Mr Ben Davies

Pursuant to Resolution 5(7)(b) of the Senate of 25 February 1988

Reply to comments in the 2019-20 Budget Estimates hearings of the Senate Legislation Committee on Education and Employment (5 April 2019)

- 1. On 5 April 2019 in the 2019-20 Budget Estimates hearings of the Senate Legislation Committee on Education and Employment, I was mentioned adversely by name in the course of the Committee's public deliberations.
- 2. During this hearing, Senator Watt made the following statements in which I was mentioned by name:

Senator WATT: Just remind me: did Mr Davies resign because he was involved in this leak as well?

•••

Senator WATT: So, even though we've got evidence in court from two of your former staff that they conspired with another minister's office to leak confidential police information about a raid

- 3. It is not the case that I 'resigned' from my previous employment as the chief of staff to Senator Michaelia Cash. In fact, my employment terminated by virtue of the operation of section 16(3) of the *Members of Parliament (Staff) Act 1984*, which relevantly provides that the employment of any ministerial staff member terminates upon their Minister ceasing to hold a particular portfolio, as occurred in this case. My employment terminated by virtue of this provision on 14 February 2018.
- 4. These facts were previously provided to the Committee by Senator Cash during an Additional Estimates hearing on 20 February 2019, at which Senator Watt was present, in following terms:

Senator Cash: Again, there is so much in that statement that is just political rhetoric by Senator Cameron. I think my evidence was very clear back in October 2017. My former media adviser provided his resignation to me that night. My former chief of staff actually left as a result of me getting a new portfolio which encompassed two departments, not one, those being the jobs portfolio and the innovation, industry and science portfolio, which was Senator Sinodinos' former portfolio. So there was a change in responsibilities.

- 5. Any suggestion that I 'resigned', let alone for the reasons Senator Watt asserted, is false, and demonstrably false.
- 6. The assertion by Senator Watt that I was '*involved in this leak*' is also false. I have not engaged in any such leak, whether authorised or otherwise, to any member of the media. The assertion that I have is completely false, defamatory and without foundation.

- 7. Furthermore, I have never '*conspired with another minister*'s office to leak *confidential police information*', let alone given evidence in court that I had done so. Other than the allegation by Senator Watt under cover of privilege, I am not aware of any suggestion by any other person that I have done so.
- 8. Later on during the course of this hearing, the following exchange also took place in which Senator Watt made further false statements relating to me:

Senator WATT: But how can you be confident that any of your current staff comply with the law, given that you've got a track record of employing people who are willing to break the law for political gain?

Senator Cash: Well, there was no finding in relation to the breaking of the law—

CHAIR: Senator Watt, that is a statement that is completely without justification.

Senator Cash: In fact, there was no finding in relation to this case.

Senator WATT: They've admitted it. Mr De Garis admitted it to you when he resigned. They both admitted it in court.

•••

Senator PATERSON: Perhaps I could make an observation. This is a pattern of behaviour from Labor senators of accusing people, under privilege, of breaking the law.

Senator WATT: Well, they've admitted it in court.

- 9. The suggestion that I have broken the law, or am 'willing to break the law' is completely false, defamatory and without foundation. I completely repudiate that I have broken any laws as asserted by Senator Watt.
- 10. Furthermore, the assertion that I have 'admitted in court' to either breaking the law or being willing to do so is not only completely false but demonstrably false. I have never made any such statement, either in a court or anywhere else.
- 11. It is an extremely serious matter to break criminal laws. It is equally serious to falsely allege that a person has done so, to falsely assert that they are willing to do so, or to falsely assert that they have admitted to doing so. I am not aware of such allegations having been made by anyone other than by Senator Watt under the cover of privilege.
- 12. Given that the comments made in the Senate Legislation Committee on Education and Employment on 5 April under cover of privilege by Senator Watt directly led to injury to my reputation, were highly defamatory and demonstrably false, I seek this opportunity to provide the ascertainable facts and correct the Senate record.

Ben Davies 1 May 2019