## SENATE RURAL & REGIONAL AFFAIRS & TRANSPORT LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

### **INDEX OF TABLED DOCUMENTS**

### Inquiry into the Agricultural and Veterinary Chemicals Legislation Amendment Bill 2012

### Canberra, Monday 04 February 2013

LODGED BY	TITLE/SUBJECT	PAGES
WWF-Australia and the	A list of Australia's most dangerous	17
National Toxics Network	pesticides	

Tabled Doenner

# A list of Australia's most dangerous pesticides

WWF



July 2010 Jo Immig, Coordinator, National Toxics Network

### Introduction

Over 8000 pesticide and veterinary products are registered for use in Australian agriculture, horticulture, livestock, forestry, commercial premises, parks, homes and gardens. This document lists some of Australia's most dangerous pesticides. More than 80 of these are prohibited in the United Kingdom, France, Germany and the other 24 member countries of the European Union.

In Europe, pesticides have to be proven safe – in terms of human health, residues in the food chain and the environment – in order to be allowed on the European market. It is the responsibility of industry to provide the data showing that a pesticide can be used safely.

Australia does not have the same system as Europe and our national regulator, the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA) does not apply the same precautionary approach.

This list also includes 17 pesticides that are known, likely or probable carcinogens, and 48 pesticides flagged as potential endocrine (hormone) disruptors. More than 20 of the listed pesticides are classified as either extremely or highly hazardous by the World Health Organisation. Three of the pesticides are subject to actions by International Conventions but are still used in Australia.

### Some examples

### Endosulfan

Despite its ban in more than 60 countries, including New Zealand, because of health and environment concerns, endosulfan remains registered in Australia for a wide variety of uses, including many fruits, vegetables, field crops, nuts and cotton.

Endosulfan is in the final stages of assessment under the *Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants* (POPs). In October 2009, the United Nations POPs Review Committee concluded that endosulfan was a persistent

organic pollutant on the basis of its persistence, toxicity, ability to bio-accumulate and be transported long distances. It found endosulfan was "likely, as a result of its long-range environmental transport, to lead to significant adverse human health and environmental effects, such that global action is warranted". ii

Endosulfan has been detected in air, water, sediment, and biota thousands of kilometers from use areas<sup>iii</sup> and in the tissue and blood of Arctic and Antarctic wildlife including seals and whales.

Endosulfan was prohibited from use in the European Union (EU) following reassessment because there was insufficient information about its environmental fate and ecotoxicology, operator exposure under indoor conditions and the route and rate of degradation of endosulfan in soil and water/sediment systems. Endosulfan is listed in the EU Water Policy's Annex X as a priority substance for control of pollution in the aquatic environment. It is also included in the List of Chemicals for Priority Action by the OSPAR Commission for the Protection of the Marine Environment in the North-East Atlantic because of its pollution of the marine environment.

In June 2010, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) announced it would terminate all uses of endosulfan on the basis of its unacceptable neurological and reproductive risks to agricultural workers and wildlife. Although already a restricted use pesticide, a human health risk assessment found that handler risks were "of concern for most use scenarios, even with maximum personal protective equipment or engineering controls, such as closed mixing/loading systems or enclosed cabs."

The APVMA began a review of endosulfan in 1995 and issued its final report in 2005. A key concern of the review "was to prevent cattle from ingesting endosulfan residues". As a result of the review, the APVMA made a number of changes to endosulfan products: declaring them to be restricted chemical products; requiring users to undertake specified training and keep records of use; restricting the number of applications per season in some crops; mandatory buffer zones for

spraying and neighbourhood notification before application (in cotton only).\*

The APVMA continues to support the registration of endosulfan. Its current position is that "on the basis of the available evidence, endosulfan can be used safely in accordance with the conditions outlined on product labels."

Endosulfan has been identified as an endocrine disruptor however the APVMA considers "the endocrine disrupting potential of endosulfan is not a significant risk to public health under the existing management controls and health standards".xiii

The APVMA has identified endosulfan in its priority list of chemicals to be assessed for spray drift risks due to human health, environmental, residue and trade concerns.

#### Atrazine

Atrazine is one of the most widely used herbicides in Australian agriculture. It is used to control weeds in sorghum, maize, and sugar cane crops, and is also used in pine and eucalypt plantations and on triazine-tolerant canola crops.

The APVMA conducted a review of atrazine from 1995 to 2008 due to concerns for human and animal carcinogenicity, environmental impacts, including the potential for atrazine to contaminate ground and surface water, and residue uncertainties. The review affirmed atrazine's approval in Australia and made relatively minor changes to label instructions; updated information on withholding periods; and required additional information on weed resistance reporting.

The APVMA has identified atrazine in its priority list of chemicals to be assessed for spray drift risks due to human health and environmental concerns.

Atrazine was prohibited in the European Union in 2003 following re-assessment. The scientific review concluded that atrazine and its breakdown products presented a risk to EU groundwater quality standards. XIV

The US EPA is currently re-evaluating atrazine because of "the new body of scientific information as well as the documented presence of atrazine in both drinking water sourced and other bodies of water". XV

Atrazine is a suspected endocrine/reproductive disrupter.

### Diuron

Diuron is a broad-spectrum residual herbicide and algaecide used in Australia to control weeds in a

variety of crops including wheat, oats, barley, rye, triticale, lupin, sugar cane, cotton, coffee, citrus, apples and pears, pawpaw, pineapples, bananas, grapes, asparagus, peas, cut flowers and various seed crops. It is also registered as a cotton defoliant, for controlling weeds and algae in and around water bodies and in marine antifouling paints.<sup>xvi</sup>

The APVMA began a review of diuron in 2002 because of concerns about human health and environmental risks, particularly because of its detection in the Great Barrier Reef. In 2005 the APVMA released preliminary review findings, including that:

- diuron is posing an unacceptable risk to corals, seagrass and dugongs in the Great Barrier Reef;
- diuron is posing an unacceptable risk to the environment from 13 of its 22 registered uses.

The APVMA made a number of preliminary recommendations to change the way diuron can be used but none have yet been implemented. The review has not been completed. Diuron has subsequently been added to the APVMA's list of priority pesticides for spray drift risk assessment due to environmental concerns.

The EU originally withdrew diuron under its reassessment process. Risks to operators, groundwater and birds and mammals were deemed unacceptable on the basis of the available scientific information. However, a further review was undertaken based on additional confidential data provided by the main registrant, and a recommendation to re-include diuron was accepted for limited uses under strict conditions. Diuron application in the EU is now limited to ground in strip-band application under rows, avoiding drift by using low pressure and shields. These conditions address operator safety and the protection of aquatic organisms and non-target plants.

Diuron is a known/likely human carcinogen and has been identified as a suspected endocrine disruptor.

### Chlorpyrifos

Chlorpyrifos is an insecticide used on a wide range of Australian fruit and vegetable crops, sugar cane, cotton, cereals and pastures, in termite management, home gardens and domestic pests. The APVMA initiated a review of chlorpyrifos in 1996 because of its human toxicity, acute toxicity to birds, water pollution potential and other factors.\*\* After 14 years, the review is still

ongoing.

In 2000, the APVMA released interim findings that the home and garden uses of chlorpyrifos with concentrations of greater than 50g/L could no longer be supported because of acute toxicity concerns. The APVMA also found that there was inadequate residue data for the use of chlorpyrifos in some commodities. In 2009 the APVMA released further findings and recommended a number of use restrictions, as well as extending the withholding periods for products sprayed with chlorpyrifos. XXI It is unclear which, if any, of these recommendations have been implemented.

The APVMA has identified chlorpyrifos in its priority list of chemicals to be assessed for spray drift risks due to human health and environmental concerns.

In the EU, chlorpyrifos is authorised but only for for limited purposes. Chlorpyrifos is also registered in the United States but its use there is more restricted compared to Australia. For example, chlorpyrifos is not permitted for use on tomatoes or for post bloom use on apples, home gardens and lawns, inside homes for crack and crevice treatments, post construction termite treatments for barriers and spot treatments, or for any area where children could be exposed to it such as schools and parks.xxii In 2009, the US EPA announced plans to introduce additional limitations on the use of chlorpyrifos to protect 28 species of endangered and threatened salmon and steelhead fish in four US States, following assessment under the US Endangered Species Act. xxiii

Table 1: A list of the most dangerous pesticides registered in Australia

						-	9						
Examples of registered uses in Australia	Bananas, crucifers, macadamias, ornamentals, potatoes, tobacco, tomatoes	Broadleaf weeds and grasses in certain crops	Household broad spectrum surface spray and insect killer, mosquitos	Cotton, sugar cane, citrus	Weeds and grasses in sugar cane	Cattle (ticks), pigs (mange), cotton	TT canola, sorghum, broom millet, maize, sweet corn, sugar cane, lupins, Eucalyptus and <i>Pinus radiata</i> plantations, grass seed crops, ryegrass seed crops, roadside and rights of way	Residual insect spray		Fruit, macadamias	Household nuisance pests, bananas, cattle	Selective turf herbicide	Apricots, bananas, barley, canola, citrus, clover, cotton, cucurbits, faba beans, field peas, grapes, lucerne, lupins, navy beans, netarines, peaches, pears, plums, poppies, sugar cane, tomatoes, wheat, ants, timber pests, garden and household pests
No. of registered products in Australia	12	8	111	3	20	26	89	-	3	4	4	2	170
APVMA status	Nominated for review (Priority 1): Human health and residues			Review completed 2001			Review completed 2008 Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns			Under review since 1994 Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns			Review completed 2008 Restricted chemical product. (pre-construction termite products)
Potential endocrine disruptor	EU 2; US EPA (Screen list)		OSF	EU 2			EU 1; OSF; US EPA (Screen list)						EU 1; US EPA (Screen list)
Potential carcinogen	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA. Multiple Descriptors, likely to be carcinogenic to humans at high doses, not likely to be carcinogenic to humans at low doses	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	JARC: Not classifiable	US EPA: Data inadequate for an assessment of human carcinogenic potential	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential to humans	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans				US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen
WHO Classification	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Extremely hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Slightly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Highly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately
EU Status and International Conventions	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU
Function	Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide	Insecticide, Nematicide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Herbicide		Insecticide	Insecticide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Miticide, Termiticide
Pesticide	Acephate	Acifluorfen	Allethrin	Aldicarb	Ametryn	Amitraz	Atrazine	Azaconazole	Azamethiphos	Azinphos-methyl	Bendiocarb	Bensulide	Bifenthrin

0

Pesticide	Bioallethrin	Bromoxynil Herb	Cadusafos Insec	Carbaryl Insec	Carbendazim	Carbofuran Insec	Carbosulfan Inse	Chlorfenapyr Mitical Insections	Chlorfenvinphos Inser	Chloropicrin Mixe pesti	Chlorthal Herb	Chlorothalonil Fungic Antifou paints
Function	Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Nematicide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Fungicide	Insecticide, Nematicide	Insecticide	Miticide, Insecticide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Mixed function pesticide	Herbicide	Fungicide, Antifouling paints
EU Status and International Conventions	Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	
WHO	Moderately		Highly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	2	Highly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Highly hazardous			
Potential carcinogen	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans		US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential			US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	IARC: Possibly carcinogenic to humans US EPA: Likely to be
Potential endocrine disruptor	EU1	EU 2		EU 1; OSF; US EPA (Screen list)	EU 2	EU 2; US EPA (Screen list)			EU 2			US EPA (Screen list)
APVMA status		Trade Advice Notice issued May 2008, concern over residues		Under review since 1995: Residue and health concerns	Under review since 2007: Residue and occupational safety and public health concerns New restrictions introduced in 2010 Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 2): Human health		Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns	Under review since 1996: Occupational health and safety, environmental effects	Nominated for review (Priority 1): Environmental and human health and residue concerns		Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental and human health concerns
No. of registered products in Australia	38	54	2	12	91	4	2	r.	∞	23	9	51
Examples of registered uses in Australia	Household nuisance pests	Wheat, barley, cereal rye,oats, triticale, linseed, grass pastures and turf	Bananas, citrus, ginger, sugar cane, tobacco, tomatoes	Fruit, nuts, vegetables, crops and pastures	Bananas, strawbernies, ginger seed pieces (pre-planting), sugar cane setts (pre-planting), pasture, red clover and subterranean clover, chickpeas, faba beans, lentils, vetch, macadamias and in timber preservation	Rice, sugar cane, tobacco, wheat, barely	Cotton	Brassica vegetables, apples, peaches, pears	Cattle dip and spray, flystrike and mules wound dressing	Soil and compost fumigation, rabbits, rodents	Brassicas, beans, peas, garlic, onions, carrots, potalies, turnips, stawberries, cotton, Luceme, perennial grass crops, lawns, omamentals	Almonds, bananas, cucurbits, grapes, ornamentals, peanuts, pulses, stone fruits, tobacco, vegetables, turf, Pinnus

Examples of registered uses in Australia	cereals, pasture, turf	Apples, peaches, nectarines, table and wine grapes, cotton, bananas, Eucalypts	Peas, chickpeas, faba beans, lentils, vetches, onions, potatoes, sweet com	Avocados, brassicas, macadamias, tomatoes, household pests, turf, cattle	Barley, cotton, wheat, various field crops	Seed dressing for wheat and barely, oats, triticale, crops, sheep, household pests	Barely, wheat, peanuts, potatoes, pruning wounds on apples, apricots, peaches, plums, ornamentals	Broadleaf weeds in legume based pastures, lucerne, maize, millet, peanuts, sorghum, swetcorn, cereal crops, citrus, pears, sugar cane	Wood preservative, soil fumigant	Turf, pastures, field crops, vegetables, plantation and orchard crops, household pests, flea and tick control on pets, cattle, pigs, goats	Sheep, household insecticide fumigant	Pavements, lawns, pots and synthetic courts, cats, dogs, horses, cattle,	Plant growth regulator in orange and mandarin, non-crop rights of way for lantana etc
No. of registered products in Australia		2	o	26	15	242	သ	198	9	54	ō	11	4
APVMA status	Occupational health and safety, environmental effects Spray drift priority list. Human health and environmental concerns Restricted chemical product (pre-construction termite products)		Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health concerns	5				Under review since 1995. Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns	Review completed 1997	Under review since 1996: Occupational, public health, environmental, trade concerns			Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns
Potential endocrine disruptor	(Screen list)		EU 2	US EPA (Screen list)	EU 1 (Lamba cyhalothrin)	OSF; US EPA (Screen list)		EU 2; US EPA (Screen list)		EU 2; US EPA (Screen list)			
Potential carcinogen	carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans at doses that do not cause a mitogenic response in the liver	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans			
WHO Classification	hazardous		Moderately hazardous	Highly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous
EU Status and International Conventions		Allowed, with additional provisions to protect honey bees introduced by Directive 2010/21/EU	Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU
Function		Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide,	Insecticide	Insecticide, Seed treatment, Parasiticide	Fungicide	Herbicide, Growth regulator	Mixed function pesticide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Insecticide, Parasificide	Herbicide, Parasiticide	Herbicide
Pesticide		Clothianidin	Cyanazine	Cyfluthrin, *Beta- Cyfluthrin	Cyhalothrin	Cypermethrin, *Alpha-cypermethrin	Cyproconazole	2,4-D	Dazomet	Diazinon	Dichlorobenzene	Dichlorophen	Dichlorprop

Pesticide	Function	EU Status and International Conventions	WHO	Potential carcinogen	Potential endocrine disruptor	APVMA status	No. of registered products in Australia	Examples of registered uses in Australia
Dichlorvos	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Prohibited in EU	Highly hazardous	IARC: Possibly carcinogenic to humans US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential		Under review since 1996: Occupational, public health, environmental, trade concerns	15	Stored cereal grains, industrial and domestic pest control
Diclofop	Herbicide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans		Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns	41	Wheat, barley, cereal rye, triticale, linseed, peas.
Dicofol	Miticide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	EU 2; OSF; US EPA (Screen List)	Nominated for review (Priority 3): Environmental, human health and residues concerns	7	Cotton, pome and stone fruit, tomatoes, vegetables, strawberries, grawberries, grawberries, grawberries, grawberries, grawberries, grawerteis, grawert
Difenoconazole	Fungicide		Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen			=	Polatoes, tomatoes, carrols, bananas, macadamias, seed treatment in barley and wheat
Dimethipin	Growth	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen			2	Cotton
Dimethenamid	Herbicide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen			2	Broadleaf weeds in green beans, navy beans, sweet com, poppies, pumpkins, kabocha
Dimethoate	Insecticide		Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	EU 2; US EPA (Screen List)	Under review since 2004: Toxicology, occupational health and safety, residues and trade concerns Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns	28	Fruit, vegetables, cereals, citrus, pastures, cotton, luceme, field legumes, peanuts, ornamentals, post harvest dipping (eg avocadoes, bananas, mangoes, custard apples), home gardens
Diquat	Herbicide		Moderately	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans		Under review since 1997: Environment, public and occupational health and safety, residue concerns Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental health and environmental	43	Grasses and broadleaf weeds in many crops, pre harvest crop desiccation polatoes an and seed crops, sugar cane
Diuron	Herbicide, Antifouling	Allowed, under strict conditions	Slightly hazardous	US EPA: Known/likely carcinogen	EU 2	Under review since 2002: Human health and environmental concerns Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns	115	Apples, sugar cane, pears, asparagus, bananas, coffee, cotton, dubosia, citrus, pineapple, wheat, barely, cereal rye, triticale, oats, irrigation channels, rights of way, commercial and industrial areas.
Dithianon	Fungicide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential			7	Stone fruit, pome fruit, vines
Disulfoton	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU	Extremely hazardous	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans		Nominated for review (Priority 4): Potential to cause harm	1	Luceme, cotton, potatoes, peas, beans, bulbs, gladioli
Endosulfan	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU Currently being	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	EU 2; OSF; US EPA	Review completed 2005 Restricted chemical product	6	Numerous field crops, vegetables, tree and vine crops, nursery crops,

Pesticide	Function	EU Status and International Conventions	WHO Classification	Potential carcinogen	Potential endocrine disruptor	APVMA status	No. of registered products in Australia	Examples of registered uses in Australia
		assessed for inclusion under the Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants			(Screen List)	Spray drift priority list: Environmental concerns, human health and residue and trade concerns		ornamentals, wildflowers, tobacco
E B B B	Insecticide, Nutrition and metabolism, Parasiticide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans		9	56	Cotton, cattle, horses, cats, dogs
Ĕ	Insecticide							Fumigant in flour mills and food processing plants
Ethylene oxide Mis	Mixed function pesticide, Wetting agent, Sanitiser	Prohibited in EU		IARC: Carcinogenic to humans		-	21	Fumigation, sterilisation, spray adjuvant
<u> </u>	Insecticide, Nematicide		Highly hazardous	US EPA; Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans		Under review since 2003: Public health, occupational health and safety, environment and residues in food concerns	14	Aloe vera, bananas, citrus, crucifers, cucurbits, carrots, beetroot, onions, celery, sweet potatoes, lettuce, endive, parsnips, grapewines, mushrooms, pineapples, potatoes, strawberries, sugar cane, tobacco, tomatoes, turf, ornamentals
Ë	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	EU 1; OSF	Under review since 1996: Worker health and safety and environmental concerns	10	Various broad acre and horticultural crops, pastures, stored cereal grain pests, locust and grasshopper
Ĕ	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU		US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	EU 2		7	Apples, pears, household pests
= 0 > 0	Insecticide, Paraciticide, Vertebrate poison	Prohibited in EU	Moderately	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	© ∞ ai	Under review since 1994: Public health, occupational health and safety, environmental, food residue concerns concerns (vertebrate poison) Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns	10	Tree and vine crops, post harvest treatments, capsicums, tomatoes, ornamentals, nuisance and public health pests, restricted non-native bird control agent
E 8	Insecticide, Paraciticide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	EU 2; OSF		6	Field crops, pasture, vegetable crops, cattle, horses
= % > %	Insecticide, Paraciticide, Vertebrate poison	Allowed, with additional provisions to protect honey bees introduced by Directive 2010/21/EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	OSF	Under review since 2003: Toxicity, toxic photo degradation products, occupational health and safety issues, animal safety and the adequexy of label instructions	49	Cats, dogs, seed treatment (canola, sorghum, sunflowers), household pests, furf, grasshoppers, locusts
Fluometuron He	Herbicide	Prohibited in EU		IARC: Not classifiable			30	Cotton

Examples of registered uses in Australia		Broadleaf and grass weeds in many crops, forestry, rights of way, industrial areas, GE cotton varieties	Citrus, tomatoes, rockmelons	Grass weeds in grain legume, oilseed crops, luceme, pastures, seed crops, forestry, bananas, citrus, grapes, pineapples, pome fruit, stone fruit, pyrethrum, tropical fruit, nut crops	Apples, pears, wine grapes,	Pinus Radiata plantations, sugar cane, grazing pastures, around agricultural buildings, commercial and industrial areas, rights of way,	Household pests (eg ants, cockroaches)	Postharvest diseases citrus, apples, pears, rock melons, potatoes	Ornamentals, turf, lupins, potatoes, small fruit, berries, grapes, kiwifruit, mandarins, passion fruit, stone fruit, almonds, macadamias, celery, lettuces, strawberries, tomatoe	Pineapples	Wheat, barley, oats, potatoes, carrots, parsnips, coriander seed crops, onions, soybeans, maize, sweet corn	Ornamentals, apples, pears, citrus, fruit trees, grapevines, stone fruit, cereals, pastures, luceme, rapeseed, rice, cucurbits, tomatoes, vegetables, grain storage, animal quarters, Eucalpyts, mosquitoes, tobacco
No. of registered products in Australia		12	9	28	11	42	18	20	49	-	=	25
APVMA status		Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns				Nominated for review (Priority 2): Human health and environmental concerns Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns				Nominated for review (Priority 4)		Under review since 2003: Toxicity and human health and safety concerns
Potential endocrine disruptor									EU 2; OSF; US EPA (Screen List)	EU 1; 0SF	EU 1; OSF; US EPA; (Screen List)	EU 2; OSF; US EPA (Screen List)
Potential carcinogen	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans		US EPA; Probable human carcinogen	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity		US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	IARC: Possibly carcinogenic to humans US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential
WHO Classification		Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Slightly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous		Moderately		Slightly hazardous
EU Status and International Conventions			Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU			Listed under Rotterdam Convention Listed under LRTAP Subject to an International ban under the Stockholm Convention but Australia is yet to		
Function		Herbicide	Fungicide	Herbicide	Fungicide	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Fungicide	Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Parasiticide

Hydramethylnon

imazalil Iprodione

Hexaconazole

Hexazinone

Pesticide

Glufosinate

Guazatine Haloxyfop Lindane (gamma-HCH)

Malathion (maldison)

Linuron

Pesticide	Function	EU Status and International Conventions	WHO Classification	Potential carcinogen	Potential endocrine disruptor	APVMA status	No. of registered products in Australia	Examples of registered uses in Australia
Mancozeb	Fungicide			US EPA: Probable human carcinogen	EU 1; OSF		77	Field crops, fruit, ornamentals, turf, vegetables
MCPA	Herbicide		Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans		Nominated for review (Priority 3): Environmental and human health concerns. Spray drift priority list	215	Turf, wheat, oats, barley, cereal rye, triticale, linseed, pastures, home gardens
Месоргор	Herbicide		Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential		Spray driff priority list: Environmental concerns	12	Turf
Mercuric chloride	Fungicide	Listed under Rotterdam Convention	Extremely hazardous	IARC: Possibly carcinogenic to humans	OSF (Mercury)	Review completed 1992 *Soil monitoring to be undertaken to confirm that soil mercury levels do not exceed background levels	2	Sugar cane
Metaldehyde	Molluscicide	Prohibited in EU	Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential		Nominated for review (Priority 2): Human health concerns	34	Snails and slugs
Methamidophos	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU Listed under Rotterdam Convention (600g/L formulation and higher)	Highly hazardous		US EPA; (Screen List)	Under review since 2002: High acute and chronic toxicity, delayed neurotoxicity	4 (2 products at 580g/L)	Brassicas, capsicums, lupins, ornamentals, peaches, peanuts, potatoes, tomatoes
Methidathion	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU	Highly hazardous	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA; (Screen List)	Under review since 2002: Toxicity, worker safety, residue and dietary risk concerns	5	Orchards, sub tropical crops, vegetables, cereals, pastures, forage crops, cotton, rice, sunflowers
Methiocarb	Molluscicide		Highiy hazardous	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity		Under review since 1995: Public health, occupational health and safety, residues, and the environment	S	Snails and slugs
Methomyl	Insecticide		Highly hazardous	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	EU 2; OSF; US EPA (Screen List)	Nominated for review (Priority 1): Human health and residue concerns	24	Cereals, fruit, legumes, cotton, duboisia, hops, oilseed crops, tobacco, potatoes, vegetables, pastures, peanuts, ginger, fly bait
Methyl bromide	Mixed function pesticide	Prohibited in EU Listed under Montreal Protocol, Australia committed to a total phase out for horitcultural uses by 2005		IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	EU 2	Review completed in 2007	24	Fumigant and soil sterilant for critical use exemptions, quarantine and preshipment
Mevinphos	Insecticide	Prohibited in EU	Extremely hazardous	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	EU 2	Review completed 2002 Restricted chemical product	2	Brassicas (cabbages, cauliflower, broccoli), Brussels sprouts
Molinate	Herbicide		Moderately hazardous	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of		Under review since 2003: Toxicological, occupational	ς.	Rice

Examples of registered uses in Australia		Apples, pears, strawberries, roses and ornamentals	Pastures, cereals, oilseed, legumes, lucerne, cotton, apples, bananas, citrus, lupins, onions, pears, potatoes, ornamentals, fruit, vegetables (home garden)	Cucurbits, lettuce, onions, grapevines	Turf, mango, stonefruit, apple	Bananas, orchards and vineyards, rice, pasture, seed crops, lucerne, hay freezing, peanuts, potatoes, vegetables, sugar cane,	Cotton, cruciferous forage, citrus, pome and stone fruit, grapevines, tobacco	Turf, various crops eg wheat, barley, peas, cotton, sunflowers, canola, vegetables, maize, onions, sugar cane	Household pests, dogs, horses, sheep, seed treatment, wood preservative, commercial and industrial, various crops	Cotton, ornamentals, vegetables (carrots, onions, cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower, Brussels sprouts, potatoes, tomatoes)	Cereals, luceme, pasture, seed crops	Barley, canary grass, oats, triticale, wheat, linseed, non-crop areas,
No. of registered products in Australia		31	13	က	28	73	9	39	544	7	3	58
APVMA status	health and safety and environmental concems		Under review since 2004: Toxicology, occupational health and safety, residues and trade			Under review since 1997: Occupational health and safety and environment risks. Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns	Under review since 1996: Worker health and safety, environmental risks, including high toxicity to bees. Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns		Nominated for review (Priority 3): Human health, environment and residue concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 2): Human health concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 4): Human health concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 3): Human health and
Potential endocrine disruptor		US EPA (Screen List)	EU 1				EU 2; US EPA (Screen List)		EU 2; OS; US EPA (Screen List)		US EPA (Screen List)	EU 1
Potential carcinogen	carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans		US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity		IARC: Not classifiable	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	IARC Not classifiable US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Evidence of non-
WHO		Moderately hazardous	Highly hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Extremely hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Extremely hazardous	Moderately	
EU Status and International Conventions		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU Listed under Rotterdam Convention (EC at or above 19.5% AC and dusts 1.5% AC)		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU		
Function		Mixed function pesticide, Fungicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Plant regulator, Herbicide	Herbicide	Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Mixed function seed treatment, Parasiticide	Insecticide	Miticide	Herbicide
Pesticide		Myclobutanil	Omethoate	Oxadixyl	Paclobutrazol	Paraquat	Parathion-methyl	Pendimethalin	Permethrin	Phorate	Phosmet	Picloram

Examples of registered uses in Australia	commercial and industrial areas	Cats, dogs, household pests, commercial stored products pests, restaurants, public service areas, offices, hotels, bottling plants	Fruit crops tree and vine, vegetables, ornamentals, broad acre crops	Tropical fruits, pineapple, sugar cane, mushrooms, lettuce, proteas, violas, turf	Cotton	Maize, sorghum, sweet corn, vegetables		Sheep blowfly strike dressing	Bananas, barley, oats, peanuts, perennial ryegrass, pineapples, stone fruit, sugar cane, oats, wheat, mushroom trays and beds	Dogs, cats	Bananas, vegetables, table grapes, pears,	Sheep		Broadleaf vegetables and crops	Vegetables, ornamentals, dogs, cats	Swimming pools, dams, tanks, troughs, commercial and industrial areas, TT canola, faba beans, asparagus, berry fruits, citrus, almonds, hops, gladioli, apples, pears, roses, vineyards, chickpeas,
No. of registered products in Australia		319	13	80	1	2	un.	8	57	ů.	2	7	ε .	£	13	90
APVMA status	environmental concerns				Nominated for review (Priority 1): Human health concems			Review concluded 2009 Sheep Ectoparasiticide review	Nominated for review (Priority 1): Spray drift risks human heath and environmental concerns						Nominated for review (Priority 2): Human health	Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health
Potential endocrine disruptor		EU 2; US EPA (Screen List)		EU 2		US EPA; (Screen List)	EU 2		US EPA (Screen List)							EU 2; US EPA (Screen List)
Potential carcinogen	carcinogenicity for humans	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential	US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Probable human carcinogen			US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans
WHO Classification			Moderately	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Highly	Moderately hazardous	Moderately	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Unlikely to present acute hazard
EU Status and International Conventions		Prohibited as plant protection product		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU
Function		Insecticide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Insecticide	Herbicide	Herbicide	Parasiticide	Fungicide, Mixed function pesticide	Parasiticide	Insecticide	Parasiticide	Miticide	Herbicide	Insecticide, Mixed function pesticide,	Herbicide, Algacide
Pesticide		Piperonyl butoxide	Pirimicarb	Prochloraz	Profenofos	Propachlor	Propanil	Propetamphos	Propiconazole	Propoxur	Prothiofos	Pyraclofos	Pyridaben	Quizalofop	Rotenone	Simazine

Pesticide		Tebuconazole	Tebuthiuron	Terbufos	Fetraconazole	Thiacloprid	Thiobencarb	Thiodicarb	Thiram	Triadimefon	Triadimenol	Trichlorfon	Zeta cypermethrin	ř
Function		Mixed function seed treatment Fungicide	Herbicide	Mixed function pesticide	Fungicide	Insecticide	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide, Antifouling	Fungicide	Mixed function seed treatment, Functide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Insecticide, Parasiticide	Empirida
EU Status and International Conventions		E .	Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU			Prohibited in EU	Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU		Prohibited in EU		Drohibited in FU
WHO Classification		Moderately	Moderately hazardous	Extremely hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Moderately hazardous	Highly hazardous	Unlikely to
Potential carcinogen		US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Evidence of non- carcinogenicity for humans	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Not classifiable as to human carcinogenicity	US EPA: Probable human carcinogen	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Not likely to be carcinogenic to humans	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Multiple Descriptors, likely to be carcinogenic to humans at high doses, not likely to be carcinogenic to humans at low doses	US EPA: Possible human carcinogen	IARC: Not classifiable
Potential endocrine disruptor		US EPA (Screen List)							EU 1; OSF	EU 2; OSF; US EPA (Screen List)	EU 2, OSF	EU 2	EU 2	EU 1; OSF
APVMA status				Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health			Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health and residues concerns	Spray drift priority list: Human health and environmental concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health and residues concerns			Nominated for review (Priority 1): Environmental, human health and residues concerns	=	Nominated for review (Priority
No. of registered products in Australia		69	10	5	2	2	- 2	7	26	36	41	72	œ	7
Examples of registered uses in Australia	strawberries, pastures, lupins,	Seed dressing wheat, barley, oats, bananas, peanuts, cereal crops, beans, peas, onions, papaw, pyrethrum, ryegrass	Tea tree, grazing land	Bananas, maize, sorghum, sweet corn, wheat, peanuts, sunflowers, barely	Grapevines	Apples, pome fruit, stone fruit	Rice	Brassica, cotton, maize, sweet corn, pulses, tomatoes, tobacco						4 Boats, turf, beans, bananas, beets,

Examples of registered uses in Australia	carrots, cauliflower, cabbages, celery, cucurbits, egg plant, onions, peas, crucifers, snow peas, sugar snap peas, peppers, potatoes, ornamentals, strawberries, tobacco, tomatoes	Apples, grapes, pears, stone fruit, celery, roses
No. of registered products in Australia		4
APVMA status	2): Environmental, human health and residues concerns	Nominated for review (Priority 2): Environmental, human health and residues concerns
Potential endocrine disruptor		EU 2; OSF
Potential carcinogen		IARC: Not classifiable US EPA: Suggestive evidence of carcinogenicity, but not sufficient to assess human carcinogenic potential
WHO	present acute hazard	Moderately hazardous
EU Status and International Conventions		
Function	antifouling	Fungicide
Pesticide		Ziram

### Table notes

#### Pesticide

Pesticide refers to the active ingredient registered by the Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority (APVMA), as listed on their Registered Chemicals Product Database PUBCRIS. See www.apvma.gov.au

### **EU Status and International Conventions**

In 1993 the European Commission started a Community-wide review process for all active ingredients used in plant protection products (agricultural products) within the European Union, which is now complete. The evaluation, marketing and use of pesticides (herbicides, insecticides, fungicides etc.) in plant protection in the Community are regulated under Council Directive 91/414/EEC. This Directive lays out a comprehensive risk assessment and authorisation procedure for active substances and products containing these substances.

The review of existing pesticides has led to the removal from the market of pesticides which cannot be used safely. Of some 1,000 active substances on the market in at least one Member State before 1993, 26%, corresponding to about 250 substances, have passed the harmonised EU safety assessment. The majority of substances (67%) have been eliminated because dossiers were either not submitted, incomplete or withdrawn by industry. About 70 substances failed the review and have been removed from the market, because the evaluation carried out did not show safe use with respect to human health and the environment.

In Table 1 "Prohibited in the EU" means the pesticide is not included in Directive 91/414/EEC and is therefore prohibited in the EU.

The EU Pesticides Database of active substances can be found at <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/sanco">http://ec.europa.eu/sanco</a> pesticides

### **Rotterdam Convention**

The Rotterdam Convention entered into force on 24 February 2004. The Convention creates legally binding obligations for the implementation of a Prior Informed Consent (PIC) procedure. PIC is an early warning system about all bans and severe restrictions on pesticides. Pesticides that have been banned by two countries in two regions of the world, under criteria in the Convention, are entered on a PIC List, and importing countries must indicate whether they allow or prohibit import. Exporting countries must ensure compliance. Annex III currently lists 40 chemicals including 29 pesticides, four severely hazardous pesticide formulations and 11 industrial chemicals. Australia is a signatory and ratified the Convention in 2004. See <a href="https://www.pic.int">www.pic.int</a>

### Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP)

The Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution of the UN Economic Commission for Europe covers chemicals that travel long distances. A 1998 Protocol formed the basis of the Stockholm Convention. The aim of the Convention is that Parties shall endeavour to limit and, as far as possible, gradually

reduce and prevent air pollution including long-range transboundary air pollution. Australia is not a participant.

### Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer

The Protocol sets out a mandatory timetable for the phase out of ozone depleting substances. This timetable has been under constant revision, with phase-out dates accelerated in accordance with scientific understanding and technological advances. Australia has ratified all amendments to the Protocol and implements it obligations through the Ozone Protection and Synthetic Greenhouse Gas Management Act 1989 (Cth).

### The Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants

The object of Stockholm Convention is to protect human health and the environment from persistent organic pollutants (POPs). POPs include the organochlorine pesticides: DDT, endrin, dieldrin, aldrin, chlordane, toxaphene, heptachlor, mirex and hexachlorobenzene. Many of these pesticides were used in Australia. The Convention sets out the actions to be taken by Parties to reduce and where feasible, eliminate releases of byproduct POPs chemicals. Australia ratified the Convention on 20 May 2004 and became a Party on 18 August 2004. The Australian Government has developed Australia's National Implementation Plan (NIP), which outlines the actions that Australia will take to meet its obligations. The NIP also sets out the roles and responsibilities of Australian governments, the Environment Protection and Heritage Council (EPHC) and other ministerial councils in the management of in Australia. See http://www.environment.gov.au/settlements/chemicals/in ternational/pop.html

### WHO Classification

In 2009, the World Health Organisation (WHO) released a document on the *Recommended Classification of Pesticides by Hazard and Guidelines to Classification.* The majority of the classifications are made on the acute oral and dermal toxicity LD $_{50}$  value to the rat. See <a href="https://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides hazard 2009.pdf">www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides hazard 2009.pdf</a>

NB. For the purposes of developing this table, only pesticides with WHO Class 1a, Ib and II were selected. Occasionally another class is included because the pesticide has been prohibited in the EU.

### Potential carcinogens

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) The WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer's *Monographs* identify environmental factors that can increase the risk of human cancer. These include chemicals, complex mixtures, occupational exposures, physical agents, biological agents, and lifestyle factors. Since 1971, more than 900 agents have been evaluated, of which approximately 400 have been identified as carcinogenic, probably carcinogenic, or possibly carcinogenic to humans. See <a href="https://www.monographs.iarc.fr">www.monographs.iarc.fr</a>

U.S Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA)
The United States Environmental Protection Agency

(US EPA) Office of Pesticide Programs provides a list\* of pesticides evaluated for carcinogenicity by EPA's Pesticide Program through to August 2009. In evaluating and describing the potential carcinogenicity of a pesticide, EPA's Pesticide Program follows the Agency's Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (see <a href="http://epa.gov/cancerguidelines">http://epa.gov/cancerguidelines</a> for more information).

The Health Effects Division of the Pesticide Program performs an independent review of all the available evidence to determine the carcinogenic potential of pesticides. The results of the independent review are peer-reviewed by the Cancer Assessment Review Committee. \*The list is called the "Office of Pesticide Programs List of Chemicals Evaluated for Carcinogenic Potential" and can be obtained by email from lormand.mary-jean@epa.gov

### Potential endocrine disruptors

The endocrine system is a complex network of glands, hormones and receptors. It provides the key communication and control link between the nervous system and bodily functions such as reproduction, immunity, metabolism and behaviour. An endocrine disrupter is a substance or mixture that alters function of the endocrine system and consequently causes adverse health effects in an intact organism, or its progeny, or (sub)populations. The main evidence suggesting that exposure to environmental chemicals can lead to disruption of endocrine function comes from changes seen in a number of wildlife species. Effects suggested as being related to endocrine disruption have been reported in molluscs, crustacea, fish, reptiles, birds and mammals in various parts of the world.

### European Union (EU)

The European Union has a Strategy for Endocrine Disruptors with a list of substances suspected of interfering with the hormone systems of humans and wildlife. In Table 1 "Category 1" indicates there is at least one study providing evidence of endocrine disruption in an intact organism. "Category 2" means the potential for endocrine disruption. See <a href="http://ec.europa.eu/environment/endocrine/documents/sec\_2007\_1635\_en.pdf">http://ec.europa.eu/environment/endocrine/documents/sec\_2007\_1635\_en.pdf</a>

### Our Stolen Future (OSF)

Our Stolen Future is a book published in 1997 by Dr Theo Colborn, Dianne Dumanoski and Dr John Peterson Myers, which documents research into chemicals which interfere with hormones. The authors have also set up a website <a href="https://www.ourstolenfuture.org">www.ourstolenfuture.org</a> which is regularly updated with developments in research and policy on endocrine disrupting chemicals (EDCs). The OSF list of EDCs is referenced and can be found

http://www.ourstolenfuture.org/Basics/chemlist.htm

### United States Environmental Protection Authority (US EPA) (Screen List)

The United States Environmental Protection Authority has a Final List of Initial Pesticide Active Ingredients and Pesticide Inert Ingredients to be Screened under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The Final List of Chemicals for Tier 1 Screening in the Endocrine Disruptor Screening Program was selected on the basis

of exposure potential only and it should not be construed as a list of known or likely endocrine disruptors.

See <a href="http://www.epa.gov/endo/pubs/final">http://www.epa.gov/endo/pubs/final</a> list frn 041509.pdf

#### Number of Registered Products in Australia

Formulated pesticide products are registered by the APVMA and listed on the Registered Chemicals Product Database PUBCRIS. PUBCRIS also includes the registered 'active ingredient' as a product. The number of products registered therefore includes both formulated products and active ingredients. See <a href="https://www.apvma.gov.au">www.apvma.gov.au</a>

#### **APVMA Status**

### Chemical Review Program

The APVMA has powers to conduct reviews of registered chemicals. The Chemical Review Program can reconsider the registration of agricultural and veterinary chemicals in the marketplace if potential risks to safety and performance have been identified. A review may be initiated when new research or evidence has raised concerns about the use or safety of a particular chemical or product. Reviews may focus on one or more areas of concern including environmental safety, worker safety, public health, residues or trade, or less commonly, may consider product efficacy.

#### Restricted Chemical Products

Products declared to be restricted chemical products (RCPs) can only be used by an "authorised person". The relevant Australian State or Territory authority determines who may be considered as an "authorised person" based on advice from the APVMA following a risk assessment of the chemical product. Australian State and Territory authorities implement the RCP scheme through their respective control-of-use legislative frameworks by authorising persons to access RCPs once they have successfully completed the relevant training, and/or other requirements. There are currently 14 chemicals where all or certain uses of the products have been declared to be restricted. See <a href="https://www.apvma.gov.au/products/restricted.php">www.apvma.gov.au/products/restricted.php</a>

### Priority List for Spray Drift Label Reviews

The APVMA has committed to assessing and updating the labels of all currently registered products subject to spray drift regulation to include comprehensive instructions for managing spray drift risk. The APVMA has begun this process with pesticides listed in the Priority List for Spray Drift Label Reviews. These initial pesticides have been selected based on their hazard characteristics, their amounts of use and their involvement in adverse incidents. The areas of concern that have resulted in the pesticides being placed on the priority list are: human health concerns (bystander health and/or occupational health & environmental concerns including damage to crops; and, residues and trade concerns. See http://www.apvma.gov.au/use safely/spray drift/priority list.php

### Endnotes

Pesticide Action Network, Asia and the Pacific 2009, Endosulfan Monograph,

to review and provide comments on draft risk management evaluation on endosulfan and to submit additional information, http://chm.pops.int/Convention/POPsReviewCommittee US EPA 2010, EPA action to terminate endosulfan,

http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/endosulfan -cancl-fs.html

European Commission 2005, Review report for the active substance endosulfan, SANCO/4327/2000-rev.2

EU 2001, Decision No 2455/2001/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 November 2001, amending Directive 2000/60/EC, Official Journal of the European Communities L331/1, December 15, http://eur-

lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=OJ:L:2001:331:0001:

0005:EN:PDF

VI OSPAR 2006, OSPAR List of Chemicals for Priority Action

(Update 2007), http://www.ospar.org
\*\*\* US EPA 2010, EPA Moves to Terminate All Uses of Insecticide Endosulfan to Protect Health of Farmworkers and Wildlife, http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/endosulfan -cancl-fs.html

US EPA 2010, EPA action to terminate endosulfan, http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/endosulfan/endosulfan

-cancl-fs.html

APVMA 2005, The reconsideration of approval of the active constituent Endosulfan, registrations of products containing Endosulfan and their associated labels: Final Review report and Regulatory Decision, Review Series 2,

http://www.apvma.gov.au/products/review/docs/endosulfan final s ummary.pdf

xi APVMA 2010, Chemicals in the News: Endosulfan, http://www.apvma.gov.au/news media/chemicals/endosulfan.php

APVMA 2005, The reconsideration of approval of the active constituent Endosulfan, registrations of products containing Endosulfan and their associated labels: Final Review report and Regulatory Decision, Review Series 2,

http://www.apvma.gov.au/products/review/docs/endosulfan final s ummary.pdf

APVMA 2010, Atrazine Toxicity: Analysis of Potential Modes of Action.

http://www.apvma.gov.au/products/review/docs/atrazine moa june

European Commission 2003, Review report for the active substance atrazine, SANCO/10496/2003

US EPA 2009, New Atrazine evaluation process,

http://www.epa.gov/opp00001/reregistration/atrazine/atrazine\_upda te.htm

APVMA 2005, The Reconsideration of Approvals of the Active Constituent Diuron, Registrations of Products containing Diuron and their Associated Labels: Preliminary Review Findings, Volume

http://permits.nra.gov.au/products/review/docs/diuron\_prf\_summar y.pdf Ibid.

xviii European Commission 2008, Review report for the active substance diuron, SANCO/2184/2008 rev 3 Ibid.

Registration Authority 2000, NRA Review of National Chlorpyrifos, Interim Volume Report. http://www.apvma.gov.au/products/review/docs/chlorpyrifos\_summ ary.pdf

APVMA 2009, Chlorpyrifos: Preliminary Review Findings Report on additional Residues Data,

http://www.apvma.gov.au/products/review/docs/chlorpyrifos\_prf\_se

US EPA 2002, Interim Reregistration Eligibility Decision for

Chlorpyrifos

xxiii US EPA 2010, New Limits on Pesticide Uses Will Protect Salmon, http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/chlorpyrifos

#### WWF-Australia

WWF-Australia is part of the WWF International Network, the world's largest and most experienced independent conservation organisation. It has close to five million supporters and a global network active in more than 100 countries.

WWF's mission is to stop the degradation of the planet's natural environment and to build a future in which humans live in harmony with nature, by:

- conserving the world's biological diversity;
- ensuring that the use of renewable natural resources is sustainable; and
- promoting the reduction of pollution and wasteful consumption.

### **National Toxics Network**

The National Toxics Network (NTN) is a community based network working globally to ensure a toxic-free future for all. NTN supports communities involved in hazardous waste management, pesticide pollution, industrial chemical pollution and environmental health issues. NTN committee members are involved in a range of national advisory bodies including the Hazardous Waste Reference Group, the Stockholm Stakeholders Reference Group, the National Industrial Chemicals Notification Assessment Scheme Community Engagement Forum and the Australian Authority Veterinary Medicines Pesticides and Community Consultative Committee. NTN is the Australian focal point for the International POPs Elimination Network (IPEN) and a member of the NGO delegation to the POPs Review Committee which is the scientific committee assessing new POPs' nominations. NTN participates in the Strategic Approach to International Chemical Management and is part of the NGO delegation to the negotiations for a global Mercury treaty.