Policy costing request—during the caretaker period for a general election

Name of policy:	Indigenous Rangers - Working on Country		
Person requesting costing:	Senator Richard Di Natale		
Parliamentary party:	Australian Greens		
Date of request to cost the policy:	24 June 2016		
Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.			
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (ie from the Treasury or the Department of Finance)?	No		
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release):	Released by Australian Greens Senator Rachel Siewert on 8 June 2016; http://greens.org.au/indigenous-rangers; http://greens.org.au/news/wa/greens-announce-package-ensures-longevity-and-expansion-indigenous-rangers-program		
Description of policy			
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Double the annual funding provided for the Indigenous Ranger program and extend funding commitments to 15 year contracts.		
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	Environmental and social benefits (as reflected in the Working for our Country report) by increasing the number of Indigenous Ranger groups.		
What are the key assumptions that have	e been made in the policy, including:		
Is the policy part of a package? If yes, list the components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	-		
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount? If a capped amount, are the costs of administering the policy to be included within the capped amount or additional to the capped amount?	The funding increase is a capped amount.		
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy? If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?	Indigenous ranger groups involve organisations with community groups in remote communities. The Australian Government contribution is the main funding source.		

Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses?	-	
If yes, please provide details.		
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure?	-	
If yes, which measure?		
If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	-	
Will the funding/program cost require indexation?	-	
If yes, list factors to be used.		
Expected impacts of the proposal		
If applicable, what are the estimated costs each year? If available, please provide details in the table below. Are these provided on an underlying cash balance or fiscal balance basis?		

Estimated financial implications (outturn prices)^(a)

	2016–17	2017–18	2018–19	2019–20
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	-76.4	-77.4	-78.9	-80.2
Fiscal balance (\$m)	-76.4	-77.4	-78.9	-80.2

(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.

What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)?	-
Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	-
What is the expected community impact of the policy?	As set out in Working for our Country.
How many people will be affected by the policy?	
What is the likely take up?	
What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?	

Administration of policy:		
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc)?	Commonwealth Government.	
Please specify whether any special administrative arrangements are proposed for the policy and whether these are expected to involve additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).	Additional administrative costs for the Commonwealth in administering increased funding.	
Intended date of implementation:	1 September 2016	
Intended duration of policy:	Funding commitments to be extended based on 15 year contracts.	
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	-	
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS catalogue number 3201.0).	-	
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	-	

NOTE:

Please note that:

The costing will be on the basis of information provided in this costing request.

The PBO is not bound to accept the assumptions provided by the requestor. If there is a material difference in the assumptions used by the PBO, the PBO will consult with the requestor in advance of the costing being completed.