

Good Morning,

We are STRONGLY OPPOSED to the Draft Anti Discrimination Legislation proposed by the Australian Labour Party as follows;

1. A single, simplified test for discrimination.

The existing RIGHTS of individuals and families not included in the 'protected/minority' groups MUST be maintained and not discriminated against in favour of the minority whose rights/preferences would be FORCED onto the majority.

e.g. Freedom of speech to participate in political and public debate about social issues, problems, government policies, educational curriculum etc in every aspect of public life that affects us and our children as Citizens of Australia - whom you propose to NOT PROTECT and to UTTERLY REJECT OUR RIGHT TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH - UNLESS WE CAN AFFORD TO HIRE A LAWYER TO DEFEND SPURIOUS CLAIMS BY PROTECTED GROUPS GIVEN FREE LEGAL AID.

A "simple test" encourages and promotes vexatious and spurious complaints against citizens not of the same orientation as the complainant.

Parents, for example, are naturally protective of their children, and will intervene (by action and/or WRITTEN/SPOKEN word) to protect them from potential harm by ANY PERSON who behaves in a subtle or overtly SEXUAL MANNER, irrespective of their personal sexual preference/ orientation/ psychological profile.

Parents must also maintain the RIGHT to have OUR CHILDREN educated in values consistent with their own family values/ beliefs/ religion, and NOT have the sexual preferences of biologically and culturally unrelated individuals or groups (even where they may be a protected group), forced onto them through the Education Curriculum.

The 'simple test' fails to protect the Freedom of Speech of the majority of citizens. It also magnifies the ability of 'protected individuals' to legally assault 'non protected persons/ groups' by "bullying them with the law" which also FUNDS their bullying and forces the onus of defense on the the unprotected individual/group and also the cost of such defense. The proposed legislation is entirely focused upon empowering the radical, minority vociferous groups, by law and financially, to intimidate, bully and use free legal means against any and all unprotected persons in all aspects of public life.

For example, the WA Consumer legislation in the retirement village context 'fails to vet or ensure that vexatious claims/disputes comply with the legislation' before they force consultation upon the accused party. This is WA Law, yet it is NOT ENFORCED to protect the 'innocent till proven guilty' party from STATE SANCTIONED BULLYING, given that Government Employees fail to vet the complaint for legislative compliance before they prosecute the compliant.

Clearly, the 'streamlined compliant process' of the propose Anti Discrimination legislation will fail even worse in this regard.

2. Please explain why Aboriginal Children (a protected group) are protected from adoption by non indigenous homosexuals, whereas Non Indigenous children have no such rights. Clearly the rights of the non indigenous child are discriminated against in favour of the biologically/culturally unrelated adult whose identification as homosexual is FORCED onto the defenseless/unprotected child and their biological parents/family group.

The current "anti Discrimination 'legislation' happily discriminates against the Non Indigenous Child in favour of the biologically unrelated Adult, simply because the adult claims to be part of a protected group, i.e. homosexual etc.

3. Coverage in any area of public life???

You are suggesting that Parents have NO RIGHTS to protect their child from education/mental exposure to clearly dangerous sexual practices known to cause death - statistically worse than smoking which is subject to restrictive laws and controls and public education campaigns. The Government happily discriminates against smokers - because smoking is proven to Kill. Well, apply the same statistical test and Government controls to all other forms of human behaviour statistically proven to kill.

The WA Sex Education curriculum for example, denies children the "full facts" of the mental and physical health impacts of homosexual sex unlike heterosexual sex. This therefore promotes homosexuality by virtue of denying students the full facts for them to make their personal choices by. Why is this??? Intention to promote?

THE Education Dep't of WA fails to advise parents that we "currently" have the right to conscientiously object on the grounds of belief.

IF the current protections of parents/childrens rights are effectively being denied us, what protection will there be for parents wishing to raise their children to be well adjusted and productive individuals who will bear and raise families of their own and to protect them from "ALL FORMS OF HIGH RISK SEXUAL PRACTICES OF ANY AND ALL INCLINATIONS". The answer if course is NONE - NO PROTECTION because current protections are already effectively denied to us!!!

You are suggesting that churches, mosques etc cannot teach their beliefs in their own premises/schools, hospitals etc!

You are suggesting that they cannot employ people who adhere to the high standards of their faith! The proposed legislation is 'Sharia like', in that it seeks to FORCE non adherents to surrender their own beliefs and their children to the 'proven/dangerous sexual practices of protected individuals who often appear to be incapable/unwilling to relate to other human beings in a non sexual manner'.

The right to Freedom of Religion is NOT an Exemption to the Anti Discrimination Act - this right is ENSHRINED IN THE AUSTRALIAN CONSTITUTION which was written to 'keep the state out of the church - NOT vice versa'!

Furthermore, do you not recall the law suit of 'The 3 Danny's' brought by the Victorian Anti Discrimination Commission?

Apparently, Government Officers entered Christian house of worship, took evidence, and attempted to prosecute the 3 pastors for many years.

Was there some involvement by some Muslims seeking to suppress freedom of religion and free speech by an opposing religion?

Clearly, the way in which current state based anti discrimination legislation has been enforced proves that both Government officers and 'protected minorities' do and will use the proposed Federal Anti Discrimination Legislation to deliberately target and attack any individuals and groups who voice an opposing opinion, against which they choose to take offense.

4. Non protected individuals (ie the majority) must maintain our freedom of speech, to discuss, debate, to question the overt sexually charged opinions of protected individuals/ groups as they seek to FORCE their views onto us and our children in our areas of public life including schools, workplaces, religious institutions etc etc.

We do not run around vilifying them, but they continually vilify us by forcing their views and demands onto us in every area of public life, and effectively into our 'private lives' which include our schools, churches, mosques, TV shows etc etc etc.

5. A streamlined approach to exceptions?

Clearly, this means the "demolition of the rights of non protected individuals/groups to ANY defense which they are able to emotionally or financially raise in order to defend against spurious claims fired at them by protected individuals who are not even required to JUSTIFY their complaint in any reasonable/ logical/ robust/ proven way before they are given FREE legal aid to assault individuals/ groups who have been effectively rendered DEFENSELESS by the Labour/Green Governments Draft legislation'.

AGAIN I say, the rights of religion and of families/parents etc to live by high principles which have served human society and families for millenia are NOT EXEMPTIONS, they are rights enshrined in the Australian Constitution which I believe is being breached by the proposed draft legislation.

FREEDOM OF RELIGION - check it out !!!

The RIGHTS OF THE CHILD to their biological mother, father, family, culture ! At least the protected ABORIGINAL CHILDREN are now protected since the Stolen Children Generation Report - pity about all of the majority of unprotected, non indigenous children who have effectively been discriminated against in favour of the rights of biologically and culturally unrelated adults from a protected/homosexual category!

6. You MUST acknowledge that the United Nations declared that "homosexuality is NOT a human right".

The draft Anti discrimination legislation ignores this ruling and clearly seeks to bully/ force/ threaten to views/lifestyle of the extremely small minority group onto the massive majority, not just in public life, but also in private life, which I argue includes our local schools, places of worship, which are effectively private/ restricted to the registered members etc.

REFERENDUM PLEASE !

But of course, the lobby groups responsible for drafting this draconian legislation care NOTHING for the beliefs/ views etc of the majority whose rights will be totally ignored to ensure that the vociferous, low life expectancy minority can FORCE their overtly sexual world view on the defenseless majority and to beat us into submission by their draft anti discrimination legislation..

I and my family of 4 are STRONGLY OPPOSED to the Draft Anti Discrimination Legislation proposed by the Australian Labour Party.

I am willing to elaborate these queries and views further for your inquiry

Phillip Douglass