

Legal and Constitutional Affairs Legislation Committee
Additional Estimates 2019-20
Attorney-General's Portfolio

Hearing Date: 2 March 2020
Answer Due Date: 8 May 2020

Department/ Agency	#	Senator	Topic	Question	Written/ Hansard	Proof Page
Attorney- General's Department	1	Larissa Waters	Integrity Commission Proposal	<p>Senator WATERS: Thanks very much, everybody. Whilst a copy of that transcript is being printed off, I'll flag that I'll be asking: will the department seek to share with the minister the true state of affairs of the jurisdiction of state and territory crime and corruption commissions?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: We'll take your question on notice.</p> <p>Senator WATERS: Thank you. Shall I come back later this afternoon and ask the same question or might I—just for my time management today—expect that it would still be taken on notice at that point?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: We'll be happy to try to answer that question once we have a copy of the transcript.</p>	Hansard	9
Attorney- General's Department	2	Murray Watt	FOI requests	<p>Senator WATT: If, for argument's sake, an FOI request is submitted to the Attorney-General seeking documents from the Attorney-General, it's completely open to the Attorney-General to transfer part of a request but comply with part of the request himself?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: As a matter of principle, yes. I have no idea of the particulars of this referral process. I'd have to take it on notice, in terms of what was, in the totality, referred and what the circumstances were—but, as a matter of principle, yes.</p> <p>Senator WATT: I'm not sure whether or not some of the other officers who have been directly involved in this might know, but can you confirm that these FOI requests that were submitted to the Attorney-General about these appointments were transferred in full to the department?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: I'm not sure if the relevant areas are aware because we have an FOI area. The person in charge of managing FOI across the department would be better placed to know where things are at.</p> <p>Senator WATT: What a great job!</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: We have great faith in her.</p> <p>Ms Fitch: We would have to take that question on notice, I'm afraid. We have a number of consultation arrangements in place with the Attorney-General's office for managing things when documents are stored in the department. Insofar as it relates to this specific matter, I can get back to you today, I think.</p>	Hansard	14

Attorney- General's Department	3	Larissa Waters	Religious Discrimination Bill	<p>Senator WATERS: Thank you. Are any of those concerns in the public domain? Can I get a copy of the concerns that they have raised?</p> <p>Ms Virtue: I'll have to check. I know that we're progressively publishing submissions, so I'll check whether they have made a formal submission and whether that's been published yet.</p> <p>Senator WATERS: So those consultations that you mentioned weren't as part of the formal submission process? They were additional consultations, were they?</p> <p>Ms Virtue: Yes, that's correct.</p>	Hansard	19-20
Attorney- General's Department	4	Rachel Siewert	COAG item outcome - working group report	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Can I ask about the age of criminal responsibility and the communique that came out of the Council of Attorneys-General meeting in November. I'm interested in some of the outcomes from that meeting. First, was a report from the working group tabled at the meeting?</p> <p>Ms Fitch: Sorry, is that in relation to that specifically?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: In relation to that specifically, yes. I understood that there was work being done and that a report would be tabled. Was that work done and was that report tabled?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: There was an interim report provided to COAG at the meeting on 29 November last year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Is that publicly available?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Not that I'm aware of. I'll have to check and take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: I certainly haven't been able to find it, if it is. Can it be made available?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: We would need to consult, because it's matter for all states and territories and the Commonwealth.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: I'm requesting that it be tabled. I understand that you have said you need to check. Could you—</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: It's a whole-of-jurisdiction thing. Western Australia, I think, was leading the deliberations.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Yes, that is my clear understanding.</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: We'll take that on notice and consult jurisdictions if we need to.</p>	Hansard	25
Attorney- General's Department	5	Rachel Siewert	Working Group Report	<p>Senator SIEWERT: That would be appreciated; thank you. When you say 'interim report', was it of the nature of work done to date? Could you tell us the nature of the work that was reported on in the interim report?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Obviously we wouldn't want to go into too much detail without consultation with jurisdictions. Broadly speaking, my recollection is that that report was a very factual report that covered a variety of information on age of criminal responsibility. It didn't make any recommendations as such.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: In terms of the working group, are all states and territories participants?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Yes, that's my understanding. I'll check and confirm if that's not the case, but I understand that all states and territories are participating.</p>	Hansard	25
Attorney- General's Department	6	Rachel Siewert	Public Consultation and	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you. I understand from the communique that there's going to be targeted and public consultation. Could you describe what that targeted and public consultation is? I'm aware that Western Australia has already advertised; in fact, submissions closed, as I understand it, at the end of</p>	Hansard	26

Advertisement - Working Group Report last week. Could you outline the nature of that for the other states and territories?
 Ms Chidgey: Sorry, can you just—
 Senator SIEWERT: My understanding from the communique is that the group will—I can tell you directly:
 ... undertake targeted and public consultation as soon as practicable.
 Ms Chidgey: WA has taken the lead on those arrangements with the working group. I understand there were some consultations, but I will need to take on notice who has been consulted to date. Those consultations commenced on 16 December and submissions were also sought, with a due date of 28 February this year, and information on that process was on the Western Australia Department of Justice website.
 Senator SIEWERT: Yes, I'm aware of that. That was in Western Australia.
 Ms Chidgey: No, that covered the whole process.
 Senator SIEWERT: That covered the whole of the states. How do other states know to go and look there? What advertising was done? Are the states and territories undertaking their own form of consultation with their state and territory stakeholders?
 Ms Chidgey: I'd need to take that on notice. There's a holistic process. I would need to check whether jurisdictions are also doing any individual consultations, but all of that would feed into the single process.
 Ms Brayshaw: The only point I would make is that, under the CAG working group, there's been a process of seeking submissions from interested stakeholders. That's been a process where we've advertised for that. I think it closed on 28 February.
 Ms Chidgey: Yes.

Attorney-General's Department	7	Rachel Siewert	Stakeholder List- Working Group Report	Senator SIEWERT: Did you provide your stakeholder list to Western Australia in order to write out to stakeholders? Ms Chidgey: Yes. There are Commonwealth bodies like the Children's Commissioner who had an interest and have been involved in the process. Ms Brayshaw: I would just add that, yes, we did make sure that those that had raised or written to us around these issues were aware of this process via the WA Attorney-General's department. Senator SIEWERT: Does that include, for example, all community legal services around the country? Ms Brayshaw: I'd have to take that on notice. Senator SIEWERT: I appreciate it's probably a long list, but could you take on notice the stakeholders that you've directly corresponded with, please. Ms Chidgey: We'll take that on notice. Senator SIEWERT: Thank you.	Hansard	26
--------------------------------------	---	----------------	--	---	---------	----

Attorney-General's Department	8	Murray Watt	State Integrity Commissions - criminal offence matters	<p>Senator WATT: Senator Waters has asked a couple of questions about an interview the Attorney-General gave this morning, but I want to go to that as well. On Radio National this morning, the Attorney-General said:</p> <p>... all corruption and crime commissions have to investigate criminal offences, that's what they do.</p> <p>Mr Moraitis, and your officers, is it your understanding that state integrity commissions have no jurisdiction to investigate matters that are not criminal offences?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: I'll ask Ms Chidgey or Ms Galluccio to answer that.</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: They can investigate criminal matters, but also at least a number of them can investigate matters that aren't criminal offences.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Which state integrity commissions have jurisdiction to investigate matters that are not criminal offences?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: I'd need to take that on notice to be comprehensive.</p> <p>Senator WATT: New South Wales?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Yes, New South Wales.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Victoria?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: I'd need to check. Queensland. But I need to just check that to provide—</p> <p>Senator WATT: But more than one of the state integrity commissions that already exist have jurisdiction to investigate matters that are not criminal offences. Is that right?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Yes, that's right.</p>	Hansard	37
Attorney-General's Department	9	Murray Watt	Integrity Commission	<p>Ms Chidgey: and, as the Attorney indicated in his speech to the press club, there are also issues with the use of very significant investigative powers for matters that are not criminal and possible overreach that have formed part of the government's consideration of this issue.</p> <p>Senator WATT: So the government is concerned that providing a new integrity commission with powers to investigate non-criminal integrity matters involving politicians would potentially be overreach?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: They're very serious investigative powers that the Integrity Commission would have.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Minister, why would those powers be made available to investigate public servants but not politicians?</p> <p>Senator Payne: I'm sure that the detail of the legislation will be made available in due course, and you can ask questions in relation to those specifics when that comes forward, but it is a matter under consideration by the Attorney-General. I'm happy to take further aspects of that on notice to the Attorney.</p>	Hansard	44
Attorney-General's Department	10	Kim Carr	ALRC inquiry recommendations	<p>Senator KIM CARR: I asked you on notice to let us know the dates on which you provided advice to the Attorney-General about these recommendations; you took that on notice. I'm wondering what's happened to the answer.</p> <p>Mr Anderson: Sorry, which question?</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: It was a question I asked at the previous estimates in October—the dates on which you provided advice to the Attorney-General. Did you answer that question?</p>	Hansard	49

Mr Anderson: We believe that all questions on notice were answered. We'll have to come back to you on which question that is. There was a question we took on notice from Senator McKim, which we answered, about advice we provided to government on the recommendations of the ALRC's inquiry, but we're just seeking to find—

Senator KIM CARR: Why don't you help me out? Presumably, if I've made an error, you can tell me where the answer I have asked for is. On what dates did you provide advice to the Attorney-General on the Law Reform Commission's recommendations?

Mr Anderson: We would have to take that on notice. We have given a number of pieces of advice both since October and before October.

Senator KIM CARR: In specific measures, the 60 recommendations—you'll be able to advise me of the dates on which you provided advice to the Attorney-General?

Mr Anderson: We can take on notice the dates on which we provided advice to the Attorney-General about the recommendations of the ALRC report. I will note that there will be times when we will have addressed the thrust of a recommendation in other advice we have given to the Attorney, because these are not necessarily matters that were raised by the first time by the ALRC in a number of cases.

Attorney-General's Department	11	Sarah Henderson	Responses to multiple ALRC reports	Senator HENDERSON: You might need to take this on notice also. Can you give me some information on the formal government responses issued in relation to the following ALRC reports: ALRC report 107, tabled in February 2008, on client legal privilege; ALRC report 111, tabled in February 2010, concerning royal commissions and official inquiries; ALRC report 112, tabled in March 2010, on secrecy laws and open government; ALRC report 115, tabled in May 2011, on discovery of documents in federal courts; and ALRC report 118, tabled in March 2012, concerning the national classification scheme review. Are you able to provide any information as to the government response to those reports? Mr Gifford: Yes. We'll endeavour to come back to you on that this afternoon.	Hansard	52
Attorney-General's Department	12	Larissa Waters	Analysis of Australian Institute of Family Studies research and equal shared parenting presumption	Senator WATERS: Right. I didn't think so. Okay. Based on the analysis that you've done of that research, did the existence of the presumption—noting that it is rebuttable but tends not to be rebutted perhaps as frequently as it could be—have a significant impact on settlement outcomes? Ms Orr: I would have to take that on notice. Senator WATERS: Thank you. And I'm interested in how often the presumption is in fact rebutted by the court. Ms Orr: Again, I would need to take that on notice. Senator WATERS: Perhaps you might also take on notice how often a decision to rebut the presumption is challenged and how often a decision to apply the presumption is challenged on the grounds that family violence exists to rebut the presumption? Ms Orr: Again, I can take those on notice, but the court may not have that level of data.	Hansard	54-55

Attorney-General's Department	13	Rex Patrick	Funding allocation cross examination scheme	<p>Senator PATRICK: My question was: how much is being allocated to allow for the state and territory legal aid commissions to administer the scheme?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: When we worked with the National Legal Aid in costing the scheme, we assumed that there would be a \$10,000 per matter cost. They suggested \$500 for administration out of that \$10,000 per matter would be the appropriate amount. I'd have to do the maths but that was the allocation.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: If you could do the maths on notice that would be appreciated.</p> <p>Ms Matthews: I will.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: That's helpful. How much has actually been expended by the legal aid commissions to administer the fund to date, or do you also not have a breakdown of that administration versus the covering of legal costs?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: I can certainly provide what we can on notice. We are aware that the prohibition has been applied in 30 finalised cases. We are only six months into the scheme, but I certainly can take that on notice and provide what we can.</p>	Hansard	56
Attorney-General's Department	14	Rex Patrick	Cross examination scheme modelling	<p>Senator PATRICK: How many applications have been budgeted for? You said you think it's going to be about \$10,000 per application.</p> <p>Ms Matthews: Yes.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: So how many did you actually budget for?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: This has been an ongoing matter. The original appropriation budgeted for 192 applications this year. That was based off modelling done by the Australian Institute of Family Studies. In response to being made aware of unexpected demand for the scheme, a further \$2 million was injected in the MYEFO process. That would have taken care of—using that modelling, in round numbers—a further 200 applications. From last week, the Attorney-General has made another \$1.2 million available in funding for the scheme.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: How did we get that so wrong? There was modelling done. I presume you paid for that modelling?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: Yes, we did.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: How much did you pay for that modelling?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: I'd have to take that on notice.</p>	Hansard	57
Attorney-General's Department	15	Rex Patrick	Cross examination scheme grant approval	<p>Senator PATRICK: Ms Matthews, you said that 431 applications had been received. How many of those applications have been granted funding, actually assigned funding?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: The legal aid commissions would assign the funding on the grant of the application, and that's how we've become aware that there are funding pressures in the scheme. Really, that would exhaust the \$4 million-odd that's been allocated, and there's an additional amount which we need to allocate.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: To be clear, my question goes to the fact that there are a number of applications that have been made; that doesn't necessarily mean they have been approved. There are 430 applications that have been made. How many of those 431 applications have received grant approval?</p>	Hansard	57

				<p>Ms Matthews: I would need to take that on notice and check.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: In some sense that may go to some of the issues that I raised in the first question. If you'd only had a few approved, one would find it hard to imagine why there wasn't funding available. If lots had been refused, one would say that there was a funding shortfall. That would raise the question as to the process for approval. Do we know how many of those applications have been found ineligible for funding?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: No, I don't. I'll make inquiries.</p>		
Attorney-General's Department	16	Rex Patrick	Cross-examination scheme funding	<p>Senator PATRICK: Also in that same context—I'm guessing you will have to take this on notice—how many of those have not received a grant because there is insufficient funding available?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: I will take this on notice to verify, but in that regard we have been relying on assurances from National Legal Aid that matters would not be refused on the basis of insufficient funding but rather just held until funding becomes available. As I mentioned, we are working incredibly closely with National Legal Aid. On the two occasions that we have been made aware that there were funding constraints, we've moved extremely quickly to inject more funding into the scheme. So I assume the answer to that is 'none', but I will check.</p>	Hansard	58
Attorney-General's Department	17	Rex Patrick	Cross-examination scheme state funding	<p>Senator PATRICK: Can you take on notice, unless you're able to provide this here, how the total funding is divided up between states?</p> <p>Ms Matthews: Yes, I will.</p>	Hansard	58
Attorney-General's Department	18	Kim Carr	Family Court Building Launceston	<p>Senator KIM CARR: Can I turn to the Family Court of Australia building in Launceston. Some concerns have been expressed about the inadequate, and some say dangerous, premises occupied by the Family Court registry in Launceston. The matter has been raised in the local newspaper The Examiner. The registry is located on the third floor of the building and there's a single access point used by judges, lawyers, litigants and children. It's suggested that people in conflict—including people who are accused of domestic violence against other parties—have to ride in the same lift. There's a combined, single waiting area, which is totally inadequate and where it's impossible to avoid confrontations. I'm told that the Attorney-General is due to visit the facilities and said he would do so back in October. Has that happened yet?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: Not to our knowledge, Senator.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Minister, are you aware if it's the intention of the Attorney-General to actually visit the facility?</p> <p>Senator Payne: I'm not aware, Senator, but I'm happy to take that question on notice and seek advice for you.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Thank you very much. I indicate that the shadow Attorney-General has actually visited the site and has added his voice to the calls for the registry to be relocated, and the Law Society and Family Law Practitioners Association of Tasmania have in fact identified a suitable location. When you do take the matter up, would the Attorney-General be prepared to consider those calls, given the</p>	Hansard	60-61

				<p>circumstances that have arisen with regard to those facilities?</p> <p>Senator Payne: Thank you, Senator. I'll combine those questions.</p>		
Attorney-General's Department	19	Kim Carr	Circuit Court Security Budget	<p>Mr Anderson: We've had some conversations with the Circuit Court and the Family Court as well about their capital budget and about how they allocate their capital budget and about security in their buildings.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: The courts have actually put to you the need to move the registry from that office, have they?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: We've had discussions about a number of different court facilities. I don't think that they've actually put to us a desire or intention to move from Launceston. Having checked that with my colleagues, I confirm that's the case, Senator.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Could I ask Senator Payne to take those matters up with the minister? From what I can see, there is clearly an urgent need to address the concerns.</p> <p>Senator Payne: Thank you, Senator Carr. I will do that.</p>	Hansard	61
Attorney-General's Department	20	Kim Carr	Legal Aid Funding	<p>Ms Hermann: Senator, thank you for your question. At CAG in November, the Attorneys-General from the Commonwealth and the states made positive progress, progressing the issues and really narrowing the issues to be resolved in the ongoing negotiations of the NLAP. They, in the public communique, remain committed to its finalisation in advance of the expiry of the current arrangements on 30 June 2020. Negotiations with the sector and the states and territories that were commenced in early 2019 are ongoing. Unlike the process for most national partnership agreements which are developed between the Commonwealth and the states and territories exclusively, we have taken a process this time to actively involve the sector in the negotiations to develop the NLAP. The Attorney-General released the NLAP overview paper on 18 October 2019, and feedback was due from both the states and territories and the sector on 15 November 2019, and, between 30 October 2019 and 15 November 2019, officials from the department, including me, visited each jurisdiction to consult with both state and territory governments and sector representatives. The department circulated a discussion paper specifically to do with the data requirements under the national legal assistance partnership on 16 December 2019, and feedback on that paper was due on 7 February 2020. The official draft of the NLAP—that's the official text of the formal national partnership agreement—was circulated to states, relevant Commonwealth agencies and the sector for comments on 14 February 2020, and written feedback on that official draft is due on 4 March.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Which is obviously due today, is it not?</p> <p>Ms Hermann: Tomorrow.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: So where do we stand? I'm told the federal government was spending \$11.22 per capita back in 1997 and that today it's spending \$8—is that correct?</p> <p>Ms Hermann: I would have to take that on notice. I'm not quite sure where those figures have come from.</p>	Hansard	62

Attorney- General's Department	21	Jordon Steele-John	Letter to AGD about Royal Commissions ACT	<p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: No, I understand that. My query goes to why there was the gap between you first being aware that this was an issue and you actually working—I presume with PM&C—on what could be a legislative solution to that issue. Can you explain to me exactly why it seems that the government and the department waited for a significant period when hearings were progressing and this concern was being raised continually in the community and by advocacy organisations? I find it highly unusual that we have this space of time where there didn't seem to be any proactive work being done on such an important issue. Can either of you, or can the minister, explain that absence of activity in that time?</p> <p>Senator Payne: I'm not personally aware of the issue, as you might expect. But, if there's any further information I can provide to you, I'll follow that up.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Could you clarify by what you mean by 'not personally aware of'? Do you mean not personally aware of why the space of time existed?</p>	Hansard	63
Attorney- General's Department	22	Jordon Steele-John	Consultation within AGD about the Royal Commissions ACT	<p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Mr Anderson, do you know who made the decision not to reach out to the commission?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: I don't know that there was any decision not to reach out to the commission. I'm not in a position—I don't administer the legislation. I wasn't the one who was considering whether a statement by the chair in the course of a hearing meant that the government should reach out or whether they should wait and see whether the chair wanted to put a considered view, in writing, to government. That wasn't a matter that this department was involved in, and I don't know whether any decision was, in fact, made. So I wouldn't want to be suggesting that I did accept that there was a decision made not to reach out.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Can you take it on notice, then, to check if anybody in AGD was informed, at any time, that there had been a decision made not to proactively reach out to the commission around this issue?</p> <p>Mr Anderson: I'll take on notice the question of whether anyone in AGD was advised that there was a decision not to reach out to the royal commission.</p>	Hansard	64
Attorney- General's Department	23	Jordon Steele-John	Disability Royal Commission Protective laws	<p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Thank you. Minister Payne, let's leave the bureaucrats alone for a minute and talk directly. This is an issue of significant concern to many disabled people and our organisations. There have recently been a number of community forums held by advocacy organisations to encourage people to come forward and often tell stories in relation to physical abuse, sexual abuse—as you can imagine a very difficult thing to encourage somebody to feel safe to do. The number one piece of feedback from those interactions has been that people are not yet willing to even consider that process of emotional disclosure until there is clarity about whether their information can be permanently protected. This is being made more clear than ever by the fact that it actually says on the commission's website, under the confidentiality section—as you may be aware—that this is an issue that needs to be addressed. Given urgency, and given, as I'm sure you understand, that even when we rectify this issue it will take a while to reassure people that it has indeed been rectified, because these are often people</p>	Hansard	64-65

				<p>who are victims of systemic failure, could you please give me an undertaking that the government will address this issue legislatively, introduce these protective laws, in the March sitting of parliament?</p> <p>Senator Payne: Senator, I will give you an undertaking that I will take it up with the Attorney-General and seek his advice on the steps that need to be taken. If they are legislative in nature, then I will raise that with him and I will revert to the committee with a response.</p>		
Attorney-General's Department	24	Kim Carr	Adequacy of Legal Aid Funding in Light of Bushfires	<p>Senator KIM CARR: Minister, would you say there's adequate legal funding at the moment to allow the victims of recent bushfires in regional Australia to secure legal support when they have to take on insurance companies, for instance?</p> <p>Senator Payne: I haven't considered that question in the context in which you raise it but, again, I'm very happy to take that on notice and to get some advice from the Attorney-General.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: It seems to me that, given the amounts of money we've been discussing, it's patently obvious. The officers have indicated they're prepared to take it on notice. But the claim I'm putting, on the advice from the Law Council of Australia, is we're now down to a situation where we're spending less than \$8 per capita. Too many lawyers wouldn't do work for that amount when it comes to legal assistance, you'd have to agree.</p> <p>Senator Payne: As I said, I will seek some advice.</p>	Hansard	66
Attorney-General's Department	25	Rachel Siewert	RC into the Protection and Detention of Children in the NT	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Can I go to the Royal Commission into the Protection and Detention of Children in the Northern Territory, and to the Commonwealth response to the recommendations of that royal commission. Thank you for your answer to the question that you took on notice from last time. Can I get an update on the two areas that are specifically for the Attorney-General's portfolio, recommendations 1.4 and 40.4? Can we go first off to the Freedom of Information Act and recommendation 1.4. The Commonwealth response said: 'Support in-principle', and that: The Commonwealth will give further consideration to whether there is a need to amend the FOI Act, in light of the effectiveness of existing measures available under the FOI Act.</p> <p>Is there a time frame in which you will consider that? It's left up in the air. I appreciate you have given me an answer, but I'm wondering: what's the time frame for that? It could be as long as a piece of string, really.</p> <p>Ms Harvey: Senator, I work in the Legal Services Policy area, so I was just going to talk to my colleague in the area responsible for both of those recommendations—</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: I'll have to take that on notice, Senator.</p>	Hansard	96
Royal Commission into Aged Care Quality and Safety	26	Rachel Siewert	Sexual Abuse-Aged Care Royal Commission	<p>Senator SIEWERT: In terms of sexual abuse of people, and particularly women, in aged care, how much evidence have you received about that particular issue?</p> <p>Dr Popple: There has been some. I could perhaps take on notice more detail if you wanted it from our transcripts.</p>	Hansard	68
Royal Commission	27	Rachel Siewert	Provision of services for	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you. In terms of the provision of services for homeless people, how much evidence have you received?</p>	Hansard	69

into Aged Care Quality and Safety			homeless people	Dr Popple: We have received evidence in relation to homeless people in aged care. Again, I can take on notice some fairly precise references to our transcript, if that would assist.		
Attorney-General's Department	28	Jordon Steele-John	Communication with Chair Sackville	<p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: So Chair Sackville reached out to whom in AGD to make that request?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: If I recall correctly I think I was approached.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Wonderful, Mr Moraitis! Can you recall the precise contents of the letter or the conversation? Was it a conversation or a letter?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: I can't recall, to be honest. I'd have to look at the records. Certainly in the early stages of the royal commission, the chair, including perhaps through his official secretary, would have raised a variety of what I would call 'start-up issues'—as you could imagine in the early stages of the royal commission. My recollection is there was a large number of commissioners and so, therefore, there was an issue of how do you manage royal commissions in that context? My assumption was there is always some royal commissioner who is prima inter pares, as it were, for administrative purposes or somehow leads the conversation. As it emerged, I think that became clear and, as Mr Anderson said, there was a suggestion or a desire for a second commissioner with judicial experience. As you know, not all of the commissioners are former judges or barristers, and, in the process of having sessions, that comes in handy in managing the evidence of witnesses. I thought it would be useful, and that was certainly the view that was strongly put by the commissioner. In that context I think there were discussions, including beyond me, obviously, to that effect, and that led to the letters patent being more explicit about a role for Commissioner Sackville.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Can I clarify: were those issues raised in a conversation with you or in an official communication, like a letter or an email?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: I can't recall if a letter was written, but I imagine there were conversations or meetings we had in Sydney or Canberra whenever the opportunity arose.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Would you be able to take on notice—do you have any notes of those conversations?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: No, but I could check whether there was any formal correspondence.</p>	Hansard	69-70
Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	29	Jordon Steele-John	Potential whistleblowers	<p>Mr Cronan: Yes, my understanding is that that is the case. There are provisions on our website that talk about potential whistleblowers giving evidence and the protections that they can receive should they do so in a hearing before the commission. Likewise, in one of the brochures we produced we address the issue of whistleblowers as well.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Okay. I flag with you that, when you search the word 'whistleblower' on your website, nothing comes up.</p> <p>Mr Cronan: I'll have a look, but perhaps we refer to it in a different way.</p> <p>Senator STEELE-JOHN: Okay. In that case, I think it might be useful to add that nomenclature, because it is commonly used in this space.</p>	Hansard	70-71

Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	30	Jordon Steele-John	Whistleblower provisions	STEELE-JOHN: Mr Cronan, would you be able to provide, either on notice or via email to my office, the relevant sections of the whistleblower-type provisions you were talking about? I've had a number of people reach out to me, and I haven't quite known where to put them. Mr Cronan: Certainly.	Hansard	71
Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	31	Jordon Steele-John	RC Disability Staffing Complement	Senator STEELE-JOHN: What is considered to be the full staffing complement that you're aiming for? Ms Appleton: The full staffing complement is 195. Senator STEELE-JOHN: What was your projection for how long it would take you to get there? Ms Appleton: I'd have to take that on notice.	Hansard	72
Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	32	Jordon Steele-John	RC Disability Staffing Location	Senator STEELE-JOHN: Can I just put on the record that it is difficult for us who represent WA to comprehend why there wouldn't be at least an element of the staffing contingent in the state, particularly when considering the time difference between the two states. Could I ask you to maybe come back to my office or on notice with a little bit more information about how you intend to service Western Australia in this regard? Mr Cronan: I am absolutely very happy to do that.	Hansard	72
Australian Human Rights Commission	33	Murray Watt	Anti-racism Strategy	Senator WATT: Does the Human Rights Commission, or you individually, have any comment on that report, the remarks made and your own knowledge of right-wing extremism in Australia? Prof. Croucher: Thank you for your question, Senator. The issues concerning far right-wing—extreme—views are certainly a matter of concern. Our national antiracism strategy is directed at all such attacks. It's a primary interest of our Race Discrimination Commissioner, Chin Tan, who was not called. If you would like particulars about his work, we can provide that on notice. With respect to the antiterror legislation and other matters, I suggest you direct a question towards Ed Santow, our human rights commissioner who leads that work in the commission.	Hansard	75

Australian Human Rights Commission	34	Claire Chandler	Consultation with women's groups on developing guidelines for inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport	<p>Senator CHANDLER: I have some questions for the Sex Discrimination Commissioner. I have received many emails and letters from constituents over the last seven or eight months about the guidelines for the inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport—the document that the AHRC put together, with Sport Australia, which I believe was released in June 2019. One of the most common concerns in the correspondence I have received is from parents who are concerned that, as a result of these guidelines, women and girls may be forced to compete against biological males in sport, who have an obvious competitive advantage in terms of speed and strength. The introduction to the guidelines states that there was broad consultation with certain groups in developing the guidelines. How many women's groups were consulted as part of that process?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: We had broad consultations across the board—including women's groups, athletes, the trans community and also sporting organisations—and we did hear that concern during the course of the work. The guidelines are really to give guidance to sporting organisations on how to navigate to ensure they were not unlawfully discriminating in how they were running their operations. So the guidance was about practical applications of the law and also how you could include people, and where that might be done at a grassroots level as well as at an elite level. As a result of those guidelines, we have had some feedback that they have been really effective and have had an impact in sporting organisations. There are a number of codes that have developed their own guidance.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: Yes, I'm aware of a couple of those codes.</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: Our sense is that it is actually created more clarity, that the situation before was confusing and that our purpose was to provide some better guidance based on our laws.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: I understand that, but the hundreds of emails and letters that I have received in regard to this issue would suggest that, regardless of whether clarity was provided, there are other views in the community around whether it is actually achieving a favourable objective. Can I ask you to provide on notice a list of the groups you consulted with and, in particular, whether any women-specific groups were consulted?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: Yes.</p>	Hansard	80
Australian Human Rights Commission	35	Claire Chandler	VCAT decision on the age after which girls can't play AFL	<p>Senator CHANDLER: Is it possible for you to provide the committee—and I accept it might have to be on notice—the medical advice that has been relied upon to make that assessment?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: It's not my advice. There's been a legal decision that came up with that as the age, which is why we often talk about, in AFL, girls couldn't play after the age of 12.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: Legal or medical?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: It was based on a VCAT decision. There would have been medical advice, I assume, that came to that legal decision.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: Is it possible we could be provided with further detail—even just the title of that decision—so we could perhaps have a look at that ourselves at a later date?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: Yes.</p>	Hansard	81

Australian Human Rights Commission	36	Claire Chandler	Complaints made under SDA regarding access to change rooms and toilets	<p>Senator CHANDLER: Would you agree that there are many areas of service provision where there are very good reasons why women-only services or spaces are available for biological women, particularly in the sports space but more generally—for example change rooms, domestic violence services and health services?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: There are exceptions in the Sex Discrimination Act for specific locations, which the parliament has decided are the locations where you can apply an exception, and change rooms and toilets, those sorts of locations, are included.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: Does it trouble you that coaches and committee members can have legal action taken against them for running a women's sporting competition or for not allowing someone who is logically male to access the women's change rooms based on their preferred gender identity?</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: My role is to advocate for the laws that are there now, and those laws are the right for our community to access.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: Right. So it doesn't trouble you, then, that that might be the case.</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: I don't accept the premise of what you've said in that question, but I do accept that people can access the Sex Discrimination Act and consider if they can exercise their right. The commission is really proud to offer a complaints service that, hopefully, makes that pathway very simple for anyone who is wanting to access it and people who are respondents to it.</p> <p>Senator CHANDLER: I would be interested to know, again take it on notice, whether or not there have been any complaints around these particular sets of guidelines through that avenue you've just described.</p> <p>Ms Jenkins: Thank you.</p>	Hansard	81
Australian Human Rights Commission	37	Murray Watt	Annual value of National Anti-Racism Strategy programs	<p>Senator WATT: Thank you. In the 2018 report of the commission entitled Anti-racism in 2018 and beyond, the former Race Discrimination Commissioner made various comments about the National Anti-Racism Strategy and its objectives. He ended by saying that this project and campaign work behind this strategy have only grown in importance and urgency. Do you have any idea how much these antiracism programs cost?</p> <p>Prof. Croucher: The funding that we had ended in June 2015. The second phase was not externally funded except for some minor grants that we received from strategy partners. So we have undertaken to continue that within our existing appropriation.</p> <p>Senator WATT: When those programs were being run, what was their annual value?</p> <p>Prof. Croucher: I would have to get the precise answer to that question. I'll take that one on notice.</p>	Hansard	83
Australian Human Rights Commission	38	Sarah Henderson	Cost and value of AHRC intervention on press freedoms	<p>Mr Santow: What we said at the time of the Federal Police raids was that when the legislation was passed it was used to raid those journalists. The government at the time said that these laws would be deployed very rarely. We've seen with those raids that 'rare' doesn't mean never. Those raids gave an indication of the sort of risks to press freedom that we should be worried about. When you see those laws deployed in a practical situation, you are able to perceive the threat to our press freedom. Whether they're deployed once, twice, or many times in a sense doesn't matter, because it still has a</p>	Hansard	84

chilling effect, because journalists can be worried that they may be raided in their course of doing their legitimate work as journalists.

Senator HENDERSON: By way of clarification, Commissioner: what is the cost of that intervention and what is the Australian Human Rights Commission bringing to the table by way of value because of that intervention?

Prof. Croucher: The power to seek leave to intervene has been part of the fabric—

Senator HENDERSON: I understand that. I'm asking what the cost of that intervention is, and what is the basis for it in terms of the value that you will bring to those proceedings?

Prof. Croucher: As to the cost, I would have to take that on notice. As to the value that it brings, we are only given leave to intervene where we bring something different to the table.

Senator HENDERSON: What are you bringing to the table by way of argument that's not currently being heard in those proceedings?

Prof. Croucher: The human rights based approach, the reference to issues that are not traversed. If the matters that we sought to raise were being raised by other counsel, we would not be there. It's only when we add value that the court would give us leave and that we would seek to intervene. We are not there just to duplicate other voices. We are only there—

Senator HENDERSON: I understand that. I'm asking, specifically in these proceedings, what's the value you bring to these proceedings over and above the arguments that have already been prosecuted?

Prof. Croucher: The value is the difference of the arguments. That is why the court has given us leave to be there.

Senator HENDERSON: Can you provide us with some detail on notice?

Prof. Croucher: I would prefer to take that on notice. Our submissions to the court we can give you—

Senator HENDERSON: A summary of those submissions that addresses my question. I'd be grateful.

Prof. Croucher: Certainly we can do that.

Australian Human Rights Commission	39	Jordon Steele-John	Trends and figures regarding complaints to the Commission	Senator STEELE-JOHN: Thank you for that opening statement, Dr Gauntlett. I will go straight to a question foreshadowed by your chair. Is it still the case that the majority of complaints that come to the commission are based around disability? Dr Gauntlett: That is correct. Forty-seven per cent of complaints are related to disability. Senator STEELE-JOHN: Would you be able to provide on notice the trends for the last three years to see whether that figure has bounced up and down at all? Could you also provide on notice an updated number of complaints received by the commission alleging discrimination in the areas of employment and the provision of goods and services? Would you be able to break those and any other subcategories you have down by state or territory for the years 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019 to the present as we have them. You will not be able to do that off the top of your head, I would imagine. Would you be able to provide that on notice? Dr Gauntlett: Certainly. Senator STEELE-JOHN: Finally, could you do the same thing over the same period of time for complaints	Hansard	85
---	----	--------------------	---	---	---------	----

				conciliated and complaints which, having failed to reach conciliation, progressed through to further legal action? Dr Gauntlett: Certainly.		
Family Court of Australia	40	Sarah Henderson	Number of cases waiting to be heard and success in addressing the backlog	Senator HENDERSON: I'll need to wrap this up. You might want to take this on notice. If you're able to provide the committee with details of the number of cases that are currently waiting to be heard, so we can have a look at the trend, and of the success of the courts in relation to addressing the backlog, I'd be most grateful. Mr Pringle: Yes, we're happy to do that.	Hansard	90
Family Court of Australia	41	Murray Watt	Waiting times for family reports to become available	Senator WATT: Probably the only other set of questions I've got here, then, concern workloads in the courts. Can the Family Court and Federal Circuit Court confirm that, at present, in the Parramatta registry it takes in excess of nine months for a family report to become available once ordered by the court? Mr Pringle: I may have to take that question on notice, as to the specifics of Parramatta. I can say that there are certain locations around the country where it can take between eight and 10 months, perhaps sometimes more, for a family report to be provided. It depends very much on the workloads in the registry and the timing of the request. Senator WATT: Could you take on notice, then, any—I'd like to know all of the registries where it takes more than eight months for a family report to become available. Mr Pringle: I'm happy to clarify that for you. Senator WATT: And the average waiting time for a family report in those registries? Mr Pringle: Yes. Senator HENDERSON: Actually, Senator Watt, I would love to see the response for waiting times of more than a month. Mr Pringle: I'd be happy to provide the figures for each registry, if that would be helpful.	Hansard	91
Family Court of Australia	42	Murray Watt	Waiting time for preparation of family reports in-house	Senator WATT: I think this is a separate question. I'm interested to know the average waiting time in each state and territory from the date of an order made by a judge of the Family Court or the Federal Circuit Court for the preparation of a family report by an in-house member of the court rather than a report by a private psychiatrist or private psychologist. Do you have that material here, or is that better taken on notice? Mr Pringle: I think the in-house figures will be fairly straightforward to supply. As to regulation 7 or private consultants, we would have to check that we have that data. But I think I could provide a broad set of figures on notice. Senator WATT: Great. If you could take it on notice, thank you	Hansard	91-92

Family Court of Australia	43	Kim Carr	Statistics on adjournments resulting from lack of funds for legal representation	<p>Senator KIM CARR: In the matter that we referred to of the justice who had indicated that he had received notification from Legal Aid Queensland that additional funding provided by the Federal Court for the scheme had again 'run out'—those were the words you used—and there were no funds available for legal representation for the father in this matter, you said that the father had been informed of this matter. As a consequence, you said that it would leave the court with no option other than to vacate the forthcoming trial dates and to adjourn the matter back to the registry to be listed for trial again on a date to be fixed when the court knows that the father will have legal representation. Have we got any statistics on the number of occasions when that has happened?</p> <p>Mr Pringle: I think at this early stage it's unlikely we will have any data on that. It's also difficult to draw that data, simply because you would have to look at each proceeding, and of course there are many thousands. My instinctive answer is no; however, I'm happy to take that on notice and clarify it.</p>	Hansard	92
Family Court of Australia	44	Rex Patrick	Trials of common entry points to the courts for family law matters	<p>Senator PATRICK: I have a number of questions that I will put on notice. In relation to the merging of the courts, during the inquiry that the Senate held there was lots of evidence gathered. There are two areas I'm interested in. One of them is the common entry point. I believe there was a trial in Adelaide. The other one was common rules. Can you tell me whether or not the common entry point is being rolled out across the country and whether or not common rules are now in place?</p> <p>Mr Pringle: I'm happy to. As to the common entry point question, that is again part of the legislative structure. At the moment there are two entry points. Parties can file in either the Family Court or the Federal Circuit Court for family law matters.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: I understand they were doing it in Adelaide as a trial, so I presume that, whilst the legislation might be framed in a way that creates two entry points, nothing would fetter that being rolled out if indeed that had proved successful in Adelaide.</p> <p>Mr Pringle: I'm not aware of a specific trial to deal with a common entry point as such. A number of different projects have been rolled out dealing with different case management arrangements. But I can take that question on notice and clarify it, if you wish.</p> <p>Senator PATRICK: Okay, and the success of that.</p>	Hansard	92
Family Court of Australia	45	Nick McKim	Statistics on improvement in court performance	<p>Senator McKIM: I have a number of questions that I will have to put on notice as well. The Chief Justice used statistics flagging an expected 20 to 30 per cent improvement in performance of the court over the next 12 months. I wonder where those figures came from. Are you able to inform the committee? That was in the QLS Proctor November edition.</p> <p>Mr Pringle: I will have to take the specifics of that on notice, I'm afraid. There are a number of improvements, some of which I outlined earlier, which will have, we think, a very significant impact on the improvements within the court in terms of time frames and in terms of clearances and finalisations. But, as to the specifics of the 20 to 30 per cent figure, I'll need to come back to you on that on notice.</p>	Hansard	92

Attorney-General's Department	46	Rachel Siewert	Australia's National Preventative Mechanism	<p>Senator SIEWERT: I appreciate from the previous discussion we had that DSS is the lead on this issue. How often do you report to them on progress with those two recommendations that you've identified that the Attorney-General's responsible for?</p> <p>Ms Harvey: I'll have to take on notice exactly how long it is. But it's around quarterly, at the moment, that we're meeting with DSS to discuss these matters and how we're tracking along.</p>	Hansard	97
Attorney-General's Department	47	Nick McKim	Commonwealth's National Preventative Mechanism role.	<p>Senator MCKIM: The Commonwealth Ombudsman has a mandate under OPCAT, does it not?</p> <p>Ms Virtue: Yes. It's the Commonwealth's National Preventative Mechanism role.</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: Has the government taken steps to ensure that the Commonwealth Ombudsman has the necessary financial and human resources to fulfil that mandate?</p> <p>Ms Virtue: Yes. In the 2018-19 budget the Ombudsman was provided with \$1.2 million over four years for new functions under OPCAT.</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: Is that what the Ombudsman asked for with regard to those responsibilities?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: We'll take that on notice. I can't recall what the original request was.</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: Thank you. Does the department intend to comply with the requirement under articles 15 and 21 of OPCAT:</p> <p>No authority or official shall order, apply, permit or tolerate any sanction against any person or organization for having communicated—with the NPM or SPT?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Yes.</p>	Hansard	109
Attorney-General's Department	48	Nick McKim	Commonwealth's National Preventative Mechanism role	<p>Senator MCKIM: There are no secrecy provisions in the Australian Border Force Act that cause any concerns to the department there?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Not to us, Senator.</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: Have you discussed that with Home Affairs?</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: We'd need to check, but I assume we had those conversations on ratification with relevant Commonwealth departments.</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: Could I ask you to take on notice whether the specific provisions of articles 15 and 21 of OPCAT have been discussed with the Department of Home Affairs?</p> <p>Senator MCKIM: We'll take that on notice.</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: Thank you.</p>	Hansard	109

Attorney-General's Department	49	Nick McKim	2016 review of the Senate Standing Committee on Community Affairs of the indefinite detention of people with cognitive and psychiatric impairment in Australia	<p>Senator McKIM: Is the department aware of the 2016 review of the Senate Standing Committee on Community Affairs of the indefinite detention of people with cognitive and psychiatric impairment in Australia? Is that something that's come across anyone's desk in the context of the OPCAT visit? Sorry, it's not a trick question; it doesn't matter if it hasn't. I wouldn't necessarily expect it to.</p> <p>Ms Chidgey: It may have. We can take that on notice. Other officers may have looked at it.</p>	Hansard	110
Attorney-General's Department	50	Nick McKim	OPCAT obligations	<p>Senator McKIM: Last question on this matter, thanks, Chair. Minister, why hasn't the Australian government taken a proactive approach to its OPCAT obligations to include aged-care facilities, particularly noting the New Zealand experience we were just discussing, the damning interim findings of the aged care royal commission and the upcoming visit of the SPT next month? Why has the Australian government determined that priorities should be primary places of detention, which do not include aged-care facilities?</p> <p>Senator Payne: Obviously, that is a matter on which I'll take advice and revert to the committee.</p> <p>Senator McKIM: Okay.</p> <p>CHAIR: Is that your last one, Senator McKim? You said it was your last one.</p> <p>Senator McKIM: Yes, thank you.</p>	Hansard	110
Attorney-General's Department	51	Murray Watt	AAT QoNs	<p>Senator WATT: Did the AAT discuss its answers to those questions on notice, on this topic, with the Attorney-General or his office or the Attorney-General's Department?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: My understanding is that we determined the answer within the AAT.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: But there were discussions with the Attorney-General's Department.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Which section of the department? People within this part of the department?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: Presumably, the AAT team.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Did anyone within that team discuss the answers to these questions on notice with the Attorney-General or his office?</p> <p>Mr Gifford: Part of the responsibilities of my division include the AAT team. It's a matter of normal process whereby a question on notice relating to one of their portfolio agencies would be otherwise brought to the attention of our line area, and I think that's the consultation that's being referred to here. I'd have to take on notice any particular details about those conversations, because I wasn't privy to those myself.</p> <p>Senator WATT: I'm talking about conversations between the department and the Attorney-General or</p>	Hansard	112

				his office? Mr Gifford: Yes, I'd similarly have to take that on notice.		
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	52	Murray Watt	AAT full-time Senior Member's qualifications	<p>Senator WATT: In these answers that we received, you told us that there was an AAT member who was authorised to issue warrants but who was not enrolled as a legal practitioner. That means that there is a member of the AAT who has no legal background issuing what are highly intrusive warrants; is that correct?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: The nomination provisions and the eligibility requirements vary across different functions. For the issue of warrants and exercise of related powers under part 2-5 of the Telecommunications (Interception and Access) Act, which is about telecommunication interceptions, the eligibility requirements include that any full-time senior member can be appointed or nominated to issue warrants under that particular part. So that's the provision under which that particular senior member has been authorised.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Whether or not that senior member has legal qualifications?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct. Any part-time senior member or capital-M member—so neither a deputy president nor a senior member—must be legally qualified, with a requirement of being enrolled for at least five years. That's not the case for full-time senior members.</p> <p>Senator WATT: What are that member's qualifications, if they don't have a legal background?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I think we'd have to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Because you don't know the answer?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I don't know the answer myself.</p>	Hansard	113

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	53	Rachel Siewert	Statistics on AAT second review of Centrelink and child support decisions	<p>Senator SIEWERT: It would be great if you could. In the meantime, how many applications and appeals have there been for Newstart and how many have been processed?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: This is for the first review and the Social Services and Child Support Division. In the current financial year to 31 January, in terms of Newstart allowance lodgements, the figure is 1,213. And, in terms of finalisations, 1,228 have been finalised.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Some would be carried over from the previous financial year?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct. That's just the applications that have been finalised in the current financial year, whenever lodged.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Do you have the comparison for the same period last year?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: For Newstart allowance, there was a four per cent increase in lodgements and a 13 per cent increase in finalisations.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: DSP?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: To the end of January 2020 there were 2,209 lodgements, which is a 29 per cent decrease compared to the same period in the previous year, and 2,746 finalisations, which is a six per cent increase compared to the same period in the previous year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: FTB?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: To the end of January 2020, there were 1,087 lodged, which is five per cent fewer than the previous year, and 1,096 finalisations, which is a three per cent increase.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Parenting payment single?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: We have information about parenting payment generally. I only have the figures for lodgements for parenting payment. There were 454 lodgements, and that is a 48 per cent increase compared to the same period last year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And you haven't had any completions on that one?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Unfortunately I don't have that information to hand.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: I presume that doesn't mean that there weren't any.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct. I just don't have those figures with me.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Youth allowance?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: There were 689 lodgements, which is a 20 per cent increase compared to the same period in the previous year, and there were 712 finalisations, which is a 32 per cent increase.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Child support?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: For the current financial year to 31 January there were 1,437 lodgements, which is a nine per cent increase compared to the same period in the previous year, and 1,339 finalisations, which is an 11 per cent decrease compared to the same period in the previous year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And they're all first tier?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And second? Maybe I could get you to take those notice.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Yes. I don't have the specific payment types for the second review.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: If you could take that on notice, that would be appreciated.</p>	Hansard	116
--	----	----------------	---	---	---------	-----

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	54	Rachel Siewert	Statistics on AAT second review of Centrelink decisions about debts	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Can I ask about the debt review process. How many debts raised under income compliance reviews have been reviewed under tier 1? You may need to take this on notice. How many are there overall as at 31 January?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: How many debt matters?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Debt matters. I realise you can't break them down.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Yes. We can't break them down. For all debt applications, for the current financial year, which figure are you looking for? Is it the number of applications finalised or lodged?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: The number of applications lodged and then finalised.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: The total number lodged is 3,744.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Are they Centrelink compliance debts?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: That's any debt. Any application that has a decision relating to a debt.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: So that covers child support?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: No, that's Centrelink.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: That's what I meant. That's what I was asking. So they're all Centrelink debts?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And that's for the last six months?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: That's for the current financial year to 31st January.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: How does that compare to last year?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I think in 2018–19 there were a total of 5,699.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And that was for the whole of the financial year?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: And for the first six months we've had 3,744?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: First seven months.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: That was for the first seven months.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: For Centrelink?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: For the first seven months.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Yes. And they're tier 1?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: What about tier 2?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: I don't have those figures.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Could you take that on notice?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: Yes.</p>	Hansard	117
--	----	----------------	---	--	---------	-----

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	55	Rachel Siewert	AAT first and second review applications relating to a debt by month	<p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you. They're lodged. How many have been dealt with?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: In terms of first review, that's 3,725 applications finalised.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: For this year, but, again, some are from last year?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: You gave me a percentage before, in terms of how many you've finalised. What's that compared to last year?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: That is a 21 per cent increase compared to the same period in the previous year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Can you take on notice for both tier 1 and tier 2 the number of applications you've had for each month?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Yes.</p>	Hansard	117
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	56	Rachel Siewert	Outcomes of AAT first and second reviews of Centrelink decisions about debts	<p>Senator SIEWERT: How many have been varied from tier 1 to tier 2? Does that make sense?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: We wouldn't be able to track particular cohorts, if you know what I mean. We can tell you for a period of time, separately for first review and second review, what the results are.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: If you could do that.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Is that in relation to the debt?</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Yes. Could you take on notice how many have set aside the decision and how many have confirmed the decision? I'm presuming you haven't got that there with you?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: I do have figures for the outcomes of applications for the first review.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: That would be good. Could I have those?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: With the 3,725 applications that were finalised, that related to 4,658 decisions. The decisions under review that were affirmed are 1,503.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: They were confirmed?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Were affirmed. The decision under review was varied or set aside in 1,311.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Yes.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Okay. Mr Matthies: And then there were 1,844 that were finalised in another way.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: 'Finalised in another way' means?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: So, withdrawn by the applicant. The tribunal found it didn't have jurisdiction to review the decision or the decision was otherwise dismissed by the tribunal.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: What does that mean?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: So it could be that the applicant didn't attend a hearing or failed to comply with a direction or the application was found to be frivolous or vexatious or have no reasonable prospect of success.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Okay. So those debts stand?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: The decision under review has not changed. It's only if a decision is unvaried or set aside. The decision under review is changed.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you for that. Could you take the rest on notice? I'm after each of those in a month for the last 12 months.</p>	Hansard	118

Mr Matthies: So not just the current financial year?

Senator SIEWERT: No.

Mr Matthies: Right.

Senator SIEWERT: Thank you.

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	57	Murray Watt	Set aside rate for AAT first and second reviews of Centrelink decisions about debts	<p>Senator WATT: No worries. The AAT provided us with copies of five decisions by Terry Carney, a former member of the AAT, in which he found that the government's robodebt scheme was unlawful. Those decisions were from 2017. We also asked for every other decision of the AAT that made the same finding, and we were told, 'To attempt to provide the information requested would involve an unreasonable diversion of resources.' Why is it an unreasonable request to seek copies of decisions of the AAT that have found that a government program clawing back millions of dollars from benefits recipients is unreasonable?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I think the difficulty was that there were several thousand decisions relating to debt matters, and we would have to actually physically read all of those decisions to be able to ascertain whether or not a similar conclusion had been reached by a member. We were able to identify the decisions that were requested because we were given the identity of a particular member concerned.</p> <p>Senator WATT: It's a long time since I read an AAT decision, I'll admit, but is there no decision or order at the top of the decision to make it simpler?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: We don't publish decisions from the SSCSD, so we don't have the catchwords, if you like, when we publish decisions. It's much easier to do that. We wouldn't have any alternative except to physically read the decisions.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Again, we don't know whether these could be the only five decisions or we could be looking at thousands of decisions of AAT members that have found this program to be unlawful.</p> <p>Ms Leathem: Obviously, we'd be able to give you outcome data about how many set-asides there might be in relation to debt matters. We could certainly provide some figures in relation to that.</p> <p>Senator WATT: Could you please take that on notice for us?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: Yes.</p>	Hansard	119
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	58	Murray Watt	Consultation with Attorney-General, his office or department regarding question on notice	<p>Senator WATT: No worries. The AAT provided us with copies of five decisions by Terry Carney, a former member of the AAT, in which he found that the government's robodebt scheme was unlawful. Those decisions were from 2017. We also asked for every other decision of the AAT that made the same finding, and we were told, 'To attempt to provide the information requested would involve an unreasonable diversion of resources.' Why is it an unreasonable request to seek copies of decisions of the AAT that have found that a government program clawing back millions of dollars from benefits recipients is unreasonable?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I think the difficulty was that there were several thousand decisions relating to debt matters, and we would have to actually physically read all of those decisions to be able to ascertain whether or not a similar conclusion had been reached by a member. We were able to identify the decisions that were requested because we were given the identity of a particular member concerned.</p>	Hansard	119

Senator WATT: It's a long time since I read an AAT decision, I'll admit, but is there no decision or order at the top of the decision to make it simpler?

Ms Leathem: We don't publish decisions from the SSCSD, so we don't have the catchwords, if you like, when we publish decisions. It's much easier to do that. We wouldn't have any alternative except to physically read the decisions.

Senator WATT: Again, we don't know whether these could be the only five decisions or we could be looking at thousands of decisions of AAT members that have found this program to be unlawful.

Ms Leathem: Obviously, we'd be able to give you outcome data about how many set-asides there might be in relation to debt matters. We could certainly provide some figures in relation to that.

Senator WATT: Could you please take that on notice for us?

Ms Leathem: Yes. Mr Matthies: They will be the answers that we're giving to Senator Siewert's question.

Senator WATT: Sorry, I was busy reading.

Ms Leathem: To clarify, they won't necessarily be what you've described as robodebt; they will be any matters that might involve a debt in relation to that.

Senator SIEWERT: As a supplementary to that, the point is that a lot of them are around income averaging. What we're trying to find out, therefore, is how many are under that banner of 'illegal'. They won't have necessarily been dealt with, would they, because of the income averaging?

Mr Matthies: Correct.

Senator SIEWERT: So just the set-aside data will not tell us what we're looking for. That's correct, isn't it?

Mr Matthies: That's correct, yes. Because we don't have a mechanism to identify what is the nature of how the debt was calculated as any way of actually then reducing or zeroing in on the particular types of decisions that related to income averaging particularly.

Senator WATT: Did you discuss this question on notice or your proposed response with the Attorney-General, his office or the department? Is it the same as the earlier question—that you discuss all answers to questions on notice with the department?

Ms Leathem: I think with this particular one were we were able to identify the decisions.

Mr Matthies: I think we'll have to take it on notice.

Ms Leathem: I would have to take it on notice to be absolutely sure as to whether or not we did have any discussions.

Senator WATT: I'm talking about with the Attorney-General, his office or the department.

Senator WATT: So you've taken one thing on notice about decisions set aside, and that's great. If we narrow this down a little bit, is it possible to provide us with copies of every AAT decision from 2017-18 involving Centrelink that found that no debt is able to be founded on extrapolations of fortnightly earnings? Can that be done?

Ms Leathem: I think we'd have to take that on notice to determine whether or not that was possible.

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	59	Murray Watt	AAT first review decisions about Centrelink	Senator WATT: So you've taken one thing on notice about decisions set aside, and that's great. If we narrow this down a little bit, is it possible to provide us with copies of every AAT decision from 2017-18 involving Centrelink that found that no debt is able to be founded on extrapolations of fortnightly earnings? Can that be done? Ms Leathem: I think we'd have to take that on notice to determine whether or not that was possible.	Hansard	120
--	----	-------------	---	--	---------	-----

			debts involving income averaging			
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	60	Kim Carr	AAT member remuneration errors - range of overpayments	<p>Ms Fredman: I don't have those figures to hand, but you're right, in effect, Senator, that some people were impacted in a minimal way and others were greater—</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Yes, some variations. Can you tell me that on notice?</p> <p>Ms Fredman: Yes, certainly we can do that. We can give you a range.Senator KIM CARR: I'm seeking a range. There are 52 examples where it hasn't been resolved.</p> <p>Ms Fredman: That's right.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: And you say 25 have been resolved?</p> <p>Ms Fredman: That's so. We can certainly give you a range from lowest to highest.</p>	Hansard	121
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	61	Kim Carr	AAT member remuneration errors- Number of members underpaid superannuation	<p>Senator KIM CARR: And there are folks there that have been overpaid, in your judgement, throughout that period?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: It's a complex picture because some people may have been overpaid superannuation and some may have been underpaid superannuation. It really depends on their individual circumstances, which is why we are working through that on a case-by-case basis.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: I see. How many have been underpaid?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: We'd have to take that on notice and then we could provide some information.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Have there been many?</p> <p>Ms Fredman: I don't have those figures to hand.</p>	Hansard	122
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	62	Kim Carr	Defined benefit scheme	<p>Senator KIM CARR: The other question that's been brought to my attention is that it's possible for people who are engaged through the AAT who once were members of the defined benefit scheme—and, remember, I've been in this Senate for a long time, so I'm clearly a member of the defined benefit scheme me; I declare that upfront. That is much to the chagrin of the senators at the other end of the table! Is it possible for people who are engaged through the AAT to rejoin the defined benefit scheme if they had formerly been members of the defined benefit scheme?</p> <p>Ms Leathem: I think that question would need to be answered by ComSuper rather than the AAT.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: You must know whether or not people on the AAT are in fact rejoining the defined benefit scheme.</p> <p>Ms Fredman: I'm not able to answer that.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Do you want to take that on notice?</p> <p>Ms Fredman: I can certainly take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: It's been put to me that there have been several people who have rejoined the defined benefit scheme on their appointment in recent times to the AAT.</p> <p>Ms Leathem: Presumably they had a preserved account.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Presumably.</p>	Hansard	122

Ms Leathem: We'd have to take that on notice.

Senator KIM CARR: Presumably, because the defined benefit scheme was closed some years ago for new members.

Ms Leathem: Correct.

Senator KIM CARR: But, for people who have an existing account, it can be reactivated. Is that true?

Ms Fredman: I would imagine it would depend on a number of factors.

Senator KIM CARR: That's why I'm asking you to take that on notice.

Ms Fredman: It's a bit hard to answer it in a hypothetical sense.

Senator KIM CARR: I also put to you that it is true and it has actually occurred at the AAT.

Ms Leathem: Are you suggesting that there was something that shouldn't have happened that did?

Senator KIM CARR: I'm suggesting to you that this is a very unusual circumstance, where people have reactivated—

Ms Leathem: So you'd like us to confirm whether—

Senator KIM CARR: Have any new engagements at the AAT—

Ms Leathem: New appointees.

Senator KIM CARR: reopened—

Ms Leathem: Reactivated.

Senator KIM CARR: reactivated old defined benefit scheme accounts?

Ms Fredman: Can I just clarify so that we can look into it in the appropriate detail? When you say 'new members', are you talking about from a particular point in time?

Senator KIM CARR: Let's say the last three years—people who have been appointed to the AAT who formally had accounts through the defined benefit scheme and have reactivated them upon their appointment.

Ms Fredman: Thank you. That clarifies it.

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	63	Rachel Siewert	Average time to finalise AAT Centrelink and child support applications	<p>Ms Leatham : I can tell you that 99 per cent of the applications finalised in that division were done in less than 12 months. The median for 2018-19 for review of a Centrelink decision was nine weeks and it was 12 weeks for the review of child support decisions.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: In the current financial year to 31 January, for Centrelink, the median time frame to finalise has stayed at nine weeks and it is 13 weeks for child support.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: So that has in fact gone down by a week?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Correct.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Thank you. For tier 2?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: For second review, for 2018-19, the median time taken to finalise was 20 weeks and in the current financial year it is 18 weeks.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: That's the median time. What's the average time?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: I don't have those figures with me for the current financial year.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: Would you take that on notice?</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Obviously we've provided 2018-19. I think we provided that figure on notice after the last estimates.</p> <p>Senator SIEWERT: So for the latest one.</p> <p>Mr Matthies: Yes.</p>	Hansard	116-117
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	64	Kim Carr	Mr Anthony Barry	<p>Senator KIM CARR: Just to be clear about this: according to the response you've provided me, Mr Barry had his first hearing on 8 August 2019. So, he was appointed in February but didn't start hearings until August. What was he doing for the five months?</p> <p>Ms Leatham: I don't know that. I'm not sure that we would have that information. It may be that he had not made himself available on the roster, but I would have to take it on notice if you wanted me to provide any more detail.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Yes, would you please do that, and find out whether or not there was a roster operating. And was he available for the roster, or was he undertaking training? My understanding is that he had no legal background. Is that right?</p> <p>Ms Leatham: I'll take the question on notice.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: I'm just wondering whether he had to undertake five months of legal training.</p> <p>Ms Leatham: I only have the information that his first hearing was conducted on 8 August. If you'd like me to find out whether and what he may have done with the tribunal beforehand, I'm happy to take that on notice.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: Can you tell me how much he's been paid to date?</p> <p>Ms Leatham: I'm sure we could get that information for you. I don't have that here.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: How often has he appeared on the roster? How many other hearings has he undertaken?</p> <p>Ms Leatham: Just to be clear, there's a difference between when members say they are available to undertake work and whether or not they're actually allocated the work. So, are you asking how many</p>	Hansard	123-124

matters he's been allocated?

Senator KIM CARR: Yes, how many he's been allocated. And I would like to know whether he's actually on the roster.

Ms Leathem: So, whether he is available to undertake work?

Senator KIM CARR: Yes, but also how often he's been allocated work and how much he's been paid to date. Is it possible to provide that advice?

Ms Leathem: Yes.

Senator KIM CARR: Thank you very much.

Attorney-General's Department	65	Rex Patrick	Government response to 2017 INSLM report recommendation	Senator PATRICK: Minister, I wonder if you might take on notice and come back to the committee as to where that particular recommendation is in respect of consideration by government. What has the delay been? When might we expect a response to that recommendation? Senator Payne: Yes. I don't have those details with me. Senator PATRICK: I didn't think you would, but I would be grateful if you could provide that to the committee on notice. Senator Payne: Certainly.	Hansard	133
Attorney-General's Department	66	Kim Carr	Tune review publication	Senator KIM CARR: Minister, when will the Tune review be published? Senator Payne: I'm not aware but I will seek advice.	Hansard	134
Attorney-General's Department	67	Kim Carr	Updating the Archives Act	Senator KIM CARR: Is there legislation in the department ready to be brought forward? Mr Moraitis: I'd like to take that on notice. Ms Chidgey: We'll have to take it on notice. Senator KIM CARR: While you're looking at it, what is your expectation in terms of that matter being put to government to actually get on the business list? Mr Moraitis: I'll have to take on notice whether it actually has been— Senator KIM CARR: Given the hour, Madam Chair, I'll come back to this at another date.	Hansard	137
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	68	Rachel Siewert	Debts raised under income compliance reviews	How many debts raised under income compliance reviews have been reviewed by AAT1 and AAT2 each month in the last 12 months, and of those how many: (a) varied the decision; (b) set aside the decision; and (c) confirmed the decision?	Written	
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	69	Rachel Siewert	Length of time for Centrelink applications	Please provide the latest data available on the length of time it is taking AAT to make decisions for Centrelink applications, broken down by first and second review and payment type.	Written	

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	70	Rachel Siewert	Number of appeals broken down by Centrelink payment	Please provide the latest number of appeals broken down by Centrelink payment, including DSP, FTB, Newstart, Parenting Payment and Youth Allowance.	Written
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	71	Rachel Siewert	Legal representation of people with a disability	How many people with disability were legally represented at AAT 2 level hearings for DSP matters? Was the Department legally represented in those AAT 2 DSP matters where people with disability were not legally represented? Please provide the number of cases?	Written
Attorney-General's Department	72	Rachel Siewert	National Plan to Respond to the Abuse of Older Australians - Sexual assault	Is the Department considering including the sexual assault of older people in the National Plan to Respond to the Abuse of Older Australians? Why/why not?	Written
Attorney-General's Department	73	Rachel Siewert	National Plan to Respond to the Abuse of Older Australians - Implementation	Can you provide an update on the implementation of the recommendations made to support the National Plan to Respond to the Abuse of Older Australians?	Written

Australian Human Rights Commission	74	Claire Chandler	Guidelines for the inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport	<p>1. In relation to consultation undertaken by the Commission on the development of the 'Guidelines for the inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport':</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Which women's groups were consulted during the development of the Guidelines? What groups, organisations and individuals were directly consulted as part of developing the guidelines? <p>2. What was the cost of the development of the guidelines and how much was paid to external consultants or legal advisers as part of developing the guidelines?</p> <p>3. What role did the legal firm Ashurst play in the development of the guidelines?</p> <p>4. How was Ashurst chosen to assist with the development of the guidelines?</p> <p>5. I refer to the statement in the guidelines that clubs should include in policies a statement that "participation in sport should be based on a person's affirmed gender identity and not the sex they were assigned at birth" (p.35). Is this statement an accurate interpretation of the Sex Discrimination Act 1984?</p> <p>6. Has the AHRC received any complaints about the 'Guidelines for the inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport'?</p> <p>7. For the purposes of offering guidance on the Sex Discrimination Act 1984, how does the AHRC define 'man' and 'woman'?</p> <p>8. Section 42 (1) of the Sex Discrimination Act 1984 provides that it is not unlawful to discriminate on the ground of sex by excluding persons from participation in any competitive sporting activity in which the strength, stamina or physique of competitors is relevant. In producing the 'Guidelines for the inclusion of transgender and gender diverse people in sport', did the AHRC identify any cases where a Court has found that strength, stamina or physique of competitors is irrelevant to a particular sport?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	75	Jordon Steele-John	Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	<p>1. [Legal Service] What are the updated figures on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> How many people have registered their interest in accessing legal advice? How many people have received legal advice to date? What is the wait time for people seeking to receive legal advice and how many people are on the waitlist? How many staff do you currently have working for this service? What is the target number of staff for this service? When do you intend to reach this target? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	76	Larissa Waters	Secondments	<p>Please provide a list of any organisations with whom the Department has undertaken a secondment arrangement in the past 3 years. For each secondment, please provide the following details:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Organisation involved • Length of secondment • Staffing level of person undertaking the secondment • Payment arrangements during the secondment 	Written

- Any safeguards / protocols in place to manage confidentiality issues during and after the secondment

Attorney-General's Department	77	Larissa Waters	Integrity Commission	<p>In an interview with Fran Kelly on Radio National on 3 March 2020, the Attorney General indicated that crime and corruption commissions do not investigate matters that would not constitute offences. In estimates, the Department confirmed that this is not the case and, in fact, anti-corruption commissions in 6 out of 8 Australian jurisdictions can investigate a broader range of corrupt conduct.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did the Department provide advice to the Attorney-General that his comments on Radio National were incorrect? Please provide a copy of any advice provided. <p>The Department has said that the CIC is being designed to avoid duplication with existing government agencies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If the CIC will only examine criminal matters, and any criminal conduct it uncovers will be referred to another agency for prosecution, what additional benefit is achieved by the CIC? Isn't it simply doing the preliminary work of existing agencies, potentially leading to double-handling and inefficiency? <p>One of the concerns raised by the Centre for Public Integrity in relation to the Commonwealth Integrity Commission was that requiring the Commissioner to be satisfied that criminal offence was likely to have been committed before commencing an investigation would undermine its effectiveness. In particular, the expert judges at the CPI pointed out that someone under investigation could challenge the decision to commence an investigation and seek an injunction on any further investigation until the court was satisfied that the Commissioner had a basis to be satisfied regarding the likelihood of a criminal offence. In order to respond to the challenge, the Commissioner would need to reveal all the evidence against the person under investigation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Do you agree that it could undermine complex investigations if people under investigation are able to effectively compel the Commissioner to reveal the case against them? • Has the Department consulted with the eminent judges working with the Centre for Public Integrity and The Australia Institute's National Integrity Committee regarding the design of the CIC? If so, what form has that consultation taken? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	78	Larissa Waters	Family law Contact centres	<p>In a response to a question I asked at the initial hearing of the family law inquiry (QON - SQ20-72), the Department noted there was support from various parts of the family law sector to introduce an accreditation system for Children's Contact Centres, and the Department was considering a recommendation to that effect in the ALRC report.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the Department maintain records of complaints received regarding contact centres? How many complaints have been received in the previous 12 months? • Are any audits / investigations undertaken in response to complaints? • When does the Department expect to reach a decision about an accreditation program? • What is being done in the interim to address concerns raised regarding variable standards of care at Contact Centres? 	Written

Attorney-General's Department	79	Larissa Waters	Men's behaviour change programs	<p>The Fourth National Action Plan committed \$7.84M over three years for dedicated men's support workers in all Family Advocacy and Support Services, and the Minister re-announced \$2.4M of this funding following Hannah Clarke's murder.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Given that FASS locations are currently limited in regional areas, what support is being provided to secure men's behaviour change programs in those areas? • Are funded programs required to report against the COAG National Outcome Standards for Perpetrator Interventions? • The April 2019 ANROWS report, Evaluation readiness, program quality and outcomes in men's behaviour change programs, made 17 recommendations to improve the implementation and review of these programs. Please outline any work that the government has done in response to those recommendations. • In particular, the ANROWS report recommends that a national outcomes framework be developed to "engender some consistency in evaluation frameworks and evaluation activity, and to help build the evidence base". Is the Department developing a national framework? If so, please provide an update on progress. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	80	Kimberley Kitching	Executive Management	<p>1. In relation to executive management for the Department and its agencies, can the following be provided for financial year 2019-20 to date:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The total number of executive management positions b. The aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. c. The change in the number of executive manager positions. d. The change in aggregate total remuneration payable for all executive management positions. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	81	Kimberley Kitching	Ministerial Functions	<p>1. In relation to any functions or official receptions hosted by Ministers or Assistant Ministers in the portfolio, can the following information be provided from the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. List of functions. b. List of all attendees. c. Function venue. d. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). e. Details of any food served. f. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. g. Any available photographs of the function. h. Details of any entertainment provided. 	Written

Attorney-General's Department	82	Kimberley Kitching	Departmental functions	<p>1. In relation to expenditure on any functions or official receptions etc hosted by the Department or agencies within the portfolio, can the following information be provided from the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20:</p> <p>a. List of functions. b. List of all attendees. c. Function venue. d. Itemised list of costs (GST inclusive). e. Details of any food served. f. Details of any wines or champagnes served including brand and vintage. g. Any available photographs of the function. h. Details of any entertainment provided.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	83	Kimberley Kitching	Executive office upgrades	<p>1. Have any furniture, fixtures or fittings of the Secretary's office, or the offices of any Deputy Secretaries been upgraded from the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20. If so, can an itemised list of costs please be provided (GST inclusive).</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	84	Kimberley Kitching	Facilities upgrades	<p>1. Were there any upgrades to facility premises at any of the Departments or agencies subsequent to the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20. This includes but is not limited to: staff room refurbishments, kitchen refurbishments, bathroom refurbishments, the purchase of any new fridges, coffee machines, or other kitchen equipment.</p> <p>2. If so, can a detailed description of the relevant facilities upgrades be provided together with an itemised list of costs (GST inclusive).</p> <p>3. If so, can any photographs of the upgraded facilities be provided.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	85	Kimberley Kitching	Staff travel	<p>1. What is the total cost of staff travel for departmental/agency employees for FY 2019-20 to date.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	86	Kimberley Kitching	Legal costs	<p>1. What are the total legal costs for the Department/agency for FY 2019-20 to date.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	87	Kimberley Kitching	Secretarial travel	<p>1. Can an itemised list of the costs of all domestic and international travel undertaken by the Secretary of the Department be provided from the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20, including:</p> <p>a. Flights for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the airline and class of travel. b. Ground transport for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials. c. Accommodation for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the hotels the party stayed at and the room category in which the party stayed.</p>	Written

- d. Meals and other incidentals for the Secretary as well as any accompanying departmental officials. Any available menus, receipts for meals at restaurants and the like should also be provided.
- e. Any available photographs documenting the Secretary's travel should also be provided.

Attorney- General's Department	88	Kimberley Kitching	FOI requests	<p>1. Please list the number of Freedom of Information Act requests ('FOI requests') received by the Department for financial year 2019-20 to date.</p> <p>1. For 2019-20 to date, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of FOI requests the Department granted in full; b. The number of FOI requests the Department granted in part; c. The number of FOI requests the Department refused in full; and d. The number of FOI requests the Department refused for practical reasons under the Freedom of Information Act. <p>2. For 2019-20 to date, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of times the Department failed to make any decision on a FOI request within the 30 day statutory period; and b. The number of times a request to the Department resulted in a practical refusal (i.e. no decision was made on the request). <p>3. For 2019-20 to date, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of times the Department's FOI decisions have been appealed to the OAIC; and b. The number of times has the OAIC overturned – in whole or in part – the Department's decision to refuse access to material. <p>4. Please provide the staffing (both ASL and headcount) of staff at the Department who work exclusively on FOI requests, broken down by APS level (e.g. three EL1s, four APS6s, one SES) for 2019-20 to date.</p> <p>5. Please also list the number of officers who are designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Department.</p> <p>6. In the past 12 months, has the Department seconded additional resources to processing Freedom of Information requests? If so, please detail those resources by APS level.</p> <p>7. Please provide the number of officers who are currently designated decision makers under the Freedom of Information Act 1982 within the Minister's office.</p> <p>8. Please provide the number of FOI requests currently under consideration by the Department. Please also provide the number of these requests that are currently overdue in response.</p>	Written
---	----	-----------------------	--------------	---	---------

9. Does the department consult or inform the Minister when it receives Freedom of Information requests? If so:

- a. How many times has this occurred in the past twelve months; and
- b. Please outline the process by which the Department consults the Minister.

10. Has the Department consulted or informed another Department or agency about any FOI request in the past twelve months. If so, please provide the legal basis on which that consultation occurred (e.g. third party consultation, transfer of request).

Attorney- General's Department	89	Kimberley Kitching	Acting Minister arrangements	1. Can the Department provide all leave periods of the portfolio Minister since the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20. 2. Can the Department further provide acting Minister arrangements for each leave period.	Written
---	----	-----------------------	------------------------------------	---	---------

Attorney- General's Department	90	Kimberley Kitching	Advertising and information campaigns	<p>1. What is the Department/agency's total expenditure on advertising and information campaigns for the financial year 2019-20 to date. 2. What advertising and information campaigns has the Department/agency run in this time. For each campaign, please provide: a. When approval was first sought. b. The date of approval, including whether the advertising went through the Independent Campaign Committee process. c. the timeline for each campaign, including any variation to the original proposed timeline. 3. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all advertising and information campaign contracts in each period be provided.</p>	Written
Attorney- General's Department	91	Kimberley Kitching	Promotional merchandise	<p>1. What is the Department/agency's total expenditure on promotional merchandise for the financial year 2019-20 to date.</p> <p>2. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all promotional merchandise contracts in that period please be provided.</p> <p>3. Can photographs or samples of relevant promotional merchandise please be provided.</p>	Written
Attorney- General's Department	92	Kimberley Kitching	Ministerial overseas travel	<p>1. Can an itemised list of the costs met by the department or agency for all international travel undertaken by Ministers or Assistant Ministers in the portfolio please be provided from the of date the last answer for the Supplementary Estimates 2019-20, including:</p> <p>a. Flights for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials, together with the airline and class of travel.</p> <p>b. Ground transport for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials.</p> <p>c. Accommodation for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials, and identify the hotels the party stayed at and the room category in which the party stayed.</p> <p>d. Meals and other incidentals for the Minister and any accompanying members of the Minister's personal staff or family members, as well as any accompanying departmental officials. Any available menus, receipts for meals at restaurants and the like should also be provided.</p> <p>e. Any available photographs documenting the Minister's travel should also be provided.</p>	Written

Attorney-General's Department	93	Kimberley Kitching	Social media influencers	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What was the Department/agency's total expenditure on social media influencers for financial year 2019-20 to date. 2. What advertising or information campaigns did the Department/agency use social media influencers to promote. 3. Can a copy of all relevant social media influencer posts please be provided. 4. Can an itemised list of all Austender Contract Notice numbers for all relevant social media influencer contracts please be provided. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	94	Kim Carr	Administrative Appeals Tribunal and freedom of information	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the Department ever asked the Attorney-General to accept a transfer of a freedom of information request in relation to AAT appointments? 2. How many times since 1 December 2017 has the Attorney-General transferred – in full – a request for documents relating to AAT appointments to the Department? 3. How many times since 1 December 2017 has the Attorney-General transferred – in part – a request for documents relating to AAT appointments to the Department? 4. Since 1 December 2017, how many freedom of information requests (in total) has the Attorney-General transferred to the Department? 5. How many times since 1 December 2017 has the Attorney-General's Department not consented to a transfer of a freedom of information request from the Attorney-General? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	95	Kimberley Kitching	Board Appointments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide an update of portfolio boards, including board title, terms of appointment, tenure of appointment and members from the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates Round 2019-20. 2. What is the gender ratio on each board and across the portfolio 3. What has been the total value of all Board Director fees and disbursements paid. 4. What is the value of all domestic travel by Board Directors. 5. What is the value of all international travel by Board Directors. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	96	Kimberley Kitching	Stationery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How much has been spent on ministerial stationery requirements in financial year 2019-20 to date. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	97	Kimberley Kitching	Media monitoring	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the each Minister's office for financial year 2019-20 to date.a. Which agency or agencies provided these services.b. Can an itemised list of Austender Contract notice numbers for any media monitoring contracts in each period please be providedc. What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year FY 2019-20.2. What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the department/agency for financial year 2019-20 to date.a. Which agency or agencies provided these services. b. Can an itemised list of Austender Contract Notice numbers for any media monitoring contracts in each period please be providedc. What is the estimated budget to provide these services for the year FY 2019-20. 	Written

Attorney-General's Department	98	Kimberley Kitching	Communications staff	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For all departments and agencies, please provide – in relation to all public relations, communications and media staff – the following: 2. By Department or agency: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. How many ongoing staff, the classification, the type of work they undertake and their location. b. How many non-ongoing staff, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location. c. How many contractors, their classification, type of work they undertake and their location. d. How many are graphic designers. e. How many are media managers. f. How many organise events. 3. Do any departments/agencies have independent media studios. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If yes, why. b. When was it established. c. What is the set up cost. d. What is the ongoing cost. e. How many staff work there and what are their classifications. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	99	Kimberley Kitching	Departmental staff in Minister's office	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the Department provide an update on the total number of departmental staff seconded to ministerial offices, including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Duration of secondment. b. APS level. 2. Can the Department provide an update on the total number of DLOs/CLOs for ministerial offices including APS level. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	100	Kimberley Kitching	Briefings	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the Department/agency or the Minister's office provided briefings to independents/minor parties in the Senate or House of Representatives since the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20. If so, can the following be provided: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The subject matter of the briefing. b. The location and date of the briefing. c. Who proposed the briefing. d. Attendees of the briefing by level/position 	Written

Attorney-General's Department	101	Kimberley Kitching	Market research	<p>1. Does the Department/agency undertake any polling or market research in relation to government policies or proposed policies.</p> <p>2. If so, can the Department provide an itemised list for financial year 2019-20 to date:</p> <p>a. Subject matter</p> <p>b. Company</p> <p>c. Costs</p> <p>d. Contract date period</p> <p>3. Can the Department/agency advise what, if any, research was shared with the Minister or their office and the date and format in which this occurred.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	102	Kimberley Kitching	Commissioned Reports and Reviews	<p>1. Since the date of the last answer for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20, how many Reports or Reviews have been commissioned. Please provide details of each report including: a. Date commissioned.b. Date report handed to Government.c. Date of public release.d. Terms of Reference.e. Committee members and/or Reviewers. 2. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost.3. The background and credentials of the Review personnel.4. The remuneration arrangements applicable to the Review personnel, including fees, disbursements and travel5. The cost of any travel attached to the conduct of the Review.6. How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level. 7. What is the current status of each report. When is the Government intending to respond to each report if it has not already done so.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	103	Kimberley Kitching	Appointments - briefs prepared	<p>1. How many times has the Department prepared a brief for statutory authorities, executive agencies, advisory boards, government business enterprises or any other Commonwealth body which includes a reference to a former Liberal or National member of parliament at a state, territory or federal level, since the answer was provided for Supplementary Estimates 2019-20.</p> <p>2. For each brief prepared, can the Department advise:</p> <p>a. The former member.</p> <p>b. The board or entity.</p> <p>c. Whether the request originated from the Minister's office.</p> <p>d. Whether the appointment was made.</p>	Written

Attorney-General's Department	104	Kimberley Kitching	CDDA Payments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many claims have been received under the Compensation for Detriment caused by Defective Administration scheme (CDDA) by the Department for financial year 2019-20 to date. 2. How many claims were: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Accepted. b. Rejected. c. Under consideration. 3. Of the accepted claims, can the Department provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Details of the claim, subject to relevant privacy considerations b. The date payment was made c. The decision maker. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	105	Kimberley Kitching	Congestion busting	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Can the Department/agency provide an update on how it is “congestion busting” in relation to bureaucratic bottlenecks and regulatory bottlenecks. 2. Have any additional resources been allocated within the Department to achieve “congestion busting” within the department since an answer was provided for the Supplementary Estimates round 2019-20. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	106	Kimberley Kitching	Recruitment	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What amount has been expended by the department/agency on external recruitment or executive search services in financial year 2019-20 to date. 2. Which services were utilised. Can an itemised list be provided. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	107	Kimberley Kitching	Staffing	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. How many full-time equivalent staff are engaged at 1 March 2020. How does this differ from the figures presented in Budget Paper 4 in the 2019-20 Budget. 2. How many of these positions are (a) on-going and (b) non-ongoing. 3. How many redundancies have occurred in financial year 2019-20 to date. How many were: a. voluntary b. involuntary. 4. How many of those redundancies occurred as a result of departmental restructuring. What is the total cost of those redundancies. 5. What was the total value in dollar terms of all termination payments paid to exiting staff. 6. How much overtime or equivalent has been paid to staff in financial year 2019-20 to date. 7. How many section 37 notices under the Public Service Act 1999 have been offered in financial year 2019-20 to date. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	108	Kimberley Kitching	Comcare	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For financial year 2019-20 to date, can the Department advise whether it has been the subject of any investigations involving Comcare. If yes, please provide details of the circumstances and the status. 2. Can the Department advise the number of sanctions it has received from Comcare in the FY2019-20 	Written

to date.

Attorney-General's Department	109	Kimberley Kitching	Fair Work Commission	1. For financial year 2019-20 to date, how many references have been made to the Fair Work Commission within the Department or agency.	Written
Attorney-General's Department	110	Kimberley Kitching	Public Interest Disclosures	1. For financial year 2019-20 to date, how many public interest disclosures have been received	Written
Attorney-General's Department	111	Kimberley Kitching	Fair Work Ombudsman	1. For financial year 2019-20 to date, how many references have been made to the Fair Work Ombudsman within the Department or agency.	Written
Attorney-General's Department	112	Kimberley Kitching	Office of the Merit Protection Commissioner	1. For financial year 2019-20 to date, how many references have been made to the Office of the Merit Protection Commissioner within the Department or agency.	Written
Attorney-General's Department	113	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors: 1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors. 2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.	Written
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	114	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors: 1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors. 2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.	Written
Australian Financial Security Authority	115	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors: 1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors. 2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.	Written

Australian Human Rights Commission	116	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	<p>I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors:</p> <p>1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors.</p> <p>2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.</p>	Written
Commonwealth Ombudsman	117	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	<p>I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors:</p> <p>1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors.</p> <p>2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.</p>	Written
Federal Court of Australia	118	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	<p>I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors:</p> <p>1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors.</p> <p>2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.</p>	Written
National Archives of Australia	119	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	<p>I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors:</p> <p>1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors.</p> <p>2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.</p>	Written
Commonwealth Director of Public Prosecutions	120	Katy Gallagher	External contractors	<p>I refer to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-84 on external contractors:</p> <p>1. Are the figures provided for management and business professionals and administrative services the same as the figures for all external contractors.</p> <p>2. If no to (1), please provide the figures for all external contractors for each of the financial years from 2013-14 to 2018-19.</p>	Written

Attorney-General's Department	121	Nick McKim	Family Court Resourcing & Merger	<p>1. Under the Government's proposed merger of the Family Court and the Federal Circuit Court, will first instance be hearing appeals on family matters?</p> <p>a. If so, will they be funded to have legal associates?</p> <p>b. What is the proposed funding for chambers staff in all limbs of the court?</p> <p>2. Has there been direct consultation with the current sitting first instance and appeal division judges in relation to the merger, and if so, what form has that taken?</p> <p>3. Trials in the Federal Circuit Court are over listed, so often several matters will not be heard on the day they are listed and will be adjourned, possibly several times, before coming before a judge for hearing. Is the intention to run the merged courts in this same way?</p> <p>a. If not, what is the proposal for dealing with over listing?</p> <p>4. Will the Chief Judge of the Federal Circuit Court/Chief Justice of the Family Court continue to hear "callovers"?</p> <p>a. Does the Department believe, given the considerable number of matters awaiting a final hearing, a senior judicial officer in his Honour's position should be spending significant funds and judicial time on procedural hearings that could be dealt with by registrars?</p> <p>i. If so, why?</p> <p>5. Regarding specific matters that are currently only dealt with in the Family Court (Magellan, international relocation, Hague etc.), which limb on the proposed merged courts will deal with them?</p> <p>6. How will the registrars support the judiciary in the merged courts: will they have a docket system like the current Family Court registrars and will there be registrars who specifically deal with more complex family law issues, Magellan matters etc.?</p> <p>a. Will the registrars in the merged courts have greater powers to assist in managing matters to final hearing to ensure judicial time is most efficiently spent on determining complex legal and factual issues?</p> <p>7. How many registrars and family consultants are intended to be appointed in the merger?</p>	Written
Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	122	Jordon Steele-John	Royal Commission into Violence, Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation of People with Disability	<p>1. At the last estimates I asked how many staff engaged by the Royal Commission have a disability, Ms Pirani said the aim was to be representative of the community which is about almost 18% of the population. In response to the question taken on notice SBE 19-56 the response was 15% percent. In the 'progress report' recently released by the Chair, The Hon. Ronald Sackville, it states that as of 30 November that there were 13.1% of staff who identify as having a disability, a reduction from the answer given at the last estimates hearing. What steps is the Royal Commission to increase the number of people with disability working at the Royal Commission?</p>	Written
Commonwealth Ombudsman	123	Kimberley Kitching	Review of investigation into the DFRDB	<p>The Australian Defence Force Retirees Association is understood to have written to the Commonwealth Ombudsman seeking a review of its investigation into the Defence Force Retirement and Death Benefits (DFRDB) scheme. Can the department advise if the Ombudsman has responded to this and if so, what the response was?</p>	Written

scheme

Attorney-General's Department	124	Malcolm Roberts	Family Law Program	1. What are the Doors and Avert programs? 2. How many legal practitioners in use the Doors or Avert programs as part of their businesses?3. How many legal practitioners use either as part of their client services to screen for domestic violence?4. What has been the success of the Doors, Avert family law domestic violence screening program.5. What's was the cost to produce this screen and training material?	Written
Family Court of Australia	125	Malcolm Roberts	Suicide of Fathers in Family Law matters	<p>1. In 2003 a committee headed by Justice Le Port Trench was formed to investigate the suicide of Fathers in Family Law matters.</p> <p>a. At the time the committee was formed the federal governments national advisory council for suicide prevention identified middle age men as the group most vulnerable to suicide, in light of this what services were provided within the family court system to help fathers?</p> <p>b. What research was conducted into male suicide by the committee?</p> <p>c. What research material was provided to the committee and by who?</p> <p>d. What were the findings of the research into fathers taking their lives?</p> <p>e. Was the court processes changed to take into account the higher risk of mental health problems with fathers in the courts?</p> <p>f. What recommendations did the committee make to improve how fathers were treated in family law?</p> <p>g. How long did it take for the recommendations to be implemented?</p> <p>2. What services are available for fathers to access in the family courts if they require help when they attend?</p> <p>3. Have there been any amendments to family law legislation to improve the safety of mothers and their children involved in family law matters?</p> <p>4. Have there been any amendments to family law legislation to improve the safety of fathers and their</p>	Written

children involved in family law matters?

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	126	Kim Carr	Responses to Questions on Notice following Supplementary Estimates 2018/19	<p>1. Following the last Senate Estimates process in October 2019, did you discuss your responses to any of the questions you took on notice with the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General's office or the Department? If yes:a. What questions?b. Who did you discuss your responses with (the AG, the AG's office and/or the Department)?2. Following the last Senate Estimates process in October 2019, did you provide drafts of your response(s) to any questions you took on notice to the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General's office or the Department? If so:a. What questions did those responses relate to?b. Who did you provide those draft responses to (the AG, the AG's office and/or the Department)?3. Following the last Senate Estimates process in October 2019, did the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General's office or the Department ask you to amend any responses to questions you took on notice? If so:a. What questions did those responses relate to? b. Who asked you to amend those responses (the AG, the AG's office and/or the Department)?c. What did the AG, the AG's office or the Department (as applicable) ask you to amend?d. Did you agree to the amendment?4. Following the last Senate Estimates process in October 2019, did the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General's office or the Department ask you not to respond to any questions you took on notice? If so:a. What questions? b. Who asked you not to respond to the question(s) (the AG, the AG's office and/or the Department)?c. Why did the AG, the AG's office or the Department (as applicable) ask you not to respond?d. Did you agree not to respond?5. In respect of any of your responses to questions you took on notice following the last Senate Estimates hearing in October 2019, did you provide multiple drafts of any of your responses to the Attorney-General, the Attorney-General's office or the Department? If so, what responses?</p>	Written
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	127	Kim Carr	Callinan Review	How many of the recommendations ("measures") of the Callinan Review has the AAT implemented as at 4 March 2020? Please provide details of what recommendations have been implemented in full, what recommendations have been implemented in part, what recommendations will be implemented and what recommendations will not be implemented.	Written
Administrative Appeals Tribunal	128	Kim Carr	Robodebt	<p>How many AAT decisions in 2018 involving Centrelink found that no debt is able to be founded on extrapolations of fortnightly earnings?</p> <p>Please provide the Committee with copies of every AAT decision from 2018 involving Centrelink that found that no debt is able to be founded on extrapolations of fortnightly earnings.</p>	Written

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	129	Kim Carr	Gary Humphries	<p>1. What was Gary Humphries' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Gary Humphries' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Gary Humphries perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Gary Humphries performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Gary Humphries finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Gary Humphries finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Gary Humphries reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Gary Humphries take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	130	Kim Carr	Michael Cooke	<p>1. What was Michael Cooke’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Michael Cooke’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Michael Cooke perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Michael Cooke performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Michael Cooke finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Michael Cooke finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Michael Cooke reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Michael Cooke take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	131	Kim Carr	Shahyar Roushan	<p>1. What was Shahyar Roushan’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Shahyar Roushan’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Shahyar Roushan perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Shahyar Roushan performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Shahyar Roushan finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Shahyar Roushan finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Shahyar Roushan reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Shahyar Roushan paid?9. Is Shayar Roushan a sessional member of the AAT? If so:a. In 2018/19, how many days did Shayar Roushan make himself available to work at the AAT?b. In 2018/19, how many days did Shayar Roushan actually work at the AAT?c. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Shayar Roushan make himself available to perform Tribunal work?d. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Shayar Roushan actually work at the AAT?e. In 2018/19, how many times did Shayar Roushan tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?f. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Shayar Roushan tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?g. How much was Shayar Roushan actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?h. As at 4 March 2020, did Shayar Roushan have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:i. Was it a full time job?ii. What was the job? 10. How much paid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2017/18?11. How much paid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2018/19?12. How much paid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?13. How much unpaid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2017/18?14. How much unpaid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2018/19?15. How much unpaid leave did Shahyar Roushan take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	132	Kim Carr	Helena Claringbold	<p>1. What was Helena Claringbold’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Helena Claringbold’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Helena Claringbold perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Helena Claringbold performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Helena Claringbold finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Helena Claringbold finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Helena Claringbold reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Helena Claringbold take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	133	Kim Carr	Brendan Darcy	<p>1. What was Brendan Darcy's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Brendan Darcy's benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Brendan Darcy perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Brendan Darcy performing against his benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Brendan Darcy finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Brendan Darcy finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Brendan Darcy reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Brendan Darcy take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	134	Kim Carr	David McCulloch	1. What was David McCulloch's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is David McCulloch's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did David McCulloch perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was David McCulloch performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did David McCulloch finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had David McCulloch finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by David McCulloch reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did David McCulloch take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did David McCulloch take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did David McCulloch take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did David McCulloch take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did David McCulloch take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did David McCulloch take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	135	Kim Carr	Nicholas McGowan	<p>1. What was Nicholas McGowan’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Nicholas McGowan’s benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Nicholas McGowan perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Nicholas McGowan performing against his benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Nicholas McGowan finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Nicholas McGowan finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Nicholas McGowan reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Nicholas McGowan take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	136	Kim Carr	Karen Synon	<p>1. What was Karen Synon’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Karen Synon’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Karen Synon perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Karen Synon performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Karen Synon finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Karen Synon finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Karen Synon reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Karen Synon paid?9. Is Karen Synon a sessional member of the AAT? If so:a. In 2018/19, how many days did Karen Synon make herself available to work at the AAT?b. In 2018/19, how many days did Karen Synon actually work at the AAT?c. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Karen Synon make herself available to perform Tribunal work?d. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Karen Synon actually work at the AAT?e. In 2018/19, how many times did Karen Synon tell the AAT that she was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?f. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Karen Synon tell the AAT that she was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?g. How much was Karen Synon actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?h. As at 4 March 2020, did Karen Synon have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:i. Was it a full time job?ii. What was the job? 10. How much paid leave did Karen Synon take in 2018/19?11. How much paid leave did Karen Synon take in 2018/19?12. How much paid leave did Karen Synon take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?13. How much unpaid leave did Karen Synon take in 2018/19?14. How much unpaid leave did Karen Synon take in 2018/19?15. How much unpaid leave did Karen Synon take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	137	Kim Carr	Donald Morris	<p>1. What was Donald Morris' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Donald Morris' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Donald Morris perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Donald Morris performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Donald Morris finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Donald Morris finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Donald Morris reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Donald Morris take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Donald Morris take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Donald Morris take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Donald Morris take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Donald Morris take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Donald Morris take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	138	Kim Carr	William Stefaniak	<p>1. What was William Stefaniak’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is William Stefaniak’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did William Stefaniak perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was William Stefaniak performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did William Stefaniak finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had William Stefaniak finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by William Stefaniak reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did William Stefaniak take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	139	Kim Carr	Justin Meyer	<p>1. What was Justin Meyer’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Justin Meyer’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Justin Meyer perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Justin Meyer performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Justin Meyer finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Justin Meyer finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Justin Meyer reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Justin Meyer take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	140	Kim Carr	John Francis Sosso	<p>1. What was John Francis Sosso’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is John Francis Sosso’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did John Francis Sosso perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was John Francis Sosso performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did John Francis Sosso finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had John Francis Sosso finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by John Francis Sosso reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did John Francis Sosso take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	141	Kim Carr	Denis Dragovic	<p>1. What was Denis Dragovic’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Denis Dragovic’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Denis Dragovic perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Denis Dragovic performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Denis Dragovic finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Denis Dragovic finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Denis Dragovic reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Denis Dragovic take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	142	Kim Carr	Peter Vlahos	<p>1. What was Peter Vlahos' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Peter Vlahos' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Peter Vlahos perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Peter Vlahos performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Peter Vlahos finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Peter Vlahos finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Peter Vlahos reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Peter Vlahos take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	143	Kim Carr	Michael Manetta	<p>1. What was Michael Manetta’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Michael Manetta’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Michael Manetta perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Michael Manetta performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Michael Manetta finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Michael Manetta finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Michael Manetta reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Michael Manetta take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	144	Kim Carr	Louise Bygrave	<p>1. What was Louise Bygrave’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Louise Bygrave’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Louise Bygrave perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Louise Bygrave performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Louise Bygrave finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Louise Bygrave finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Louise Bygrave reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Louise Bygrave paid?9. Is Louise Bygrave a sessional member of the AAT? If so:a. In 2018/19, how many days did Louise Bygrave make herself available to work at the AAT?b. In 2018/19, how many days did Louise Bygrave actually work at the AAT?c. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Louise Bygrave make herself available to perform Tribunal work?d. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Louise Bygrave actually work at the AAT?e. In 2018/19, how many times did Louise Bygrave tell the AAT that she was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?f. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Louise Bygrave tell the AAT that she was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?g. How much was Louise Bygrave actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?h. As at 4 March 2020, did Louise Bygrave have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:i. Was it a full time job?ii. What was the job? 10. How much paid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2017/18?11. How much paid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2018/19?12. How much paid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?13. How much unpaid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2017/18?14. How much unpaid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2018/19?15. How much unpaid leave did Louise Bygrave take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	145	Kim Carr	Theo Tavoularis	<p>1. What was Theo Tavoularis' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Theo Tavoularis' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Theo Tavoularis perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Theo Tavoularis performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Theo Tavoularis finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Theo Tavoularis finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Theo Tavoularis reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Theo Tavoularis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	146	Kim Carr	Rachel Westaway	<p>1. What was Rachel Westaway’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Rachel Westaway’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Rachel Westaway perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Rachel Westaway performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Rachel Westaway finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Rachel Westaway finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Rachel Westaway reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Rachel Westaway take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	147	Kim Carr	Antoinette Younes	<p>1. What was Antoinette Younes' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Antoinette Younes' benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Antoinette Younes perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Antoinette Younes performing against her benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Antoinette Younes finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Antoinette Younes finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Antoinette Younes reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Antoinette Younes take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	148	Kim Carr	Andrew Nikolic	1. What was Andrew Nikolic's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Andrew Nikolic's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Andrew Nikolic perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Andrew Nikolic performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Andrew Nikolic finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Andrew Nikolic finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Andrew Nikolic reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Nikolic take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	149	Kim Carr	Russell Matheson	<p>1. What was Russell Matheson’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Russell Matheson’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Russell Matheson perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Russell Matheson performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Russell Matheson finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Russell Matheson finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Russell Matheson reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Russell Matheson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	150	Kim Carr	Ann Duffield	1. What was Ann Duffield's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Ann Duffield's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Ann Duffield perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Ann Duffield performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Ann Duffield finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Ann Duffield finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Ann Duffield reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Ann Duffield take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	151	Kim Carr	Justin Owen	<p>1. What was Justin Owen’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Justin Owen’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Justin Owen perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Justin Owen performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Justin Owen finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Justin Owen finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Justin Owen reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Justin Owen take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Justin Owen take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Justin Owen take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Justin Owen take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Justin Owen take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Justin Owen take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	152	Kim Carr	George Hallwood	<p>1. What was George Hallwood’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is George Hallwood’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did George Hallwood perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was George Hallwood performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did George Hallwood finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had George Hallwood finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by George Hallwood reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did George Hallwood take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did George Hallwood take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did George Hallwood take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did George Hallwood take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did George Hallwood take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did George Hallwood take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	153	Kim Carr	Nora Lamont	<p>1. What was Nora Lamont’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Nora Lamont’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Nora Lamont perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Nora Lamont performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Nora Lamont finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Nora Lamont finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Nora Lamont reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Nora Lamont take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	154	Kim Carr	Helen Moreland	1. What was Helen Moreland’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Helen Moreland’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Helen Moreland perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Helen Moreland performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Helen Moreland finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Helen Moreland finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Helen Moreland reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Helen Moreland take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	155	Kim Carr	Jason Pennell	<p>1. What was Jason Pennell’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Jason Pennell’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Jason Pennell perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Jason Pennell performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Jason Pennell finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Jason Pennell finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Jason Pennell reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Jason Pennell paid?9. How much paid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2017/18?10. How much paid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2018/19?11. How much paid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?12. How much unpaid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2017/18?13. How much unpaid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2018/19?14. How much unpaid leave did Jason Pennell take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	156	Kim Carr	Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez	<p>1. What was Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	157	Kim Carr	Richard Ian Hanger	<p>1. What was Richard Ian Hanger’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Richard Ian Hanger’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Richard Ian Hanger perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Richard Ian Hanger performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Richard Ian Hanger finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Richard Ian Hanger finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Richard Ian Hanger reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Richard Ian Hanger paid?9. Is Richard Ian Hanger a sessional member? If so:a. In 2018/19, how many days did Richard Ian Hanger make himself available to work at the AAT?b. In 2018/19, how many days did Richard Ian Hanger actually work at the AAT?c. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Richard Ian Hanger make himself available to perform Tribunal work?d. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Richard Ian Hanger actually work at the AAT?e. In 2018/19, how many times did Richard Ian Hanger tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?f. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Richard Ian Hanger tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?g. How much was Richard Ian Hanger actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?h. As at 4 March 2020, did Richard Ian Hanger have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:i. Was it a full time job?ii. What was the job? 10. How much paid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2017/18?11. How much paid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2018/19?12. How much paid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?13. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2017/18?14. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2018/19?15. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ian Hanger take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	158	Kim Carr	Hedley Grant Chapman	1. What was Hedley Grant Chapman’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Hedley Grant Chapman’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Hedley Grant Chapman perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Hedley Grant Chapman performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Hedley Grant Chapman finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Hedley Grant Chapman finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Hedley Grant Chapman reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Hedley Grant Chapman take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	159	Kim Carr	Simone Burford	<p>1. What was Simone Burford's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Simone Burford's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Simone Burford perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Simone Burford performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Simone Burford finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Simone Burford finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Simone Burford reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Simone Burford take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Simone Burford take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Simone Burford take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Simone Burford take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Simone Burford take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Simone Burford take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	160	Kim Carr	Helen Kroger	1. What was Helen Kroger’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Helen Kroger’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Helen Kroger perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Helen Kroger performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Helen Kroger finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Helen Kroger finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Helen Kroger reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Helen Kroger take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	161	Kim Carr	James Lambie	<p>1. What was James Lambie’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is James Lambie’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did James Lambie perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was James Lambie performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did James Lambie finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had James Lambie finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by James Lambie reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did James Lambie take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did James Lambie take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did James Lambie take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did James Lambie take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did James Lambie take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did James Lambie take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	162	Kim Carr	Paul Clauson	1. What was Paul Clauson’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Paul Clauson’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Paul Clauson perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Paul Clauson performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Paul Clauson finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Paul Clauson finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Paul Clauson reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Paul Clauson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	163	Kim Carr	Karen McNamara	<p>1. What was Karen McNamara’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Karen McNamara’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Karen McNamara perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Karen McNamara performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Karen McNamara finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Karen McNamara finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Karen McNamara reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Karen McNamara take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	164	Kim Carr	Mary Sheargold	1. What was Mary Sheargold's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Mary Sheargold's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Mary Sheargold perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Mary Sheargold performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Mary Sheargold finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Mary Sheargold finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Mary Sheargold reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much is Mary Sheargold paid?9. How much paid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2017/18?10. How much paid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2018/19?11. How much paid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?12. How much unpaid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2017/18?13. How much unpaid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2018/19?14. How much unpaid leave did Mary Sheargold take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	165	Kim Carr	Christopher Puplick	<p>1. What was Christopher Puplick’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Christopher Puplick’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Christopher Puplick perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Christopher Puplick performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Christopher Puplick finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Christopher Puplick finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Christopher Puplick reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Christopher Puplick take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	166	Kim Carr	Robert Cameron	1. What was Robert Cameron’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Robert Cameron’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Robert Cameron perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Robert Cameron performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Robert Cameron finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Robert Cameron finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Robert Cameron reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Robert Cameron take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	167	Kim Carr	Richard Ellis	<p>1. What was Richard Ellis' yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Richard Ellis' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Richard Ellis perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Richard Ellis performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Richard Ellis finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Richard Ellis finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Richard Ellis reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Richard Ellis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	168	Kim Carr	Ian Berry	1. What was Ian Berry's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Ian Berry's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Ian Berry perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Ian Berry performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Ian Berry finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Ian Berry finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Ian Berry reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Ian Berry take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Ian Berry take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Ian Berry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Ian Berry take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Ian Berry take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Ian Berry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	169	Kim Carr	Peter Emmerton	<p>1. What was Peter Emmerton’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Peter Emmerton’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Peter Emmerton perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Peter Emmerton performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Peter Emmerton finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Peter Emmerton finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Peter Emmerton reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Peter Emmerton take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	170	Kim Carr	Perrohean Sperling	<p>1. What was Perrohean Sperling’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Perrohean Sperling’s benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Perrohean Sperling perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Perrohean Sperling performing against her benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Perrohean Sperling finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Perrohean Sperling finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Perrohean Sperling reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Perrohean Sperling take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	171	Kim Carr	Suzanne Boyce	<p>1. What was Suzanne Boyce’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Suzanne Boyce’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Suzanne Boyce perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Suzanne Boyce performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Suzanne Boyce finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Suzanne Boyce finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Suzanne Boyce reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Suzanne Boyce take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	172	Kim Carr	Nathan Goetz	1. What was Nathan Goetz’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Nathan Goetz’s benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Nathan Goetz perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Nathan Goetz performing against his benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Nathan Goetz finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Nathan Goetz finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Nathan Goetz reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Nathan Goetz take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	173	Kim Carr	Meredith Jackson	<p>1. What was Meredith Jackson’s yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Meredith Jackson’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Meredith Jackson perform against her targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Meredith Jackson performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Meredith Jackson finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Meredith Jackson finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Meredith Jackson reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. How much paid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Meredith Jackson take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	174	Kim Carr	Brian Warren Stooke	1. What was Brian Warren Stooke's yearly benchmark for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 2. What is Brian Warren Stooke's benchmark for 2019/20? 3. How did Brian Warren Stooke perform against his targets for each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Brian Warren Stooke performing against his benchmark in 2019/20? 5. How many applications did Brian Warren Stooke finalise in each of 2017/18 and 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Brian Warren Stooke finalised in 2019/20? 7. How many times in 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Brian Warren Stooke reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2017/18? 9. How much paid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2018/19? 10. How much paid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 11. How much unpaid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2017/18? 12. How much unpaid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2018/19? 13. How much unpaid leave did Brian Warren Stooke take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	175	Kim Carr	Matthew Groom	<p>1. What was Matthew Groom’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Matthew Groom’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Matthew Groom perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Matthew Groom performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Matthew Groom finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Matthew Groom finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Matthew Groom reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Matthew Groom take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	176	Kim Carr	Belinda Pola	1. What was Belinda Pola’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Belinda Pola’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Belinda Pola perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Belinda Pola performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Belinda Pola finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Belinda Pola finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Belinda Pola reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Belinda Pola take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	177	Kim Carr	Donna Petrovich	<p>1. What was Donna Petrovich’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Donna Petrovich’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Donna Petrovich perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Donna Petrovich performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Donna Petrovich finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Donna Petrovich finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Donna Petrovich reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Donna Petrovich take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	178	Kim Carr	Dominic Katter	1. What was Dominic Katter’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Dominic Katter’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Dominic Katter perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Dominic Katter performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Dominic Katter finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Dominic Katter finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Dominic Katter reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Dominic Katter take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	179	Kim Carr	David Crawshay	<p>1. What was David Crawshay’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is David Crawshay’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did David Crawshay perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was David Crawshay performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did David Crawshay finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had David Crawshay finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by David Crawshay reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did David Crawshay take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did David Crawshay take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did David Crawshay take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did David Crawshay take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did David Crawshay take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did David Crawshay take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	180	Kim Carr	Phoebe Dunn	1. What was Phoebe Dunn’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Phoebe Dunn’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Phoebe Dunn perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Phoebe Dunn performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Phoebe Dunn finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Phoebe Dunn finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Phoebe Dunn reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Phoebe Dunn take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	181	Kim Carr	Shane Evans	<p>1. What was Shane Evans' yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Shane Evans' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Shane Evans perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Shane Evans performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Shane Evans finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Shane Evans finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Shane Evans reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Shane Evans take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Shane Evans take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Shane Evans take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Shane Evans take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Shane Evans take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Shane Evans take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	182	Kim Carr	Joseph Francis	1. What was Joseph Francis' yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Joseph Francis' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Joseph Francis perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Joseph Francis performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Joseph Francis finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Joseph Francis finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Joseph Francis reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Joseph Francis take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	183	Kim Carr	William Frost	<p>1. What was William Frost’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is William Frost’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did William Frost perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was William Frost performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did William Frost finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had William Frost finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by William Frost reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT?8. In response to a question on notice from the Supplementary Estimates in October 2019, the AAT advised that the Attorney-General’s former adviser, William Frost, hadn’t been set a benchmark for 2019/20 (see response to question number 2(a) to (b) in Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-197). a. How many days has Mr Frost worked in the Migration and Refugee Division in 2019/20 (as at 4 March 2020)?b. If the reason he wasn’t given a benchmark is because he is not expected to work in the Migration and Refugee Division often, why wasn’t Mr Frost given a lower benchmark to reflect this rather than no benchmark at all?9. Are there any other members of the Migration and Refugee Division who do not have a benchmark? If so, how many and who are they?10. How much paid leave did William Frost take in 2017/18?11. How much paid leave did William Frost take in 2018/19?12. How much paid leave did William Frost take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?13. How much unpaid leave did William Frost take in 2017/18?14. How much unpaid leave did William Frost take in 2018/19?15. How much unpaid leave did William Frost take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	184	Kim Carr	Steven Griffiths	1. What was Steven Griffiths' yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Steven Griffiths' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Steven Griffiths perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Steven Griffiths performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Steven Griffiths finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Steven Griffiths finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Steven Griffiths reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Steven Griffiths take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	185	Kim Carr	Michael Sutherland	<p>1. What was Michael Sutherland’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Michael Sutherland’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Michael Sutherland perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Michael Sutherland performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Michael Sutherland finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Michael Sutherland finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Michael Sutherland reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Michael Sutherland take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	186	Kim Carr	Anthony Barry	1. What was Anthony Barry's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Anthony Barry's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Anthony Barry perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Anthony Barry performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Anthony Barry finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Anthony Barry finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Anthony Barry reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Anthony Barry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	187	Kim Carr	Stephen Barton	<p>1. What was Stephen Barton’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Stephen Barton’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Stephen Barton perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Stephen Barton performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Stephen Barton finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Stephen Barton finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Stephen Barton reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Barton take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	188	Kim Carr	Jane Bell	1. What was Jane Bell's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Jane Bell's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Jane Bell perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Jane Bell performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Jane Bell finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Jane Bell finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Jane Bell reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Jane Bell take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Jane Bell take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Jane Bell take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Jane Bell take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Jane Bell take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Jane Bell take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	189	Kim Carr	Dawn Fitzgerald	<p>1. What was Dawn Fitzgerald’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Dawn Fitzgerald’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Dawn Fitzgerald perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Dawn Fitzgerald performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Dawn Fitzgerald finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Dawn Fitzgerald finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Dawn Fitzgerald reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Dawn Fitzgerald take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	190	Kim Carr	Ian Fletcher	1. What was Ian Fletcher’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Ian Fletcher’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Ian Fletcher perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Ian Fletcher performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Ian Fletcher finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Ian Fletcher finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Ian Fletcher reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Ian Fletcher take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	191	Kim Carr	John Griffin	<p>1. What was John Griffin’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is John Griffin’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did John Griffin perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was John Griffin performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did John Griffin finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had John Griffin finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by John Griffin reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did John Griffin take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did John Griffin take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did John Griffin take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did John Griffin take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did John Griffin take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did John Griffin take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	192	Kim Carr	Stephen Parry	1. What was Stephen Parry's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Stephen Parry's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Stephen Parry perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Stephen Parry performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Stephen Parry finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Stephen Parry finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Stephen Parry reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Stephen Parry take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	193	Kim Carr	De-Anne Kelly	<p>1. What was De-Anne Kelly's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is De-Anne Kelly's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did De-Anne Kelly perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was De-Anne Kelly performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did De-Anne Kelly finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had De-Anne Kelly finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by De-Anne Kelly reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did De-Anne Kelly take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	194	Kim Carr	Keith Kendall	1. What was Keith Kendall's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Keith Kendall's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Keith Kendall perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Keith Kendall performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Keith Kendall finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Keith Kendall finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Keith Kendall reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Keith Kendall take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	195	Kim Carr	Fiona Zuccala	<p>1. What was Fiona Zuccala’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Fiona Zuccala’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Fiona Zuccala perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Fiona Zuccala performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Fiona Zuccala finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Fiona Zuccala finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Fiona Zuccala reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Fiona Zuccala take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	196	Kim Carr	Margaret Forrest	1. What was Margaret Forrest's yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Margaret Forrest's benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Margaret Forrest perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Margaret Forrest performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Margaret Forrest finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Margaret Forrest finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Margaret Forrest reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Margaret Forrest take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	197	Kim Carr	Susan Reece Jones	<p>1. What was Susan Reece Jones' yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Susan Reece Jones' benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Susan Reece Jones perform against her targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Susan Reece Jones performing against her benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Susan Reece Jones finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Susan Reece Jones finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Susan Reece Jones reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Susan Reece Jones take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	198	Kim Carr	Andrew Tragardh	1. What was Andrew Tragardh’s yearly benchmark for 2018/19? 2. What is Andrew Tragardh’s benchmark for 2019/20?3. How did Andrew Tragardh perform against his targets for 2018/19? 4. Up to 4 March 2020, how was Andrew Tragardh performing against his benchmark in 2019/20?5. How many applications did Andrew Tragardh finalise in 2018/19? 6. Up to 4 March 2020, how many applications had Andrew Tragardh finalised in 2019/20?7. How many times in 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020) was a decision by Andrew Tragardh reviewed and changed by the second level of the AAT? 8. How much paid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2017/18?9. How much paid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2018/19?10. How much paid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?11. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2017/18?12. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2018/19?13. How much unpaid leave did Andrew Tragardh take in 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	199	Kim Carr	Anthony Barry	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In 2018/19, how many days did Anthony Barry make himself available to work at the AAT? 2. In 2018/19, how many days did Anthony Barry actually work at the AAT? 3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Anthony Barry make himself available to perform Tribunal work? 4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Anthony Barry actually work at the AAT? 5. In 2018/19, how many times did Anthony Barry tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work? 6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Anthony Barry tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work? 7. How much was Anthony Barry actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)? 8. As at 4 March 2020, did Anthony Barry have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Was it a full time job? b. What was the job? 	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	200	Kim Carr	Andrew Tragardh	1. In 2018/19, how many days did Andrew Tragardh make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Andrew Tragardh actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Andrew Tragardh make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Andrew Tragardh actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Andrew Tragardh tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Andrew Tragardh tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Andrew Tragardh actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Andrew Tragardh have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	201	Kim Carr	William Stefaniak	1. In 2018/19, how many days did William Stefaniak make himself available to work at the AAT? 2. In 2018/19, how many days did William Stefaniak actually work at the AAT? 3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did William Stefaniak make himself available to perform Tribunal work? 4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did William Stefaniak actually work at the AAT? 5. In 2018/19, how many times did William Stefaniak tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work? 6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did William Stefaniak tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work? 7. How much was William Stefaniak actually paid by the AAT in each of 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?	Written
--	-----	----------	-------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	202	Kim Carr	Michael Manetta	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In 2018/19, how many days did Michael Manetta make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Michael Manetta actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Michael Manetta make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Michael Manetta actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Michael Manetta tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Michael Manetta tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Michael Manetta actually paid by the AAT in each of 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Michael Manetta have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	203	Kim Carr	Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez actually paid by the AAT in each of 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Rodrigo Pintos-Lopez have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	204	Kim Carr	Dominic Katter	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In 2018/19, how many days did Dominic Katter make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Dominic Katter actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Dominic Katter make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Dominic Katter actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Dominic Katter tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Dominic Katter tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Dominic Katter actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Dominic Katter have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	205	Kim Carr	Stephen Barton	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Stephen Barton make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Stephen Barton actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Stephen Barton make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Stephen Barton actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Stephen Barton tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Stephen Barton tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Stephen Barton actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Stephen Barton have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	----------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	206	Kim Carr	Dawn Fitzgerald	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In 2018/19, how many days did Dawn Fitzgerald make herself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Dawn Fitzgerald actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Dawn Fitzgerald make herself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Dawn Fitzgerald actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Dawn Fitzgerald tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Dawn Fitzgerald tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Dawn Fitzgerald actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Dawn Fitzgerald have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	-----------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	207	Kim Carr	Ian Fletcher	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Ian Fletcher make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Ian Fletcher actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Ian Fletcher make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Ian Fletcher actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Ian Fletcher tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Ian Fletcher tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Ian Fletcher actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Ian Fletcher have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	208	Kim Carr	John Griffin	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In 2018/19, how many days did John Griffin make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did John Griffin actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did John Griffin make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did John Griffin actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did John Griffin tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did John Griffin tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was John Griffin actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did John Griffin have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	--------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	209	Kim Carr	Stephen Parry	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Stephen Parry make himself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Stephen Parry actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Stephen Parry make himself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Stephen Parry actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Stephen Parry tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Stephen Parry tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Stephen Parry actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Stephen Parry have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	--	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	210	Kim Carr	Fiona Zuccala	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In 2018/19, how many days did Fiona Zuccala make herself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Fiona Zuccala actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Fiona Zuccala make herself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Fiona Zuccala actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Fiona Zuccala tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Fiona Zuccala tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Fiona Zuccala actually paid by the AAT in each of 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Fiona Zuccala have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	211	Kim Carr	Suzanne Boyce	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Suzanne Boyce make herself available to work at the AAT?2. In 2018/19, how many days did Suzanne Boyce actually work at the AAT?3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Suzanne Boyce make herself available to perform Tribunal work?4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Suzanne Boyce actually work at the AAT?5. In 2018/19, how many times did Suzanne Boyce tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Suzanne Boyce tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?7. How much was Suzanne Boyce actually paid by the AAT in each of 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?8. As at 4 March 2020, did Suzanne Boyce have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:a. Was it a full time job?b. What was the job?</p>	Written
--	-----	----------	---------------	---	---------

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	212	Kim Carr	Margaret Forrest	<p>1. In 2018/19, how many days did Margaret Forrest make herself available to work at the AAT?</p> <p>2. In 2018/19, how many days did Margaret Forrest actually work at the AAT?</p> <p>3. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Margaret Forrest make herself available to perform Tribunal work?</p> <p>4. In 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020), how many days did Margaret Forrest actually work at the AAT?</p> <p>5. In 2018/19, how many times did Margaret Forrest tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?</p> <p>6. In 2019/20 (up to and including 4 March 2020), how many times did Margaret Forrest tell the AAT that he was not available to work in response to a request from the AAT to perform Tribunal work?</p> <p>7. How much was Margaret Forrest actually paid by the AAT in each of 2017/18, 2018/19 and 2019/20 (up to 4 March 2020)?</p> <p>8. As at 4 March 2020, did Margaret Forrest have another job other than as an AAT Member? If so:</p> <p>a. Was it a full time job?</p> <p>b. What was the job?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	213	Kim Carr	AAT Appointments and William Frost	Did William Frost send any emails, or make any telephone calls, to the Department regarding the appointment or re-appointment of any of the individuals who were appointed to the Administrative Appeals Tribunal in February 2019?	Written
Attorney-General's Department	214	Kim Carr	Date Attorney-General's Department notified of AAT Appointments	On what date did the Attorney-General's office notify the Department that Shahyar Roushan, Karen Synon, Louise Bygrave, Jason Pennell, Richard Hanger and Mary Sheargold had been nominated for membership of the AAT?	Written

Administrative Appeals Tribunal	215	Nick McKim	Immigration Assessment Authority	For the last five financial years, please provide the number of affirmed and remitted decisions (broken down by country of nationality and percentage of the total).How many reviewers are employed by the IAA to conduct reviews of fast track reviewable decisions? How many have had prior experience conducting assessments on refugee claims?What is the average time taken to finalize a case (broken down by month since commencement)?What percentage of decisions are published by the IAA? How many IAA decisions have been appealed (to date) and what are the outcomes (broken down by financial year)?For the last five financial years, in what percentage of decisions has the IAA requested new information under section 473DC (broken down by financial year)?In what percentage of decisions has the IAA decided NOT to consider ‘new information’ under section 473DD (broken down by subparagraph)?For the last five financial years, in what percentage of decisions has the IAA conducted an interview under section 473DC and 473DE (broken down by section)?	Written
Attorney-General's Department	216	Kim Carr	Michael Cooke Private Interest Disclosure on reappointment	In respect of the private interest declaration prepared by AAT Member Michael Cooke at around the time of his re-appointment to the AAT in 2017: a. What is the date of that document? b. Was the private interest declaration – the document itself – considered by Cabinet? If so, on what date? c. Who asked Mr Cooke to prepare the private interest declaration? d. When was Mr Cooke asked to prepare the private interest declaration?	Written
Attorney-General's Department	217	Kim Carr	Functional and efficiency review	1. Did the Attorney General’s Department (AGD) make a submission to the Tune Review. If yes, when will that submission be published. If not, why not. 2. How many times did the [Tune] Review Steering Committee meet. 3. What Departments and Agencies were represented on the Steering Committee. 4. When will the minutes of Steering Committee meetings be published. If not, why not. 5. Did the AGD make a submission to the Steering Committee. If yes, when will it be published. 6. Did the Steering Committee make changes to Mr Tune’s draft report before it was finalised. 7. When did the AGD receive a copy of the final report. 8. Has the Tune Review been published. If not, why not. 9. When will the Tune Review be published. 10. When did the Attorney General receive the Tune Review. 11. When will the Government respond to the Review. 12. In Senate Estimates on 3 March 2020, the AGD said that in preparing briefing for the Attorney General they were in “conversations with other departments”. As all Commonwealth departments and agencies have legal obligations regarding record keeping, can you confirm that all agencies have been consulted. If not, which agencies have or will be consulted. 13. Will the Tune Review be publically released before preparations for the 2020-21 Budget are finalised.	Written

Attorney-General's Department	218	Kim Carr	Origin of A4 photocopy paper	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. From which country does the department and its agencies source A4 photo copy paper? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If from multiple countries please provide a breakdown of country of origin in percentage terms. 2. From which country does the Minister's Office source A4 photo copy paper? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If from multiple countries please provide a breakdown of country of origin in percentage terms. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	219	Kim Carr	Thodey Review	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Has the Attorney General and the Attorney General's Department supported the National Archives' proposal for funding from the \$15.1 million announced by the Prime Minister on 13 December 2019 "to commence the delivery of the agreed recommendations" of the (Thodey) Independent Review of the Australian Public Service. 2. If yes, how much and for what activities. 3. If not, why not. 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	220	Kim Carr	Public Service Modernisation Fund	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the Attorney General and the Attorney General's Department support the National Archives' application for funding from the Public Service Modernisation Fund, announced at the 2017-18 Budget, with funding of \$500 million over three years. 2. If yes, for what projects, and for how much? 3. If not, why not. 	Written

National Archives of Australia	221	Kim Carr	Functional and efficiency review	<p>1. What were the Terms of Reference. 2. In the 74 public submissions to the Tune Review what were the common concerns raised.3. Is it correct that in its own submission to the Tune Review the National Archives’ Advisory Council refers to “The perilous budget position of the National Archives”.4. Is the National Archives’ Advisory Council’s submission accurate when it observes that staffing will be 345 by June 2020, down from 412 in 2014-15; and that “to properly perform the functions assigned [...] under the Act ...” the National Archives require a staff of 538 (full time equivalent). 5. Is the National Archive’s Advisory Council’s submission correct when it argues that to be sustainable the National Archives “... will require an increase in its annual appropriation in the order of \$37 million in 2020/21 (totalling \$111 million), rising to ... \$153 million [in 2026-27].” 6. Did the Attorney General’s Department make a submission to the Review. If yes, when will that submission be published.7. Did the Department of Finance make a submission to the Review. If yes, when will that submission be published. 8. How many times did the [Tune] Review Steering Committee meet. Did a senior officer of the National Archives participate in every meeting.9. Did the Steering Committee make changes to Mr Tune’s draft report before it was finalised. 10. When did the NAA receive a final report.11. What are the Tune Review’s recommendations. 12. Does the Tune Review directly address the issues raised on the National Archives’ website commentary on the Tune Review that “For some years now, the National Archives has been facing many challenges as a result of the tightening fiscal environment and growing public demand for our services. Budget and staffing reductions are affecting our capacity to perform our fundamental role of securing, preserving, maintaining and making accessible the authentic and essential records of the decisions and actions of government” https://www.naa.gov.au/about-us/tune-review13. Has the Tune Review been published. If not, why not.14. When will the Tune Review be published.15. When did the Attorney General receive the Tune Review.16. When will the Government respond to the Review.17. Has the National Archives been assured by the Attorney General that the Tune Review will be publically released before preparations for the 2020-21 Budget are finalised.</p>	Written
National Archives of Australia	222	Kim Carr	Implmementation of the Digital Continuity 2020 Policy	<p>1. When did the ANAO report its findings to the National Archives? 2. What were the ANAO’s main findings and recommendations? 3. To what ‘resourcing constraints’ was the National Archives referring in its written response to the ANAO: “The National Archives will review the governance, project and risk management arrangements for the delivery of the policy, including communication and stakeholder engagement to meet audit recommendations, within resourcing constraints.” 4. What actions has the National Archives undertaken to respond to the ANAO’s recommendations and observations? 5. The final targets of the Digital Continuity 2020 Policy are ‘due for implementation by 31 December 2020’. Is the National Archives on track to meet that deadline, with current resources. 6. At Estimates hearings on 3 March 2020, the National Archives Director General, Mr Fricker, agreed that the ANAO report was ‘a scathing report.’ At the same session, the Secretary of</p>	Written

the Attorney-General's Department, Mr Moraitis, observed of the ANAO report "I don't think there was anything problematic." How can those comments from two senior Portfolio officials be reconciled.

National Archives of Australia	223	Kim Carr	Thodey Review	<p>On 13 December 2019, Prime Minister Hon Scott Morrison MP announced funding of \$15.1 million "to commence the delivery of the agreed recommendations" of the (Thodey) Independent Review of the Australian Public Service.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the National Archives seek funding from this initiative. 2. If yes, how much and for what activities. 3. Has the National Archives been successful in its funding proposal. 4. If the national Archives did not submit a proposal, why not. 	Written
National Archives of Australia	224	Kim Carr	Public Service Modernisation Fund	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did the National Archives apply for funding from the Public Service Modernisation Fund, announced at the 2017-18 Budget, with funding of \$500 million over three years. 2. If yes, for what projects, and for how much? 3. Has the National Archives been successful in its funding proposal from this Fund. 4. If the national Archives did not submit a proposal, why not. 	Written

Attorney-General's Department	225	Kim Carr	ALRC report on family law system	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its report on the family law system?2. Noting that the Attorney-General's Department failed to provide a direct response to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-25, on what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its report on the family law system? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question). 3. When will the Government provide a formal response to the ALRC's report on the family law system?4. Which of the ALRC's 60 recommendations regarding the family law system have been implemented in full? Please provide details.5. Which of the ALRC's 60 recommendations regarding the family law system have been implemented in part? Please provide details.6. Which of the ALRC's 60 recommendations regarding the family law system have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	226	Kim Carr	Family law system	<p>1. Does the Australian family law system have adequate resources? 2. Is the Federal Circuit Court adequately resourced? 3. Is the Family Court of Australia adequately resourced?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	227	Kim Carr	Commonwealth Expenditure	<p>1. For each of 2013/14, 2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17, 2018/19, how much money did the Commonwealth spend on each of: a. the Federal Circuit Court; b. the Family Court; and c. more generally, the federal family law system? Please provide a high-level breakdown of that expenditure.</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	228	Kim Carr	Commonwealth budgeted expenditure	<p>1. For each of 2019/20, 2020/21 and 2021/2022, how much money has the Commonwealth budgeted for each of: a. the Federal Circuit Court; b. the Family Court; and c. more generally, the federal family law system? Please provide a high-level breakdown of that budgeted expenditure.</p>	Written

Attorney- General's Department	229	Kim Carr	Family Law Council	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Why did the Government stop appointing people to the Family Law Council in 2016? 2. How much did the Family Law Council cost in each of 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16? 3. As at 4 March 2020, are there any plans to re-establish the Family Law Council? 4. Has the Government ever provided a formal response to either or both of the Family Law Council's Reports on Families with Complex Needs and the Intersection of the Family Law and Child Protection Systems? If so, on what date(s)? 5. In respect of the Family Law Council's Reports on Families with Complex Needs and the Intersection of the Family Law and Child Protection Systems: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made in either or both of those reports? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question). b. How many of the recommendations have been implemented in full? c. How many of the recommendations have been implemented in part? d. How many of the recommendations have not been implemented at all? 	Written
Attorney- General's Department	230	Kim Carr	Legal Aid cases	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of Queensland has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 2. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of NSW has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 3. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of Victoria has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 4. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of South Australia has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 5. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of Tasmania has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 6. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of Western Australia has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 7. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of the Northern Territory has run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme? 8. In total, how many cases have been vacated or delayed because the Legal Aid Office of the ACT has 	Written

run out of money to enable it to provide lawyers for the Commonwealth's family violence and cross-examination scheme?

Attorney- General's Department	231	Kim Carr	Family Violence and cross examination scheme	1. For 2019/20, and as at 1 July 2019, how much had the Commonwealth budgeted for the family violence and cross-examination scheme in total? How was that figure calculated, what assumptions informed the calculation and who was consulted in respect of the formulation of that figure?2. For 2020/21, how much has the Commonwealth budgeted for the family violence and cross-examination scheme in total? How has that figure been calculated, what assumptions informed the calculation and who was consulted in respect of the formulation of that figure?3. For 2019/20, and as at 1 July 2019, how much had the Commonwealth budgeted for the family violence and cross-examination scheme in each of Queensland, NSW, Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania, Western Australia, the Northern Territory and the ACT?4. For 2020/21, how much has the Commonwealth budgeted for the family violence and cross-examination scheme in each of Queensland, NSW, Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania, Western Australia, the Northern Territory and the ACT?5. As at 4 March 2020, how much has the Commonwealth spent on the family violence and cross-examination scheme in total?6. As at 4 March 2020, how much has the Commonwealth spent on the family violence and cross-examination scheme in each of Queensland, NSW, Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania, Western Australia, the Northern Territory and the ACT?7. For 2019/20, and as at 1 July 2019, how many people did the Commonwealth estimate would use the family violence and cross-examination scheme in total?8. For 2019/20, and as at 1 July 2019, how many people did the Commonwealth estimate would use the family violence and cross-examination scheme in each of Queensland, NSW, Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania, Western Australia, the Northern Territory and the ACT?9. As at 4 March 2020, how many people have actually used the Commonwealth estimate would use the family violence and cross-examination scheme in total?10. As at 4 March 2020, how many people have actually used the Commonwealth estimate would use the family violence and cross-examination scheme in each of Queensland, NSW, Victoria, South Australia, Tasmania, Western Australia, the Northern Territory and the ACT?	Written
---	-----	----------	--	--	---------

Attorney-General's Department	232	Kim Carr	Joint Select Committee on Australia's Family Law System	<p>1. Noting that the Attorney-General's Department failed to provide a direct response to Question on Notice LCC-SBE19-73, was the Attorney-General's Department involved in the drafting of the proposed terms of reference for the current inquiry by Joint Select Committee on Australia's Family Law System (for the avoidance of doubt, the answer to this question is either "yes" or "no")? If the answer is "yes":</p> <p>a. What was the nature of the Attorney-General's Department's involvement?</p> <p>b. Who did the Department have contact with in respect of the drafting of those terms of reference?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	233	Kim Carr	Joint Select Committee on Australia's Family Law System	<p>1. When did the Attorney-General's Department first become aware of a proposal or the idea of establishing a Joint Select Committee to look at Australia's family law system? For the avoidance, of doubt, this question is asking for the specific date on which the Department became aware of a proposal or the idea of establishing the Committee (the question is not asking for the date on which the Department became aware that such a Committee had, in fact, been established).</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	234	Kim Carr	Henderson Inquiry	<p>1. What aspects of the family law system did the "Henderson Inquiry" and the Australian Law Reform Commission neglect to consider in their reviews of the family law system? Of those neglected areas, what aspects has the Joint Select Committee been tasked with reviewing?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	235	Kim Carr	Commonwealth Integrity Commission	<p>1. Since 1 December 2018, who has the Attorney-General met / consulted with in respect of the design of the Government's proposed Commonwealth Integrity Commission? Please provide a complete list of external stakeholders the Attorney-General has met with, the dates on which the consultations / meetings took place and who attended those consultations / meetings.</p> <p>2. Since 1 December 2018, who has the Attorney-General's Department met / consulted with in respect of the design of the Government's proposed Commonwealth Integrity Commission? Please provide a complete list of external stakeholders the Department has met with, the dates on which the consultations / meetings took place and who attended those consultations / meetings.</p> <p>3. Since 1 January 2018, on what dates has the Department provided the Attorney-General with advice in respect of a Commonwealth Integrity Commission / National Integrity Commission? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p>	Written

Attorney- General's Department	236	Kim Carr	Response to reviews ALRC report 2017	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Government formally responded to the Australian Law Reform Commission's 2017 report into incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples? If not, when will the Government provide a formal response?</p> <p>2. Has the Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its 2017 report into incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples?</p> <p>3. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its report into incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p> <p>4. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have been implemented in full? Please provide details.</p> <p>5. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have been implemented in part? Please provide details.</p> <p>6. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding incarceration rates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.</p>	Written
Attorney- General's Department	237	Kim Carr	Response to reviews - ALRC 2018 report	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Government formally responded to the Australian Law Reform Commission's 2018 report into litigation funding and class action proceedings? If not, when will the Government provide a formal response?</p> <p>2. Has the Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its 2018 report into litigation funding and class action proceedings?</p> <p>3. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by the Australian Law Reform Commission in its report into litigation funding and class action proceedings? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p> <p>4. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding litigation funding and class action proceedings have been implemented in full? Please provide details.</p> <p>5. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding litigation funding and class action proceedings have been implemented in part? Please provide details.</p> <p>6. Which of the ALRC's recommendations regarding litigation funding and class action proceedings have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.</p>	Written

Attorney- General's Department	238	Kim Carr	Response to reviews - Public Interest Disclosure Act	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Government formally responded to Philip Moss' July 2016 report into the Public Interest Disclosure Act? If not, when will the Government provide a formal response?</p> <p>2. Has the Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by Philip Moss in his report on the Public Interest Disclosure Act?</p> <p>3. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by Philip Moss in his report on the Public Interest Disclosure Act? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p> <p>4. Which of the Philip Moss' recommendations regarding the Public Interest Disclosure Act have been implemented in full? Please provide details.</p> <p>5. Which of the Philip Moss' recommendations regarding the Public Interest Disclosure Act have been implemented in part? Please provide details.</p> <p>6. Which of the Philip Moss' recommendations regarding the Public Interest Disclosure Act have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.</p>	Written
Attorney- General's Department	239	Kim Carr	Response to reviews - PPSA 2009	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Government formally responded to Bruce Whittaker's report in relation to the Personal Property Securities Act 2009? If not, when will the Government provide a formal response?</p> <p>2. Has the Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by Bruce Whittaker in his report on the Personal Property Securities Act 2009?</p> <p>3. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by Bruce Whittaker in his report on the Personal Property Securities Act 2009? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p> <p>4. Which of Bruce Whittaker's recommendations regarding the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 have been implemented in full? Please provide details.</p> <p>5. Which of Bruce Whittaker's recommendations regarding the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 have been implemented in part? Please provide details.</p>	Written

6. Which of Bruce Whittaker's recommendations regarding the Personal Property Securities Act 2009 have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.

Attorney-General's Department	240	Kim Carr	Response to reviews - AAT	<p>1. As at 4 March 2020, has the Government formally responded to Ian Callinan's report on the Administrative Appeals Tribunal? If not, when will the Government provide a formal response?2. Has the Department provided advice to government on the recommendations made by Ian Callinan in his report on the Administrative Appeals Tribunal? 3. On what dates has the Attorney-General's Department provided advice to the government on the recommendations made by Ian Callinan in his report on the Administrative Appeals Tribunal?? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. "on numerous occasions" or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question). 4. Which of Ian Callinan's recommendations regarding the Administrative Appeals Tribunal have been implemented in full? Please provide details.5. Which of Ian Callinan's recommendations regarding the Administrative Appeals Tribunal have been implemented in part? Please provide details.6. Which of Ian Callinan's recommendations regarding the Administrative Appeals Tribunal have not been implemented at all? Please provide details.</p>	Written
--------------------------------------	-----	----------	---------------------------	---	---------

Attorney-General's Department	241	Kim Carr	Completed/on going and current inquiries	<p>1. Please provide a list of all of the completed inquiries, reviews or reports commissioned, or requested, by the Attorney-General or the Attorney-General's Department since 18 September 2013 (excluding inquiries, reviews or reports conducted or prepared by the Department itself). 2. Please provide a list of all of the current / ongoing inquiries or reviews commissioned, or requested, by the Attorney-General or the Attorney-General's Department (excluding inquiries being carried out by the Department itself).</p>	Written
--------------------------------------	-----	----------	--	---	---------

Attorney- General's Department	242	Kim Carr	Privacy Act	<p>1. In March 2019, the Morrison Government promised to increase penalties for breaches of the Privacy Act and give the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner greater powers and resources to investigate and respond to breaches of privacy. As at 4 March 2020, has a draft bill been produced to give effect to this election commitment?</p> <p>2. Since 18 May 2019, has the Department provided advice to government on potential amendments to the Privacy Act to give the OAIC greater powers and resources to investigate and respond to breaches of privacy? If so, on what dates? For the avoidance of doubt, this question is asking for specific dates (i.e. “on numerous occasions” or similarly vague language is not an appropriate answer to this question).</p> <p>3. Who has the Attorney-General consulted with in respect of the proposal to give the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner greater powers and resources to investigate and respond to breaches of privacy? In respect of each external stakeholder who has been consulted:</p> <p>a. When did the consultation(s) take place?</p> <p>b. Who attended the meeting(s)?</p> <p>4. Who has the Attorney-General’s Department consulted with in respect of the proposal to give the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner greater powers and resources to investigate and respond to breaches of privacy? In respect of each external stakeholder who has been consulted:</p> <p>a. When did the consultation(s) take place?</p> <p>b. Who attended the meeting(s)?</p>	Written
---	-----	----------	-------------	---	---------

Attorney-General's Department	243	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide	<p>Can the department provide advice on the powers of the interim National Commissioner and independent review, and the ultimate National Commissioner, consulting with other relevant agencies, as necessary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will they have all of the powers of a Royal Commission? • If not, then what investigative powers will the review and National Commissioner have? • Will the review be a properly appointed administrative inquiry like a Royal Commission? • Will it be able to properly inquire into the root causes of, or contributory factors to, Defence and veteran suicides using the full suite of powers otherwise available to a royal commission? • Will they have the power to compel and cross-examine witnesses? • Will they have the ability to compel production of documents and other evidence? • Will they have the power to conduct open and public hearings, or private hearings, based on its own assessment of their merit? • Will families be able to participate in the review and in hearings in a public way if they choose? • Will it be able to investigate suspected and attempted suicides? • Will it be able to make findings and hold agencies and individuals accountable/responsible for any suicide or attempted cases? • Where there is evidence to prosecute for a criminal offence, will it be able to refer cases to the appropriate authorities, pursue disciplinary proceedings, or pursue charges of official misconduct against any person in relation to cases of suicide or attempted suicide? • Will it be able to make recommendations for compensation? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	244	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide	<p>Can the department advise if the National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide Prevention will have sufficient powers to independently investigate past (including suspected and attempted) suicides, or will the Commissioner's powers be limited to reviewing previous investigations/inquiries only? • Will the National Commissioner have the ability to launch own motion investigations? • Will they have the power to conduct open, public hearings? • Will they have the ability to investigate every (including suspected and attempted) defence and veteran suicide – or will they rely on state/territory coroners referring incidents to them? • In the case of Defence suicides, will they work with the Inspector-General of the ADF, or rely on it to refer incidents? How will this work? • Will they consider previous reviews and inquiries, such as the DLA Piper review into abuse in the ADF (which recommended a Royal Commission)? • How will they be forward-looking and address systemic issues around mental health and suicide? • Will the National Commissioner's annual reporting function to Parliament be legislated?</p>	Written
Attorney-General's Department	245	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran	<p>Can the department advise what the Terms of Reference applicable to the National Commissioner will be with regard to his/her "ongoing investigative function of individual cases of suicide"? Will they be sufficiently broad and wide-ranging to establish the likely causes of suicide?</p>	Written

Suicide

Attorney-General's Department	246	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide	<p>Can the department advise on the governance or institutional arrangements for the National Commissioner?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will it be an executive agency like the National Mental Health Commission? • What other arrangements will be in place, e.g. will there be one or multiple commissioners, a Chair, Board, CEO? • Does the Government have any particular skill sets or experience in mind for the Commissioner and agency? • Will the Commissioner require staff or a secretariat? If so, what kind of skills and experience will be sought? • How many staff or FTE is the Commission expected to have? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	247	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide	<p>Can the department advise on the timing of the enabling legislation for the National Commissioner?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is legislation planned for the winter sittings of Parliament? • When will a draft Bill be released? • Will the Government consult widely on the legislation and allow sufficient time for this? 	Written
Attorney-General's Department	248	Kimberley Kitching	National Commissioner for Defence and Veteran Suicide	<p>The National Commissioner will include an ongoing investigative function, working with state and territory coroners and this will need to be agreed through the Council of Australian Governments (COAG). We note this is on the agenda at the next COAG meeting on 13 March 2020 and the Minister has written and spoken to state and territory counterparts. Can the department advise what other consultation and agreement with states and territories will need to occur?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Precisely what functions or powers of the National Commissioner will require agreement with the states and territories? How will this work? • Would the Commissioner's coronial functions be located in one of the states or territories? • If so, has the Government considered in which jurisdiction this might sit and what other complementary services to support restorative justice might be required? • Will these agreements with the states and territories require financial agreements? • Will these matters be discussed at the next Veterans Ministerial Council? If so, when is that scheduled? • What other COAG committees or ministerial councils will be involved? Given the National Commissioner will come under the Attorney-General's portfolio, will it be referred to the Council of Attorneys-General (CAG)? 	Written

- What is the expected timeframe for these agreements? When does the department expect all necessary agreements with the states and territories to be finalised so the Commissioner can commence work in full?
- Have there been any other discussions with states and territories to date?
- If so, what has been the response?

Australian Human Rights Commission	249	Sarah Henderson	Universal Periodic Review	<p>Senator HENDERSON: I do appreciate the opportunity to ask questions. I just reiterate how important it is that all of us ask questions with respect. I want to move to the important work of the Australian Human Rights Commission. Professor, I'm very keen to ask about the Universal Periodic Review and the Human Rights Commission's role in that process.</p> <p>Prof. Croucher: We have briefings that we organise with the department and the Attorney-General's Department in preparation. We assist in the NGO sector as well, in terms of providing opportunities for consultation and briefings. Our work runs parallel. The government reports—we provide a report of our own as well. It's a very intense process that takes place over the year. We can provide details of the specific meetings that have been arranged. May I take that particular issue by way of feedback on notice to you, please?</p> <p>Senator HENDERSON: Of course.</p>	Hansard	77
Attorney-General's Department	250	Kim Carr	ANAO Report into the National Archives of Australia	<p>Senator KIM CARR: Mr Secretary, does the department take that matter into consideration when it's examining the budgetary situation at the Archives?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: We are aware of the audit because we were one of the agencies that was part of that process. We have been engaged with the ANAO in that space.</p> <p>Senator KIM CARR: How would you describe that ANAO report received on 31 October?</p> <p>Mr Moraitis: I can't recall what our response was to that report. I'll have to take that on notice. I don't think there was anything problematic.</p>	Hansard	136