SUBMISSION NO.13

Treaties referred on 16 November 2010



mc 9(3)/1

Australian Government

Attorney-General's Department

Civil Law Division



10/10497

1 March 2011

Mr Kelvin Thomson MP Chair Joint Standing Committee on Treaties Parliament House CANBERRA ACT 2600

Dear Mr Thomson

Proof Committee Hansard - Reference: Treaties Tabled on 16 November 2010

I refer to the public hearing concerning the United Nations Convention on the Use of Electronic Communications in International Contracts 2005 on 7 February 2011.

The Attorney-General's Department appreciates the opportunity to provide the following supplementary responses to questions asked by Ms Michelle Rowland MP on page 18 of the transcript.

Ms ROWLAND - *You mentioned those 18 signatories to the convention. Does each of those have a domestic law regarding electronic transactions?*

Not all of the 18 countries that have signed the Convention have implemented the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce. Details of the domestic laws in these countries are in various languages and it is difficult for us to confirm that all have domestic laws regarding electronic transactions in place.

 $Ms \ ROWLAND - I$ have not looked at the ETA in a couple of months, but my understanding is that it says that contracts are not invalid merely by virtue of the fact that they were conducted by electronic means.

The Commonwealth ETA contains a broad definition of 'transaction' which is intended to be interpreted to include contracts, but does not expressly refer to contracts. The definition of 'transaction' in the State and Territory ETAs does expressly include contracts.

The action officer for this matter is Debrah Pono who can be contacted on 02 6141 3445.

Yours sincerely

aller Dens

Helen Daniels Assistant Secretary Business Law Branch

Telephone: 02 6141 3416