The Senate

Economics Legislation Committee

Tax and Superannuation Laws Amendment (2014 Measures No. 6) Bill 2014 [Provisions]

November 2014

© Commonwealth of Australia 2014

ISBN 978-1-76010-128-2

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 Australia License.



The details of this licence are available on the Creative Commons website: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/au/

Printed by the Senate Printing Unit, Parliament House, Canberra.

## **Senate Economics Legislation Committee**

#### Members

Senator Sean Edwards (Chair) Senator Sam Dastyari (Deputy Chair) Senator David Bushby Senator Matthew Canavan Senator Chris Ketter Senator Nick Xenophon South Australia, LP New South Wales, ALP Tasmania, LP Queensland, LNP Queensland, ALP South Australia, IND

#### Senators participating in this inquiry

Senator Christine Milne

Tasmania, AG

#### Secretariat

Dr Kathleen Dermody, Secretary Mr Tim Hillman, Administrative Officer Ms Morana Kavgic, Administrative Officer

PO Box 6100 Parliament House Canberra ACT 2600 Ph: 02 6277 3540 Fax: 02 6277 5719 E-mail: economics.sen@aph.gov.au Internet: www.aph.gov.au/senate\_economics

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Membership of Committee	iii
Chapter 1: Introduction and overview of the bill	1
Conduct of the inquiry	2
Structure of report	2
Overview	2
Consideration of aspects of the bill by other committees	6
Chapter 2: Key Issues	9
Schedule 1—roll-overs for business restructures	9
Schedule 3—income tax exemption for Force Posture Initiatives	10
Schedules 4 and 5—fuel tax credits and grants	10
Dissenting Report from the Australian Greens	
Appendix: Submissions received	17

## Chapter 1

## Introduction and overview of the bill

1.1 On 30 October 2014, the Senate referred the provisions of the Tax and Superannuation Laws Amendment (2014 Measures No. 6) Bill 2014 (the bill) to the Senate Economics Legislation Committee for inquiry and report by 25 November 2014.

1.2 The bill, which contains five schedules, proposes to amend the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1997* (ITAA 1997), the *Taxation Administration Act 1953*, the *Fuel Tax Act 2006*, and the *Energy Grants (Cleaner Fuels) Scheme Regulations 2004* to:

- permit taxpayers to apply existing business restructure roll-overs when they hold relevant shares, revenue assets or trading stock (schedule 1);
- allow foreign pension funds access to the managed investment trust (MIT) withholding tax regime (schedule 2);
- provide an exemption from Australian tax on income derived by entities engaged by the Government of the United States of America (US) in connection with Force Posture Initiatives (schedule 3); and
- enable fuel tax credit and grant claimants to claim the higher rate of fuel tax credits as a result of the *Excise Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014* and the *Customs Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014* tabled in the House of Representatives (schedules 4 and 5).<sup>1</sup>

1.3 The Selection of Bills Committee stated that the bill was referred to the Economics Legislation Committee to:

- consider the appropriate rate of indexation for fuel and ensure fuel tax credit provisions operate efficiently as do grants calculated by reference to duty rates; and
- ensure that amendments have been effectively designed to remove tax impediments to certain business restructures, provide certainty for foreign pension fund investments in Australian managed investment trusts and implement tax aspects of the Force Posture Agreement with the US.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Tax and Superannuation Laws Amendment (2014 Measures No. 6) Bill 2014*, Explanatory Memorandum, pp. 3–6.

<sup>2</sup> Selection of Bills Committee, *Report No. 14 of 2014*, October 2014, Appendix 7.

## **Conduct of the inquiry**

1.4 The committee advertised the inquiry on its website and wrote to relevant stakeholders and other interested parties inviting submissions. The committee received 6 submissions, which are listed in the appendix. The committee did not hold a public hearing for this inquiry.

1.5 The committee thanks all of the individuals and organisations that contributed to this inquiry.

## **Structure of report**

- 1.6 This report comprises two chapters:
- Chapter 1 provides an overview of the bill and detail about the consideration of the bill by other parliamentary committees; and
- Chapter 2 discusses the issues and concerns about the bill that have been raised in public submissions received by the committee.

## Overview

## The schedules of the bill

Schedule 1—roll-overs for business restructures

1.7 Schedule 1 proposes to amend the ITAA 1997 to extend the existing business restructure roll-overs available where a member of a company or unit trust can defer the income tax consequences of transactions that occur in the course of a business restructure.<sup>3</sup>

1.8 In his second reading speech, the Hon Stephen Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, stated:

This bill will make it easier for firms to restructure by extending the business restructure rollover provisions. In the usual course of growing a business, a firm may reach a point where it needs to restructure...this could result in an income tax liability for the owners of the firm, even though no real change in ownership will take place.<sup>4</sup>

1.9 These amendments permit taxpayers to apply the roll-overs in circumstances where they hold the relevant share or units as revenue or trading stock. The separate but effectively identical business restructure roll-overs for shares and units in a unit trust are also consolidated into a single set of provisions in these amendments.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 3.

<sup>4</sup> The Hon Steven Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, Second Reading Speech, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 30 October 2014, p. 10.

<sup>5</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 3.

- 1.10 The schedule also proposes to amend the ITAA 1997 to:
- allow roll-overs for trusts transferring all their assets to a trust or company to apply where the new trust or company holds rights needed to facilitate the transfer;
- address a technical defect in the operation of the business restructure rollovers in relation to revenue assets; and
- clarify that the business restructure roll-overs only apply where the new asset has the same character, as a revenue asset or trading stock, as the original asset.<sup>6</sup>

1.11 The amendments extending the business restructure roll-overs in relation to revenue assets and trading stock have effect from 8 May 2012 for shares and 10 May 2012 for unitholders in a unit trust who exchange their units for shares in a company. The technical amendment to the provisions in the business restructure for revenue assets also applies from 8 May 2012, as do the amendments introducing the same character rules for assets acquired in a business restructure.<sup>7</sup>

1.12 The amendments relating to roll-overs where trusts transfer assets apply to transfers after 1 November 2008 for transfers between trusts and from 10 May 2011 from trusts for companies.<sup>8</sup>

1.13 The technical amendments to the revenue asset roll-overs and to certain capital gains tax trust restructure roll-overs were announced in the 2011–12 Budget.<sup>9</sup> The amendments providing revenue asset and trading stock roll-overs where interest holders exchange their units in a trust for shares in a company were announced as part of the 2011–12 Mid-Year Economic Fiscal Outlook. The amendments broadening the existing revenue asset and trading stock roll-overs that apply where interest holders exchange their shares in a company for shares in another company were announced in the 2012–13 Budget.

1.14 On 14 December 2013, the Assistant Treasurer announced that the government intended to proceed with all the components in this measure.<sup>10</sup>

- 7 Explanatory Memorandum, pp. 3–4.
- 8 Explanatory Memorandum, p. 3.
- 9 Explanatory Memorandum, p. 4.

<sup>6</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 3.

<sup>10</sup> Senator the Hon Arthur Sinodinos AO, Assistant Treasurer of the Commonwealth of Australia, 'Integrity restored to Australia's taxation system', Media Release, 14 December 2013.

Schedule 2—managed investment trust withholding regime for foreign pension funds

1.15 Schedule 2 of the bill proposes to amend the ITAA 1997 and the *Taxation Administration Act 1953* to ensure that pension funds can access the MIT withholding tax regime, applying to income years commencing on or after 1 July 2008.<sup>11</sup>

1.16 This measure was signalled by the Treasurer and the Assistant Treasurer on 6 November 2013, with the announcement of a new tax regime for managed investment trusts. The Assistant Treasurer stated that:

The new tax regime for Managed Investment Trusts...reaffirms our commitment to growing Australia's financial services industry by making them more attractive in foreign markets. It will establish MITs in their own right with a transparent framework, aimed at driving demand.<sup>12</sup>

1.17 The Hon Stephen Ciobo MP informed the House of Representatives that the MIT withholding tax regime did not currently apply to payments made to a trust without 'presently entitled beneficiaries', causing a payment from a managed investment trust to a foreign pension fund to be taxed at the highest marginal tax rate. Mr Ciobo continued by stating that:

The amendments in this bill mean that foreign pension funds will be treated as the final beneficiary of a fund payment and will have access to the concessional managed investment trust withholding tax.<sup>13</sup>

#### Schedule 3—income tax exemption for Force Posture Initiatives

1.18 Schedule 3 of the bill proposes to amend the *Income Tax Assessment Act 1936* to provide an exemption from Australian tax on income derived by certain entities involved with the Force Posture Initiatives in Australia, with the exemption taking effect from the 2014–15 income year and later years.<sup>14</sup>

1.19 The Force Posture Agreement (the Agreement), first announced in 2011, was signed by the Government of Australia and the Government of the US on 12 August 2014, and provides a legal, policy and financial framework to govern the Force Posture Initiatives in Australia.<sup>15</sup> The Department of Defence informed the committee that:

<sup>11</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, pp 4–5.

<sup>12</sup> The Hon Joe Hockey, MP, Treasures of the Commonwealth of Australia, with Senator the Hon. Arthur Sinodinos AO, Assistant Treasurer of the Commonwealth of Australia, 'Restoring integrity in the Australian tax system', Joint Media Release No. 017, 6 November 2013.

<sup>13</sup> The Hon Steven Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, Second Reading Speech, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 30 October 2014, p. 10.

<sup>14</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 5.

<sup>15</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 37.

First announced in 2011 by then-Prime Minister Gillard and US President Obama, the US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia currently involve annual rotational US Marine Corps (USMC) deployments and enhanced aircraft cooperation activities with the US Air Force (USAF) in northern Australia. The USMC rotations occur for around six months at a time during the northern dry season. This year's rotation comprised around 1150 personnel, with the size of the rotations to increase in the coming years to around 2500 personnel, equipment and aircraft. The enhanced aircraft

cooperation initiative involves an extension of long standing bilateral activities, building on USAF visits for exercising and training. The US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia are an important new element of the Australia US alliance and are an expression of Australia's support for a strong US presence in the Asia-Pacific.<sup>16</sup>
The Agreement provides a legal, policy and financial framework to govern US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia and contains important protections and

1.20 The Agreement provides a legal, policy and financial framework to govern the US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia and contains important protections and assurances for both countries. Relevant to the committee's inquiry, the Agreement includes an exemption from Australian tax for Australian source income derived by US contractors in connection with the initiatives in Australia, providing that the relevant income is taxable in the US. According to the Department of Defence, the amendment is intended to avoid a situation where persons or companies doing work exclusively for the US Government in Australia under contract to the US Government for the purposes of the US Force Posture Initiatives are not taxed in both jurisdictions.<sup>17</sup> This schedule incorporates the necessary amendments to the tax law to legislate this portion of the agreement.<sup>18</sup>

### Schedule 4 and 5—fuel tax credits and grants

1.21 Schedules 4 and 5 propose to amend the *Fuel Tax Act 2006* and the *Energy Grants (Cleaner Fuels) Scheme Regulations 2004.* The schedules are intended to ensure that changes to the amount of excise and excise-equivalent customs duty payable by taxpayers as a result of any tariff proposals tabled in the House of Representatives are taken into account in calculating fuel tax credits and the cleaner fuels grant for biodiesel and renewable diesel. This measure includes the higher rate of fuel tax credits and grant amounts contained in the Excise Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014 and the Customs Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014.<sup>19</sup>

<sup>16</sup> *Submission 5*, p. [1].

<sup>17</sup> *Submission 5,* p. [2].

<sup>18</sup> The Hon Steven Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, Second Reading Speech, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 30 October 2014, p. 11.

<sup>19</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 43.

1.22 These amendments also make subsequent changes to the fuel tax credit attribution rules consistent with the introduction of fuel indexation under the Excise Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014 and the Customs Tariff Proposal (No. 1) 2014.<sup>20</sup>

1.23 The amendments will apply generally from 10 November 2014, with the consequential change to the attribution rules applying from 1 July 2014.<sup>21</sup>

1.24 The government announced the reintroduction of the indexation of fuel duty excise in the 2014–15 Budget.<sup>22</sup> The changes to the fuel tax credit attribution rules were included in the Fuel Indexation (Road Funding) Bill 2014 that was introduced to Parliament on 19 June 2014. The amendments to take into account the effect of the tariff proposals in determining fuel tax credits and grants have not previously been announced.<sup>23</sup>

1.25 The proposed changes in this bill will allow businesses who claim fuel tax credits or grants under the Cleaner Fuels Grants Scheme to receive the appropriate credit or grant when a tariff proposal increases the rate of fuel duty being collected. Mr Ciobo stated that:

...these amendments will ensure businesses will be able to receive fuel tax credits equal to the rate of fuel tax duty specified in the tariff proposal straight away. This will save business from having to claim extra fuel tax credits at a later date and avoid any negative cash flow consequences that result from the use of tariff proposals.<sup>24</sup>

### Consideration of aspects of the bill by other committees

#### Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights

1.26 One of the functions of the Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights (PJCHR) is to examine bills for compatibility with human rights and to report to both Houses of the Parliament on that issue.<sup>25</sup> The PJCHR considered that the bill was 'compatible with human rights'.<sup>26</sup>

23 Explanatory Memorandum, p. 6.

<sup>20</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 43.

<sup>21</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 6.

<sup>22</sup> The Hon Steven Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, Second Reading Speech, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 30 October 2014, p. 11.

<sup>24</sup> The Hon Steven Ciobo MP, Parliamentary Secretary to the Treasurer, Second Reading Speech, *House of Representatives Hansard*, 30 October 2014, p. 11.

<sup>25</sup> Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011, s. 7(a).

<sup>26</sup> Parliamentary Joint Committee on Human Rights, *Examination of legislation in accordance* with the Human Rights (Parliamentary Scrutiny) Act 2011: Bills introduced 20 – 30 October 2014, Legislative Instruments received 20 September – 10 October 2014: Fifteenth Report of the 44<sup>th</sup> Parliament, 14 November 2014, p. 9.

#### Joint Standing Committee on Treaties

1.27 The Joint Standing Committee on Treaties (JSCOT) was appointed to inquire and report on matters arising from treaties or any question relating to a treaty or other international instrument.<sup>27</sup> The Force Posture Agreement was tabled on 26 August 2014 and was to be considered by JSCOT. Defence informed the committee that JSCOT held a public hearing on the Agreement on 22 September 2014, with JSCOT due to report on the Agreement by 26 November 2014.

1.28 The Department of Defence noted that, given the important protections and assurances provided by the Agreement, it was 'strongly in Australia's national interest for the Agreement to enter into force as soon as is practicable and well ahead of the arrival of next year's rotation of US Marines (which is expected to occur around March–April 2015)'. It noted that a timely consideration of Schedule 3 of the bill by the Parliament was desirable. According to the Department of Defence, on 12 August 2014, in order to expedite the Agreement coming into force, the Minister for Defence wrote to the Chair of JSCOT, Mr Wyatt Roy MP. The minister was seeking JSCOT's concurrence that 'the proposed amendment to the Act arising from the Agreement could be introduced into Parliament during the period in which JSCOT was likely to be considering the Agreement'. On 26 August 2014, Mr Roy advised the minister that JSCOT had agreed to this request.<sup>28</sup>

<sup>27</sup> Joint Standing Committee on Treaties, resolution of appointment.

<sup>28</sup> *Submission 5*, p. [2].

# Chapter 2

## **Key Issues**

2.1 As noted in chapter 1, the bill contains five schedules that propose to amend various taxation laws to:

- permit taxpayers to apply existing business restructure roll-overs when they hold relevant shares, revenue assets or trading stock (schedule 1);
- allow foreign pension funds access to the managed investment trust (MIT) withholding tax regime (schedule 2);
- provide an exemption from Australian tax on income derived by entities engaged by the Government of the United States of America in connection with Force Posture Initiatives (schedule 3); and
- enable fuel tax credit and grant claimants to claim the higher rate of fuel tax credits (schedules 4 and 5).

2.2 This chapter examines discrete sections of schedules 1, 3, 4 and 5 to the bill on which the committee received evidence. The committee did not receive evidence on schedule 2.

## Schedule 1—roll-overs for business restructures

## Stakeholder views on schedule 1

2.3 Pitcher Partners, an accountancy firm, submitted that the relation between subsection 615-30(3) and 615-30(4) is unclear. They interpreted subsection 615-30(3) to require an interposed company to take 'positive action' to make a choice within specified deadlines, for example by preparing a document as evidence that a choice was made. However, subsection 615-30(4) states that the manner in which an interposed company prepares its income tax return is considered sufficient evidence of the making of a choice.<sup>1</sup>

2.4 It was suggested that the ambiguity in the subsections arises because the means by which a 'choice' is evidenced is not made clear. They asked, 'In particular, does subsection 615-30(4) mean that the way a company prepares its tax return is evidence that the choice was made *within the required deadlines*?'<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Pitcher Partners, *Submission 4*, p. 1.

<sup>2</sup> Pitcher Partners, *Submission 4*, p. 1. [emphasis added]

#### Committee views on schedule 1

2.5 The committee notes the concerns raised by Pitcher Partners and would like to draw the issues raised in the evidence to the attention of the government for clarification.

### Schedule 3—income tax exemption for Force Posture Initiatives

#### Stakeholder views on schedule 3

2.6 In its submission, the Department of Defence informed the committee that in order for Australia to fulfil its obligations under the Force Posture Agreement, and to allow for its eventual entry into service, 'an amendment to the Act is required as set out in schedule 3'. As noted in the previous chapter, the proposed amendment:

...would ensure that income derived by a person or company (other than a company incorporated in Australia)—as a result of work done exclusively for the US Government in Australia under contract to the US Government for the purposes of the US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia—is not taxed in Australia; provided that income is taxed in the US.<sup>3</sup>

2.7 The intention of the amendment is to avoid a situation whereby such persons or companies would be taxed in both jurisdictions. The Department of Defence made clear that the proposed tax exemption on income 'derived by certain entities engaged by the US Government would only extend to those specific entities performing duties directly connected with the US Force Posture Initiatives in Australia'.<sup>4</sup>

#### Committee view on schedule 3

2.8 The committee understands the principle of fairness that underpins schedule 3 and the importance of its passage through the parliament.

### Schedules 4 and 5—fuel tax credits and grants

#### Stakeholder views on schedules 4 and 5

2.9 In their submissions the Minerals Council of Australia (MCA), the Australian Trucking Association (ATA) and the Australian Institute of Petroleum (AIP) expressed the view that this schedule would ensure that the increase in fuel excise as a result of indexation was fully offset by fuel tax credits and the cleaner fuels grants for eligible business uses.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup> *Submission* 5, p. [2].

<sup>4</sup> *Submission 5*, p. [2].

<sup>5</sup> See the Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*; Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2;* Australian Institute of Petroleum, *Submission 3*.

2.10 The MCA stated that it considered the passage of this bill to be timely. The MCA added:

Aligning fuel tax credits (FTCs) with the increased rate of fuel excise will ensure that the FTC scheme continues to meet its policy objective to remove excise from a key business input and remove community electricity generation.<sup>6</sup>

2.11 The ATA explained that this schedule was particularly important to the trucking industry:

The concept underlying the fuel tax credits system is that trucking business should be able to claim back the full amount of tax they paid on their fuel purchases, minus a road user charge to recover the cost of the industry's impact on the road network.<sup>7</sup>

2.12 The ATA also stated in its submission that the fuel tax credit system was based on the policy principle that intermediate business inputs, including fuel, should only be taxed to correct externalities such as the use of roadways by the trucking industry.<sup>8</sup>

2.13 The AIP emphasised its support for the policy principles of the fuel tax credit system due to the relief it delivers from the burden of excise being provided for business inputs to production.<sup>9</sup> The AIP argued that:

...any excise (or excise-equivalent customs duty) levied on liquid or gaseous fuel used for non-transport purposes should be eligible for a Fuel Tax Credit (FTC) and that business can claim FTCs for eligible transport purposes.<sup>10</sup>

#### Mechanism to remove excise

2.14 The MCA highlighted that the FTCs do not consist of a subsidy, but rather consist of a 'mechanism to reduce or remove the incidence of excise or duty levied on the fuel used by business off road or in heavy on-road vehicles'.<sup>11</sup> The MCA added that FTCs were in place to ensure that there was not an incidence of 'double taxation'.<sup>12</sup>

<sup>6</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 3.

<sup>8</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 3.

<sup>9</sup> Australian Institute of Petroleum, *Submission 3*, p. 2.

<sup>10</sup> Australian Institute of Petroleum, *Submission 3*, p. 2.

<sup>11</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 2.

<sup>12</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 2.

2.15 The AIP argued that FTCs have a direct impact on any industries that use fuel extensively and the concept was similar to input tax credit for GST paid on business inputs:

If indirect taxes paid by producers are not credited or rebated, it distorts relative prices and therefore production and consumption decisions and patterns due to the uneven incidence of effective tax rates, particularly for industries that use fuel more intensively.<sup>13</sup>

2.16 In this way, the reduction of the excise helps to keep Australian export industries competitive with countries that do not levy taxes on fuels in areas such as mining or agriculture.<sup>14</sup>

#### Importance of FTCs for regional Australia

2.17 In its submission, the MCA explained that FTCs were essential for regional businesses, due to their distance from the electricity grid, road networks and characteristic of industries that use heavy equipment, such as large vehicles, rail and mining equipment. In addition, many regional businesses operate on private roads, rather than public roads, while requiring diesel generators for electricity.<sup>15</sup> This includes the agriculture, forestry, fishing, manufacturing, accommodation and construction industries, among others.

2.18 The MCA stated that of the claims for FTCs in 2012–13 the 'largest single share was by agriculture, forestry and fishing operations...45 per cent of total claims' while mining accounts for 'the largest share of claims by value (39 per cent)'.<sup>16</sup>

2.19 Similarly, the National Farmers' Federation highlighted the importance of FTCs to agriculture, forestry and fishing operations. It argued that:

Taxing fuel, a key business input, would introduce a distortion to Australia's tax system to the disadvantage of industries reliant on diesel fuel. The impact of such a tax would be particularly harmful to Australia's economy because it would impose an unrecoverable cost on some of Australia's largest export industries including agriculture.<sup>17</sup>

2.20 The National Farmers' Federation supported the timely passage of schedule 4, arguing that aligning FTCs with the increased rate of fuel excise would 'ensure that the FTC scheme continues to meet its policy objective to remove excise from a key business input and remote community electricity generation'.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>13</sup> Australian Institute of Petroleum, *Submission 3*, p. 2.

<sup>14</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 4.

<sup>15</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 3.

<sup>16</sup> Minerals Council of Australia, *Submission 1*, p. 3.

<sup>17</sup> Submission 6, p. [3].

<sup>18</sup> Submission 6, p. [1].

Tariff proposals not ratified

2.21 The Explanatory Memorandum identified a problem should legislation to ratify the tariff proposals not come into force before the close of the session of Parliament in which it was moved or the expiration of 12 months after the tariff proposal was moved in the House of Representatives. It noted that the effect of the tariff proposal ceases to be taken to have had the effect of amending the *Excise Act 1901* and the *Excise Tariff Act 1921* or the *Customs Act 1901* and the *Customs Tariff Act 1995*, so as to alter the amount of fuel tax credit entitlement.<sup>19</sup>

2.22 In such an event, item 4 of Schedule 4 prescribes that fuel tax credit claimants will have a 'fuel tax adjustment' under section 44-5 of the *Fuel Tax Act 2006*. This amount would consist of the difference between the amount of fuel tax credits claimed and the amount that is claimable following the expiration of 12 months after the tariff proposal was moved, or the close of the session of Parliament.<sup>20</sup>

2.23 The ATA commented on this particular section of the bill, noting that without it, small fleet operators and owner-drivers would have to bear the cost burden of underpayments as a consequence of the increased fuel tax rate. The ATA stated that the 'new section would ensure that tariff proposals are taken into account in working out the amount of fuel tax credits that businesses can claim'.<sup>21</sup>

2.24 However, in the event that Parliament is unable to ratify the tariff proposals used for calculating a business' fuel tax credits before 20 October 2015, businesses would be required to refund the additional fuel tax credits received as a result of this bill. The ATA continued by stating that 'requiring operators to pay back their extra fuel tax credits would only make sense if they could also claim back the extra fuel they paid'. This would not be the case, as the money would be refunded to fuel manufacturers and fuel importers.<sup>22</sup>

### Claims through the business activity statement

2.25 In its submission, the ATA raised an issue with the fuel tax credits that would be claimed through quarterly business activity statements (BAS). Currently, businesses will be required to calculate their fuel tax credits based on the tax rate that applied on the first day of the tax period to which the credit is attributable for fuel acquired, manufactured or imported from 1 July 2015. While this will have no effect on monthly BAS payers,<sup>23</sup> quarterly BAS payers are unable to claim the fuel tax

<sup>19</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 47.

<sup>20</sup> Explanatory Memorandum, p. 47.

<sup>21</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 5.

<sup>22</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 7.

<sup>23</sup> The tariff proposals index the fuel rate on 1 February and 1 August. See *Excise Tariff Proposal* (*No. 1*) and *Customs Tariff Proposal* (*No. 1*) 2014.

credits until two months after indexation (first day of April and October, rather than 1 February and 1 August).<sup>24</sup>

2.26 According to the ATA, schedule 4 would remove the 1 July 2015 change over, resulting in businesses being able to continue to calculate their fuel tax credits based on the fuel tax rate applying on the day that the fuel was acquired or imported, taking effect for tax periods starting on or after 1 July 2014.<sup>25</sup>

### Committee view on schedules 4 and 5

2.27 The committee notes that these schedules remove the incidence of fuel duty for business activities arising from the implementation of the fuel excise indexation budget measure. The committee acknowledges, and draws the government's attention to, the concerns that the submissions have raised with schedule 4 relating to the fuel tax adjustment.

2.28 The committee reaffirms the importance of saving business from having to claim extra fuel tax credits at a later date, while avoiding any negative cash flow consequences that result from the use of tariff proposals.

## **Recommendation 1**

2.29 The committee recommends that the Senate pass the bill.

Senator Sean Edwards Chair

<sup>24</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 6.

<sup>25</sup> Australian Trucking Association, *Submission 2*, p. 6.

## **Dissenting Report from the Australian Greens**

1.1 The Australian Greens do not support Schedule 4 of the Tax and Superannuation Laws Amendment (2014 Measures No.6) Bill 2014 as it currently stands because it reinforces the government's budget priorities of benefiting the wealthiest in our society while imposing burdens on those least able to absorb them.

1.2 In line with the Greens' policy, farming businesses that are eligible for the Fuel Tax Credit should see those credits rise in line with movements in fuel excise. However, this Schedule would compensate multibillion dollar mining companies for all their expenditure on fuel excise and cost the budget around \$720 million over the forward estimates.

1.3 This \$720 million comes on top of the \$1.5 billion gifted to the mining industry from the carbon price repeal which removed the carbon charge of around 6 cents a litre that mining companies had to pay for their fuel. Now mining companies pay no excise at all for their fuel.

1.4 With the government subverting the will of the Parliament through tabling the *Customs Tariff Proposal (No.1) 2014*, re-indexation commenced on 10 November 2014. This schedule is necessary for the super profits of mining companies to be unaffected by the growing difference between indexation and the previously fixed rate of fuel excise at 38.14c.

1.5 The Greens believe that there is no public policy rationale for multibillion dollar mining companies to receive taxpayer funded subsidies for fuel use in their operations. Not only is it an unjustifiable waste of public money, but it distorts energy generation on mine sites, favouring diesel generation which is rising in costs against clean energy and storage which are falling and cost-competitive without the Fuel Tax Credit for mining operations.

1.6 The Schedule should be amended to remove the eligibility of mining companies for Fuel Tax Credits while agricultural activities remain untouched in the event that the Parliament agrees to implement the government's fuel excise budget policy measure.

#### Recommendation

**1.7** That the Senate amend the Bill to remove mining companies from eligibility for the Fuel Tax Credit.

Senator Christine Milne Leader of the Australian Greens

# APPENDIX Submissions received

Submitter
Minerals Council of Australia
Australian Trucking Association
Australian Institute of Petroleum
Pitcher Partners
Department of Defence
National Farmers' Federation