



COMMITTEE SECRETARY  
SENATE LEGAL AND CONSTITUTIONAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE  
PO BOX 6100  
PARLIAMENT HOUSE  
CANBERRA, ACT, 2600

DEAR SECRETARY

HUMAN RIGHTS AND ANTI-DISCRIMINATION  
BILL 2012

APOLOGIES FOR THE LATENESS OF THIS SUBMISSION.  
TOO LATE, I LEARNED OF ITS NEED...

THIS BILL MUST RESPECT THE RIGHT OF PEOPLE  
TO DISSENT/DISCRIMINATE/DISTINGUISH FEARLESSLY  
REGARDING PROPOSALS/MATTERS/PRACTICES WHICH  
CAN BE SHOWN TO BE IN CLEAR CONFLICT WITH  
NATURAL LAW.

ST THOMAS AQUINAS POINTED OUT:

"THAT ANY LAW WHICH DOES NOT ACCORD WITH  
NATURAL LAW IS NOT A TRUE LAW AT ALL,  
BUT IS A CORRUPTION OF LAW."

AT THIS LATE STAGE I CAN DO NO MORE BUT  
APPEAL FOR YOUR POSSIBLE CONSIDERATION OF  
THE RELEVANCE OF THE CONTENT OF 3 ARTICLES  
ON MY WEBSITE WWW.LOVEGOD.CC WITH REGARD  
TO THE MATTERS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR THIS BILL  
ARTICLE 79. "HUMAN RIGHTS AND TRUE FREEDOM"

" 76. "EUTHANASIA"

" 34.2 & 34.3 "HOMOSEXUALITY"

FURTHER TO THESE ARTICLES,  
WITH REGARD TO "THE ONUS OF PROOF",  
THE COMPLAINANT MUST ALWAYS BE REQUIRED  
TO PROVE AN OFFENCE HAS OCCURRED.  
HOW MAY IT EVER BE DEEMED TO BE JUST  
FOR AN ACCUSED PERSON WHO IS INNOCENT,  
TO BE AUTOMATICALLY DEEMED TO BE GUILTY  
WHEREIN THEY ARE UNABLE TO ESTABLISH THEIR  
INNOCENCE? A MATTER OF ONE PERSON'S WORD  
AGAINST ANOTHER'S. (THIS MY UNDERSTANDING OF IT.)

THANKYOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION.

YOURS SINCERELY

ALAN MITTER.