



AUSTRALIAN SENATE

STANDING COMMITTEE ON RURAL AND REGIONAL AFFAIRS AND TRANSPORT

REFERENCES COMMITTEE

21 July 2015

Senator the Hon Stephen Parry
President of the Senate
Parliament House
Canberra ACT 2600

Dear Mr President

Pursuant to Senate Standing Order 38(7), I present to you an erratum to the report of the Rural and Regional Affairs References Committee for its inquiry into current and future arrangements for the marketing of Australian sugar, tabled 24 June 2015.

Yours sincerely

Senator Glenn Sterle
Chair

Inquiry into current and future arrangements for the marketing of Australian sugar

ERRATUM

Replace paragraphs 2.10–2.11 as follows:

2.10 Under this arrangement, growers were considered to have a 'two thirds economic interest' in the raw sugar produced. This approach was reflected in what became a recognised formula for determining the cane price:

Price of cane = Price of sugar x (90/100) x (CCS – 4)/100 + 0.328, where CCS is a measure of the amount of recoverable sugar in the cane, and 0.328 is a constant measure.¹

2.11 By 1994, the constant measure 0.328 had increased to 0.57.8, that is, 58.7 cents, to adjust the formula for 1990s industry production values.²

¹ *Australian Canegrower*, 9 June 2014, p. 7, www.CANEGROWERS.com.au/icms_docs/205070_australian_canegrower_2014-06-09.pdf (accessed 17 April 2015) and Clive Hildebrand, *Independent Assessment of the Sugar Industry*, 2002, Appendix A.

² Clive Hildebrand, *Independent Assessment of the Sugar Industry*, 2002, Appendix A.