## Dear Sir/Madam,

As a former member of the Labor Party I am amazed that the Party is proposing legislation to create a bigger "offence industry" to waste scarce resources & line the pockets of a minority. Surely the Government has more important priorities, particularly in the economic sphere! The Party has deserted its traditional supporters.

The amendments are vague & attempt to rewrite language & delete words that have a profound, biological or scientific meaning. Replacing them with words that have no definite meaning or whose meaning has been changed are attempts at social engineering. For example, in Sec 4(1), the proposed definition of "gender identity" is vague. There is no clear definition of what it is. How is a person to know that another person has a gender identity that is different to a third person's identity & therefore cannot be treated less favourably than the third person? If the third person also has a "gender identity", how is a person to choose between them without breaching the amended Act? A person could change their "gender identity" from time to time. It is not fair that the community has to be under threat of breaching the Act by virtue of not being able to ascertain, by things objectively observable, whether a person has a "gender Identity". This is just one example.

Instead of putting on more bureaucrats & lining the pockets of sections of the legal industry for no argueable advance in human rights, I suggest the Government get on & plan how to benefit the working community over the next 10 years by providing necessary infrastructure. Dams for water would be a good start.

Yours faithfully,

Mr P Donald,