

Australian Finance Conference Level 7, 34 Hunter Street, Sydney, 2000. GPO Box 1595, Sydney 2001 ABN 13 000 493 907 Telephone: (02) 9231-5877 Facsimile: (02) 9232-5647 e-mail: afc@afc.asn.au

7 April 2005

The Secretary Standing Committee on Legal & Constitutional Affairs House of Representatives Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

By email: <u>laca.reps@aph.gov.au</u>

Dear Secretary

Inquiry into Harmonisation of Legal Systems

The Australian Finance Conference (AFC), as a national finance industry association, welcomes the House of Representatives *Inquiry into the Harmonisation of Legal Systems* (the Inquiry) and appreciates the opportunity to comment on its Terms of Reference. Attached is a list of the AFC membership.

As the majority of our members provide finance services nationally and a number also operate in New Zealand, the AFC views the Inquiry as an important opportunity to address the significant impacts of competing commercial laws on our members' products, services and business operations within Australia and across the Tasman.

Inquiry Scope

The AFC notes the areas identified for consideration. We support, and would welcome, the Inquiry's consideration of statutes of limitations, legal procedures and service of process, evidence (including document retention requirements and electronic transaction laws) and partnership laws.

In addition, the AFC believes there is a compelling case for the terms of reference to include other areas of commerce. We request the Inquiry consider property securities, debt collection, finance broking and other related finance functions that impact adversely on our members' business efficiencies and compliance costs.

The table below outlines the key areas and related inconsistencies the AFC would ask the Inquiry to address.

Area	Inconsistencies, Inefficiencies
Debt Collection	8 differing statutes
	Multiple licensing/registration requirements
	Educational requirements
	Debt Collection Guidelines – Commonwealth & State
Property Securities	Many different statutes - Commonwealth, State and
	Territory
	Registration requirements
	Registration processes, some electronic, some paper
	based
	• Fee structures
	Gaps in coverage and inconsistencies in application
Finance Broking	• 4 differing statutes
	Licensing/Registration requirements
	Commission structures
	Broking contract requirements
Civil Debt Recovery	Many different statutes
	Process requirements
	Judgment periods
	Enforcement mechanisms
	Remedies
	Statute of Limitations Act inconsistencies

Harmonisation Approaches

The AFC also notes the Inquiry will consider a range of harmonisation approaches including the 'template model', which successfully underpins the national application of the Consumer Credit Code. Consideration should also be given to inter-governmental co-operative mechanisms, such as the Ministerial Council on Consumer Affairs (MCCA), that led to this approach.

New Zealand currently participates in MCCA deliberations. As a result, its consumer credit legislation is largely consistent with Australia's, even if elements of the detail and documentation are different. AFC member companies who conduct business under the recently-commenced New Zealand credit legislation have commented the Australia influence on that legislation made for a relatively smooth implementation, with considerable consistency in systems and operations.

The AFC has experienced the benefits of collaboration between the States and Territories in the field of stamp duties, previously a complicated and inconsistent area. This situation necessitated constant recourse to legal advice and concerns about compliance challenges. The State and Territories collaboratively developed, and have legislated, a common regime for stamp duties on financial transactions, allowing for differences in the rate of duty and exemptions from duty.

Similar approaches are possible for other areas under consideration by the Inquiry. The AFC recommends the Inquiry identify existing, and potential, inter-governmental co-operative mechanisms, their scope and potential to effect the harmonisation process.

The AFC looks forward to participation in the Inquiry process and the outcomes it can achieve. We trust the Inquiry will be able to consider the areas raised, as harmonisation in these areas will result in significant benefits to our members, their customers, government and consumers as a whole.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Terms of Reference.

Yours truly,

2 July 2

Ron Hardaker Executive Director

Attachment: List of AFC member companies



AFC MEMBER COMPANIES

Adelaide Bank Allco Finance Group ATSIC Australian Finance Direct Australian Integrated Finance Automotive Financial Services Bank of Oueensland BankWest Baycorp Advantage **Bidgee Finance BMW** Australia Finance Capital Finance Australia Caterpillar Finance Australia CBFC **CIT** Financial Citigroup **Collection House** DaimlerChrysler Financial Services De Lage Landen Dun & Bradstreet **Elderslie Finance Corporation** Esanda Finance Corporation Focus Capital Group Ford Credit Australia FundCorp **GE** Money **GE** Commercial Finance General Motors Acceptance Corp Heritage Building Society HP Financial Services Integrated Asset Management International Acceptance John Deere Credit Komatsu Corporate Finance

Liberty Financial Lombard Finance Mackay Permanent Building Society Macquarie Leasing **ORIX** Australia Corporation PACCAR Pioneer Permanent Building Society Profinance **RABO** Equipment Finance RAC Finance **RACV** Finance RMG Select Automotive Finance Sharp Finance St. George Bank Suncorp The Rock Building Society **Toyota Financial Services** Volkswagen Financial Services Volvo Finance Westlawn Finance Westpac Wide Bay Australia Yamaha Finance

<u>Professional Associate Members</u>: Automotive Global Insurance CridlandsLawyers Experian FCS Online Finzsoft Solutions Horwath Consulting Voiceforce

09/04