-based interventions has been identified as effective ways of engaging young people in health services [27, 36]. This includes a 'multiple access point' approach facilitated through partnerships with local services such as arts, sports and gaming venues [36]. Services that facilitate peer connections [31] and are low-threshold, strengths-based, person-centred and youth-led are especially well placed to engage young people [28]. Youth-friendly services should encompass holistic,

person-centred services actively working alongside young people, and their identified support systems, on their journey to independence. This may include case management, youth-friendly spaces, privacy, targeted communication on issues related to youth, therapeutic group work, life skills, youth participation and engagement on issues that directly affect them. Services also need to consider the specific needs of First Nations young people and those from culturally and linguistically diverse communities, and/or the LGBTI+ community [27].

4 | IMPROVING THE CULTURE OF EXISTING MAINSTREAM SERVICES

Many young people come into contact with hospital-based adult services such as emergency departments and AOD services. Although this places young people at considerable risk, attending adult services may be unavoidable in more remote or regional areas. Investment to build capacity to address access to and appropriateness of adult-focused services should be prioritised including service- and systems-level investment to deliver better and integrated services and appropriate referral pathways. Hospital-based services would benefit from greater engagement with young people when designing and running services including the use of peer workers from marginalised communities and client advocates [37]. Increasing hospital resources to provide food, decorations and electronic devices could foster a youth-friendly environment. Additionally, services need to be prepared to manage family involvement and intergenerational differences between young people and parents in a sensitive and supportive manner [38].

5 | ADDRESSING SERVICE GAPS AND IMPROVED ACCESS TO EVIDENCE-BASED TREATMENT

Improving the transition between paediatric and adult care is an ongoing issue as well as improving inclusion criteria for services. Young people with mild but still problematic substance use often do not meet the substance dependence criterion qualifying them for service admission, treatment and Medicare rebate. Additionally, when young people present with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders, they may be excluded from specialist services. As an example of innovative service models Orygen in Victoria runs relationally-informed integrated service hubs for young people with mental health issues. Orygen offers a range of services and treatment options to young people across the spectrum of severity of mental health issues and develops resources for clinicians

working with young people who have co-occurring mental health and drug problems [39]. Such a model may have relevance for services caring for young people with substance use issues.

6 | BETTER SERVICE LINKAGE AND ACTIVE COLLABORATIVE CARE MODELS

Substance use issues require a mix of responses tailored to individual needs [40]. This is best delivered through multi-agency and multidisciplinary service programs [41]. Solo-focused interventions or single-professional group styles of practice develop high-level skills in their discipline but may be unable to work across siloes thus failing to address the complexity of young people's needs. An appropriate response requires comprehensive assessments, integrated care and active collaborative care models. How well services will be able to achieve this is yet to be seen, but such de-siloing of healthcare will be critical for success [42].

7 | INTEGRATED, LOCAL SERVICES AND COMMUNITY-BASED APPROACHES

Principles of integrated care in the case of young people involve making services available locally, within a reasonable distance from the family home and embedding substance use treatment services into other youth health and social services. Service linkages between health services including mental health and social sectors such as education, social services, community youth services, accommodation agencies, Juvenile Justice and legal services assist especially marginalised young people in navigating the complex health systems and improve engagement [15]. Treatment by referrals to services not in the local community fails these principles. To assist young people in navigating available services, person-centred case or peer workers focusing on service navigation, information and referral are recommended, especially in regional and remote areas.

8 | PREVENTATIVE CARE AND HARM REDUCTION SERVICES FOR YOUNG PEOPLE

A focus on prevention may be especially relevant for young people, allowing services to identify problematic patterns of substance use that are amenable for early

intervention [43]. This involves broadening the range of treatment options to include offerings more aligned with how young people themselves understand their substance use and treatment needs. Access to traditional harm reduction services such as needle and syringe programs and opioid agonist therapy should be ensured, consistent with the Australian National Drug Strategy [44]. Capacity building may be required for current and future staff in youth AOD services relating to harm reduction principles, especially for staff and peers who may view abstinence as the only acceptable outcome for young people who use substances.

9 | REDUCING SUBSTANCE RELATED HARM AMONG YOUNG PEOPLE

Addressing the challenges of young people with substance use issues requires a highly skilled and dedicated team of community and health professionals able to work across disciplines and in close partnership. This approach has capacity to minimise substance-related health, social and economic harms for young people, their families and communities. Additionally, services need to consider the broader social determinants that contribute to substance use. Despite the clear articulation of these principles almost a decade ago [1], there remains a shortfall of services available that reflect these principles. The new injection of funding to address substance use, in NSW at least, provides an important opportunity to address this shortfall and, quality evaluation of such services provide broader benefit for other jurisdictions to learn and refine their own service models.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Open access publishing facilitated by The University of Sydney, as part of the Wiley - The University of Sydney agreement via the Council of Australian University Librarians.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Maja L. Moensted¹ 

Sophia Little² 

Paul Haber³

Carolyn Day^{4,5} 

¹*Discipline of Addiction Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia*

²*Edith Collins Centre (Translational Research in Alcohol Drugs and Toxicology), Drug Health Service - Sydney Local Health District, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, Australia*

³*Sydney Medical School - Discipline of Addiction Medicine, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia*

⁴*Discipline of Addiction Medicine, Central Clinical School; Sydney Medical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia*

⁵*Drug Health Service Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, Australia*

Correspondence

Maja L. Moensted, Discipline of Addiction Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia.
Email: maja.moensted@sydney.edu.au

ORCID

Maja L. Moensted  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8708-1039>

Sophia Little  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7164-2623>

Carolyn Day  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4277-1412>

REFERENCES

1. NSW Ministry of Health. Substance use and young people framework. 2014. Available from: <https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/aod/professionals/pages/substance-use-andyoung-people-framework.aspx>
2. Brown SA, Tapert SF. Adolescence and the trajectory of alcohol use: basic to clinical studies. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 2004;1021:234–44.
3. Emmers E, Bekkering GE, Hannes K. Prevention of alcohol and drug misuse in adolescents: an overview of systematic reviews. *Nord Stud Alcohol Drug*. 2015;32:183–98.
4. Cairns AJ, Kavanagh DJ, Dark F, McPhail SM. Comparing predictors of part-time and no vocational engagement in youth primary mental health services: a brief report. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2018;12:726–9.
5. Guo X, Slesnick N. Reductions in hard drug use among homeless youth receiving a strength-based outreach intervention: comparing the long-term effects of shelter linkage versus drop-in center linkage. *Subst Use Misuse*. 2017;52:905–15.
6. Marel C, Sunderland M, Mills KL, Slade T, Teesson M, Chapman C. Conditional probabilities of substance use disorders and associated risk factors: progression from first use to use disorder on alcohol, cannabis, stimulants, sedatives and opioids. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2019;194:136–42.
7. AIHW. Alcohol and other drug treatment services in Australia 2017-18: key Findings. 2019 Retrieved from Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, Canberra. Available from: <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/alcohol-other-drug-treatment-services/aodts-2017-18-key-findings/contents/summary>
8. Booth ML, Bernard D, Quine S, Kang M, Beasley L, Usherwood T, et al. Access to health care among NSW adolescents: Phase 1 Final Report. 2002 Retrieved from NSW Centre for the Advancement of Adolescent Health, The Children's Hospital at Westmead. Available from: www.health.nsw.gov.au/kidsfamilies/youth/Documents/access-phase-1-report.pdf
9. Szirom T, Debbie K, Desmond K. Barriers to service provision for young people with presenting substance misuse and mental health problems. 2004. Retrieved from Canberra:

- <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/barriers-service-provision-young-people-presenting-substance-misuse>
10. Bruun A, Raulin T, Mitchell P. A resource for strengthening therapeutic practice frameworks in youth AOD services. 2012 Youth Substance Abuse Service (YSAS).
 11. Department of Health. National drug strategy 2017–2026. 2017 Retrieved from Department of Health, Canberra. Available from: www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/national-drug-strategy-2017-2026.pdf
 12. Douglass C, Block K, Horyniak D, Hellard M, Lim M. Addressing alcohol and other drug use among young people from migrant and ethnic minority backgrounds: Perspectives of service providers in Melbourne, Australia. *Health Soc Care Commun.* 2021;29:e308–17.
 13. Stockings E, Hall WD, Lynskey M, Morley KI, Reavley N, Strang J, et al. Prevention, early intervention, harm reduction, and treatment of substance use in young people. *Lancet Psychiatry.* 2016;3:280–96.
 14. Gowan T, Whetstone S, Andic T. Addiction, agency, and the politics of self-control: doing harm reduction in a heroin users' group. *Soc Sci Med.* 2012;74:1251–60.
 15. van Boekel LC, Brouwers EPM, van Weeghel J, Garretsen HFL. Inequalities in healthcare provision for individuals with substance use disorders: perspectives from healthcare professionals and clients. *J Substance Use.* 2016;21:133–40.
 16. Bryant J, Horwitz R, Grey RM, Lafferty L, Jops P, Blunden H, et al. Improving access to drug and alcohol treatment in NSW Australia: the role of self-determination and peer support. *Health Soc Care Commun.* 2022;30:e2050–7.
 17. Barker B, Kerr T, Nguyen P, Wood E, DeBeck K. Barriers to health and social services for street-involved youth in a Canadian setting. *J Public Health Policy.* 2015;36:350–63.
 18. Philbin MM, Tanner AE, DuVal A, Ellen JM, Kapogiannis B, Fortenberry JD. Understanding care linkage and engagement across 15 adolescent clinics: provider perspectives and implications for Newly HIV-infected youth. *AIDS Educ Prev.* 2017;29:93–104.
 19. Barnett AI, Hall W, Fry CL, Dilkes-Frayne E, Carter A. Drug and alcohol treatment providers' views about the disease model of addiction and its impact on clinical practice: a systematic review. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2018;37:697–720.
 20. Indig D, Topp L, Ross B, Mamoon H, Border B, Kumar S, et al. NSW Inmate Health Survey. 2009 Key findings report. Available from: <https://justicehealth.nsw.gov.au/publications/2009-ihs-report.pdf>
 21. MacLean S. Alcohol and the constitution of friendship for young adults. *Sociology.* 2016;50:93–108.
 22. Vander LF. How drug policy should (not) be: institutionalised young people's perspectives. *Int J Drug Policy.* 2011;22:491–7.
 23. Russell C, Neufeld M, Sabioni P, Varatharajan T, Ali F, Miles S, et al. Assessing service and treatment needs and barriers of youth who use illicit and non-medical prescription drugs in Northern Ontario, Canada. *PLoS One.* 2019;14:e0225548.
 24. Bryant J. Building inclusion, maintaining marginality: how social and health services act as capital for young substance users. *J Youth Stud.* 2018;21:983–98.
 25. Australian Government Department of Health. National framework for alcohol, tobacco and other drug treatment 2019–29. 2019 Available from: www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2020/08/national-framework-for-alcohol-tobacco-and-other-drug-treatment-2019-29.pdf
 26. Moensted ML, Day CA, Buus N. Youth practitioners' perspectives on building agency and supporting transitions with and for young people experiencing disadvantage. *J Appl Youth Stud.* 2020;3:293–310.
 27. Fadus MC, Squeglia LM, Valadez EA, Tomko RL, Bryant BE, Grey KM. Adolescent substance use disorder treatment: an update on evidence-based strategies. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2019;21:96.
 28. Caruana T, Mao L, Grey R, Bryant J. Engagement and outcomes of marginalised young people in an early intervention youth alcohol and other drug program: the Street Universities model. *PLoS One.* 2023;18:e0286025.
 29. Fomiatti R, Farrugia A, Fraser S, Hocking S. Improving the effectiveness and inclusiveness of alcohol and other drug outreach models for young people: a literature review. *Drugs Educ Prev Policy.* 2023;30:105–14.
 30. Moensted ML, Little S, Day CA. Service providers' perspectives on youth-friendly drug and alcohol treatment: preliminary findings. Paper presented at the Australasian Professional Society on Alcohol & other Drugs (APSAD), Darwin Conference 10–13 October 2022.
 31. Moensted ML. Youth programs' ability to meaningfully engage with marginalised young people's social citizenship aspirations. *J Appl Youth Stud.* 2018;2:44–56.
 32. Moensted ML, Buus N. From treatment to empowerment: conceptualising the role of young people in creating change processes for their peers. *Child Youth Serv.* 2022;43:391–411.
 33. Buus N, Moensted ML. Collectively learning to talk about personal concerns in a peer-led youth program: a field study of a community of practice. *Health Soc Care Commun.* 2022;30:e4425–32.
 34. He J, Yan X, Wang R, Zhao J, Liu J, Zhou C, et al. Does childhood adversity lead to drug addiction in adulthood? a study of serial mediators based on resilience and depression. *Front Psychiatry.* 2022;13:871459.
 35. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Alcohol and other drug treatment services in Australia annual report. 2021 Retrieved from Department of Health, Canberra: <https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/alcohol-other-drug-treatment-services/alcohol-other-drug-treatment-services-australia>
 36. Kang M, Bernard D, Usherwood T, Quine S, Alperstein G, Kerr-Roubicek H, et al. Better Practice in Youth Health. Final report on research study. 2005 Access to health care among young people in New South Wales: Phase 2. Retrieved from NSW Centre for the Advancement of Adolescent Health, The Children's Hospital at Westmead: <https://www.health.nsw.gov.au/kidsfamilies/youth/Documents/access-phase-2-report.pdf>
 37. Hawke LD, Mehra K, Settapani C, Relihan J, Darnay K, Chaim G, et al. What makes mental health and substance use services youth friendly? a scoping review of literature. *BMC Health Services Res.* 2019;19:257.
 38. Tanner-Smith EE, Steinka-Fry KT, Hensman Kettrey H, Lipsey MW. Adolescent substance use treatment effectiveness: a systematic review and meta-analysis. 2016 Retrieved from Office of Justice Programs' National Criminal Justice

Reference Service: <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/adolescent-substance-use-treatment-effectiveness-systematic-review>

39. Bartholomeusz C. Evidence to Practice: Integrating treatment for young people with co-occurring substance use and mental health issues. 2021 Retrieved from Orygen and the Centre for Youth Mental Health, The University of Melbourne: <https://www.orygen.org.au/Training/Resources/Alcohol-and-substance-use/Evidence-to-practice/integrating-treatment-for-young-people-with-co-occ>
40. Fraser S, Ekendahl M. “Getting better”: the politics of comparison in addiction treatment and research. *Contemp Drug Probl.* 2018;45:87–106.
41. Baker D, Kay-Lambkin F. Two at a time: alcohol and other drug use by young people with a mental illness. 2016 Retrieved from The National Centre of Excellence in Youth Mental Health, Melbourne: https://www.orygen.org.au/Orygen-Institute/Policy-Reports/Alcohol-and-other-drug-use/alcohol_and_other_drug_policy_paper_2016?ext#:~:text=Young%20people%20with%20a%20mental%20illness%20who%20use%20alcohol%20to%20treating%20both%20health%20issues
42. Rifkin S, Fort M, Patcharanarumol W, Tangcharoensathien V. Primary healthcare in the time of COVID-19: breaking the silos of healthcare provision. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2021;6:e007721.
43. McLellan AT, Koob GF, Volkow ND. Preaddiction—A missing concept for treating substance use disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry.* 2022;79:749–51. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.1652>
44. Commonwealth of Australia. The National Drug Strategy 2017–2026. 2017 Retrieved from Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra: www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/national-drug-strategy-2017-2026.pdf

How to cite this article: Moensted ML, Little S, Haber P, Day C. Time to reconsider the best practice models of substance use care for young people. *Drug Alcohol Rev.* 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.13837>