Policy costing request—during the caretaker period for a general election

Name of policy:	Access to Affordable Abortion			
Person requesting costing:	Sen Di Natale			
Parliamentary party:	Australian Greens			
Date of request to cost the policy:	30 June 2016			
Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.				
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (ie from the Treasury or the Department of Finance)?	No			
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release):	24 May 2016 Senator Waters http://greens.org.au/accessible-abortion and http://greens.org.au/accessible-abortion			
Description of policy				
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	 \$500,000 over 1 year for a review by the Department of Health of the level and adequacy of MBS and PBS rebates for sexual health, contraception, pregnancy and termination related services. \$5 million to develop a nation-wide system of data collection in relation to abortion services provided in Australia coordinated by the Department of Health, and ongoing funding of \$0.5 million per year. \$8 million over 4 years for a Taskforce on Sexual and Reproductive Health to advocate for access to abortion and other health services for women. 			
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	To increase access to affordable, safe abortion services in Australia			
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:				
Is the policy part of a package?				
If yes, list the components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	No			

Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a camount? If a capped amount, are costs of administering the policy to included within the capped amount additional to the capped amount?	the o be nt or				
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy?	No				
If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with addition costs to be met by third parties, or another funding formula envisage	nal r is				
Are there associated savings, offse expenses? If yes, please provide details.	ets or No				
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure? If yes, which measure?	s No				
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings experience from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No				
Will the funding/program cost req indexation? If yes, list factors to be used.	uire No				
Expected impacts of the proposal					
If applicable, what are the estimated costs each year? If available, please provide details in the table below. Are these provided on an underlying cash balance or fiscal balance basis?					
Estimated financial implications (<u> </u>	2017 10	2010 10	2010 20	
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	2016–17	2017–18	2018–19	2019–20	
Fiscal balance (\$m)	-7.5	-3.1	-3.1	-2.1	
(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.					
What assumptions have been maderiving the expected financial im in the party costing (please provid information on the data sources uto develop the policy)?	pact e				

Has the policy been costed by a third party?	No
If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	
What is the expected community impact of the policy?	Better access to affordable, safe abortion services in Australia
How many people will be affected by the policy?	
What is the likely take up?	
What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?	
Administration of policy:	
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc)?	Department of Health
Please specify whether any special administrative arrangements are proposed for the policy and whether these are expected to involve additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).	No
Intended date of implementation:	1 Sept 2016
Intended duration of policy:	Ongoing for Commissioner and for operation of data-collection system.
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS catalogue number 3201.0).	None
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No
NOTE:	

NOTE:

Please note that:

The costing will be on the basis of information provided in this costing request.

The PBO is not bound to accept the assumptions provided by the requestor. If there is a material difference in the assumptions used by the PBO, the PBO will consult with the requestor in advance of the costing being completed.