

POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Name of policy:	Sharks			
Person requesting costing:	Senator Milne			
Date of request to cost the policy:	5 September 2013			
Note: This policy costing request and the response to this request will be made publicly available.				
Has a costing of this policy been requested under Section 29 of the Charter of Budget Honesty (i.e. from the Treasury or the Department of Finance and Deregulation)?	No			
Details of the public release of this policy (Date, by whom and a reference to that release)	18 August 2013, Senator Siewert, http://rachel-siewert.greensmps.org.au/content/media-releases/greens-announce-new-initiative-boost-shark-research			
	30 August 2013, Senator Siewert, http://rachel-siewert.greensmps.org.au/content/media-releases/greens-launch-initiative-end-cruel-shark-finning			
Description of policy:				
Summary of policy (as applicable, please attach copies of relevant policy documents):	Invest \$6 million over three years for better research into sharks and appoint a working Group to develop consistent laws, so that all sharks caught in Australia must be landed with their fins still attached to their bodies, along with a ban on the possession, sale and/or trade of imported shark fin in Australia			
What is the purpose or intention of the policy?	Better understand and protect sharks to increase public safety.			
What are the key assumptions that have been made in the policy, including:				
Is the policy part of a package? If yes, list and outline components and interactions with proposed or existing policies.	No			
Where relevant, is funding for the policy to be demand driven or a capped amount?	Capped			
Will third parties (for instance the States/Territories) have a role in funding or delivering the policy? If yes, is the Australian Government contribution capped, with additional costs to be met by third parties, or is another funding formula envisaged?	No			

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

Are there associated savings, offsets or expenses? If yes, please provide details.	No			
Does the policy relate to a previous budget measure?	No			
If yes, which measure? If the proposal would change an existing measure, are savings expected from the departmental costs of implementing the program?	No			
Will the funding/program cost require indexation?	No			
If yes, list factors to be used.				
Expected impacts of the proposal				
If applicable, what are the estimated cobelow. Are these provided on an unde	•			ils in the table
Estimated financial implications (ou	tturn prices) (a)			
	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Underlying cash balance (\$m)	-	-2	-2	-2
Fiscal balance (\$m)	-	-2	-2	-2
(a) A positive number for the fiscal balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in accrual terms. A positive number in the underlying cash balance indicates an increase in revenue or a decrease in expenses or net capital investment in cash terms.				
What assumptions have been made in deriving the expected financial impact in the party costing (please provide information on the data sources used to develop the policy)?	N/A			
Has the policy been costed by a third party? If yes, can you provide a copy of this costing and its assumptions?	No			

PBO POLICY COSTING REQUEST – DURING THE CARETAKER PERIOD FOR A GENERAL ELECTION

What is the expected community impact of the policy? How many people will be affected by the policy? What is the likely take up? What is the basis for these impact assessments/assumptions?	Increased public safety from better understanding the behaviour of sharks and the protection of vulnerable shark species.
Administration of policy:	
Who will administer the policy (for example, Australian Government entity, the States, non-government organisation, etc.)?	Australian Government.
Should departmental expenses associated with this policy be included in this costing?	Any additional department costs, including those associated with the Working Group are to be absorbed by the department.
If no, will the Department be expected to absorb expenses associated with this policy?	
If yes, please specify the key assumptions, including whether departmental costs are expected with respect to program management (by policy agencies) and additional transactions/processing (by service delivery agencies).	
Intended date of implementation.	1 July 2014
Intended duration of policy.	3 years
Are there transitional arrangements associated with policy implementation?	No
List major data sources utilised to develop policy (for example, ABS cat. no. 3201.0).	
Are there any other assumptions that need to be considered?	No