## FINANCE AND PUBLIC ADMINISTRATION LEGISLATION COMMITTEE

## QUESTIONS ON NOTICE – SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES –17-18 OCTOBER 2011

## FINANCE AND DEREGULATION PORTFOLIO

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
1.	Program 1.1 (Future Fund) FMG	Cormann	Corresponden ce – Future Fund Sale of Assets	<b>Senator CORMANN:</b> Has there ever before been cash from the sale of a non- financial asset by the Future Fund that has hit the cash balance of the budget? <b>Mr Youngberry:</b> I do not know specifically. I would have to check.	Page 15 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
2.	Program 1.1 (Future Fund) FMG	Cormann	Corresponden ce – Future Fund Sale of Assets	<ul> <li>Senator CORMANN: Has there been any correspondence between the government and the Future Fund board or agency discussing the sale of those assets?</li> <li>Mr Greenslade: My recollection is that there has been correspondence between Finance, the Future Fund management agency and the Future Fund board.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: At a departmental level there was no letter from the minister to the chairman of the board or anything of that nature?</li> <li>Mr Tune: We will need to check that.</li> </ul>	Page 16 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
3.	Program 1.1 FMG / Financial Framework Division	Rhiannon	Administratio n and reporting of grants	<ul> <li>I understand that each agency determines, administers and reports its own grants process.</li> <li>a) What is the total amount of grants awarded each year by all agencies?</li> <li>b) How does your department evaluate or review the overall effectiveness of the grants being administered by each agency, i.e. that the expenditure meets the stated aims and goals of the agency who grants the money?</li> <li>c) It is often hard to find grants information on agency websites, and it does not paint an overall picture. Can you explain why there is no centrally published register or source of information on grants?</li> <li>d) Are you currently reviewing the new Grants guidelines?</li> </ul>	Written	16/01/2012	09/02/2012
4.	Program 1.1 FMG/Financia 1 Framework Division	Rhiannon	Reporting requirements of grants	<ul> <li>The DOFD report states that in 2009-10, 3,524 instances of non-compliance can be attributed to the new reporting requirements for grants. (p9)</li> <li>a) How do you account for this number of breaches in reporting of grants?</li> <li>b) What percentage of overall govt grants does this represent?</li> <li>c) Were you surprised by this number?</li> <li>d) Can you detail what the main problems are with this level of non-</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				compliance?			
5.	Program 1.1 Budget	Cormann	Cuts to Commonwealt h Staffing Levels	<ul> <li>e) What action have you taken to address this level of non-compliance?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Since December 2010, which is when this advice was given, by how much have you cut Commonwealth staffing levels, Minister?</li> <li>Senator Wong: Staffing levels?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Yes.</li> <li>Mr Tune: We would have to take that on notice.</li> <li>Senator Wong: We would have to take that on notice.</li> <li>Mr Tune: The advice was given in August 2010, actually.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: There was an update.</li> <li>Mr Tune: That was MYEFO; is that what you are talking about?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Yes, that is right.</li> </ul>	Pages 22 – 23 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
6.	Program 1.1 Budget	Abetz	Former Finance Minister's misconduct	Mr Tune: Okay, we will have to take that on notice and get back to you. Senator ABETZ: That as well; that is a given. Has it come to the attention of other departmental officials then that at least one former finance minister was engaged in this conduct? Mr Tune: I can ask my colleagues. Do you want me to line them all up here and ask them one by one, or do you want me to take it on notice? Senator ABETZ: Take it on notice but this is a very serious matter because we often have debates and discussions about matters financial, as we should, and for a federal finance minister to basically brag about the way he misconducted himself—not conducted, but misconducted—is a matter of concern. I would have thought it was something that the department would have become aware of and may have, from time to time, provided him with briefing notes to indicate that that which he had said on the public record may not necessarily reflect the fact. If you could take that on notice and ascertain for me whether or not Mr Tanner ever received such briefing notes—I do not need to know what was in the briefing notes—and whether or not he was ever advised in relation to his public commentary. Just for what it is worth, in the <i>Hansard</i> of the budget estimates of Wednesday 25 May 2011, on page 142, you and I, Minister, had a discussion about matters cash accrual and whether it was over five or four years, where there seemed to have been, if I might say, this type of behaviour being engaged in either inadvertently, and I trust it was inadvertently and I will not put it any stronger than that, but we have one former Labor finance minister who brags about having done so to cause public confusion. That is the only question I have.	Page 42 18 Oct 2011	10/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
7.	Program 1.1 Budget	Ryan	Second round costings	Senator RYAN: I will give an example of this, and it is not one that I particularly plan to pursue as I just want to try to make sure that I have got my head around it. If, for example, there were a change in migration policy which led to an increase in migration, where would the potential increase in tax receipts from an increase in the workforce be considered? Would that be a first round or a second round issue? Mr Tune: I will take that one on notice if I may.	Page 46 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
8.	Program 1.1 Budget	Ryan	Second round costings	Senator RYAN: Thank you, that is actually very helpful. Can you take on notice the areas of policy that do include second round costings announced—and I am not going to ask you to go through all \$360-odd billion of government expenditure—for example since the budget in 2010? Which policy measures have included second round costings? Mr Tune: Certainly.	Page 46 18 Oct 2011	16/01/2012	09/02/2012
9.	Program 1.1 Budget	Ryan	Second round costings	Senator RYAN: Mr Tune and Mr Martine, as well as taking that on notice, if there are any established practices—for example, if there are a half a dozen exceptions or there is an exception in a particular policy area over time and welfare-to-work could be one of them—I would appreciate if you could provide, if you are able to, of course, any grounds, criteria or established practices around the use of second round costings. Mr Martine: Yes. Mr Tune: We will take it on notice.	Page 47 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
10.	Program 1.1 Budget	Ryan	Finance Incoming Government Brief	<ul> <li>a) Could Finance provide an update on the Government's response to what the Finance Red Book (p 1.3) described as 'the persistent threat of a 'double-dip' global economic downturn' and the strong case for the building of a 'fiscal buffer' in the event of a further negative shock?</li> <li>b) Does the Minister agree with the advice in the December update of the Finance Red Book (p. 3.1) that 'savings over the forward estimates of a less sensitive nature are possible in sectors such Defence, Social Welfare and Health'? <ul> <li>i. Will such savings be pursued in the next budget?</li> </ul> </li> <li>c) Does the Minister agree with the advice in the December of update of the Finance Red Book (p. 3.1) that the Government should reform evaluation and review practices? <ul> <li>i. If so, what action has been taken to reform evaluation and review?</li> <li>ii. Has the Department developed options for such measures?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>iii. If so, can these be provided to the Committee?</li> <li>d) Does the Minister agree with the advice in the December update of the Finance Red Book (p. 3.1) that savings could be obtained by 'gaining administrative improvements' including staffing restructures and cuts? <ul> <li>i. If so, will such cuts be pursued?</li> <li>ii. Has the Department developed options for such measures?</li> <li>iii. If so, can these be provided to the Committee?</li> </ul> </li> <li>e) Does the Minister agree with the advice in the December of update of the Finance Red Book (p. 3.1) that savings could be realised by 'instituting cost-recovery options where relevant'?</li> <li>i. If so, what options for pursuing cost-recovery has the Government instituted?</li> <li>iii. Has the Department developed options for such measures?</li> <li>iii. If so, can these be provided to the Committee?</li> </ul> f) Does the Minister agree with the advice in the December of update of the Finance Red Book (p. 3.4) that 'on current policy settings, there will be a long-term structural deterioration in the budget'? <ul> <li>i. If not, why not, if so, what has the Government done to improve the structural position of the budget?</li> <li>ii. Has the Department developed options for such measures?</li> <li>iii. If so, can these be provided to the Committee?</li> </ul> g) Given that your Department provides advice regarding the structural position of the budget why has the Government decided to stop publishing this information? h) The Finance Red Book (p.5.3) specifies under 'Action required in 2010' that you 'Propose that the Government's economic and financial strategy can be strengthened by including a focus on Balance Sheet management and reconsidering the choice of key financial measures', have you done this? <ul> <li>i. If not, why not, if so, did you propose that this include a measure of the structural position of the budget?</li> </ul>			

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				attention are the management of the Government's balance sheet, and			
11.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	Regulation definitions	transparency and accountability', do you think these are priorities? Senator RYAN: That makes perfect sense. I was a little concerned, when we do a count, and counts are important in this space, about whether or not that was counted as a single regulation with multiple measures or as multiple regulatory measures. Mr McNamara: We count it generally as multiple regulatory measures. Senator RYAN: I would appreciate your telling me if there any exceptions to that. Mr McNamara: I can take that on notice.	Page 50 18 Oct 2011	11/01/2012	09/02/2012
12.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul><li>a) How many staff currently work in the OBPR?</li><li>b) How does this compare to staffing levels over the past five years?</li></ul>	Written	11/01/2012	09/02/2012
13.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul><li>a) What percentage of bills introduced into the current Parliament have been subject to a RIS during development?</li><li>b) Has there been a change in government policy regarding the completion of RISs?</li></ul>	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
14.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul> <li>a) What consultations has OBPR had with business on the impact of regulation on productivity? Please advise regarding details of conferences, liaison, papers.</li> <li>b) What consultation has OBPR had with Treasury and Prime Minister and Cabinet officials who work on policy to boost national productivity?</li> <li>c) Does Finance monitor productivity in the public service?</li> <li>i. If so, what measures does it use?</li> <li>ii. Over the past five years what productivity improvements have been Written delivered in the public service?</li> <li>iii. How does this level of productivity compare to national productivity figures?</li> <li>d) What practical measures has the government taken in this Parliament to reduce the impact of regulation on business?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
15.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul> <li>a) What new regulatory agencies have been created under the Gillard Government?</li> <li>b) Please advise regarding details of staff numbers and budget allocation.</li> <li>c) Which regulatory agencies have been disbanded during the same time?</li> </ul>	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				d) Please advise regarding details of staff numbers and budget allocation.			
16.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul> <li>a) How many staff are employed by commonwealth agencies classed as regulators and at what cost?</li> <li>b) How have these numbers and costs increased or decreased over the past five years?</li> <li>c) Which five regulators appear to be delivering the most efficient outcomes?</li> <li>d) Which five regulators appear to be delivering the least efficient outcomes?</li> </ul>	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
17.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Ryan	OBPR and Deregulation	<ul> <li>a) Over the past three years, which regulatory agencies have experienced the greatest expansion in budget allocations and staffing?</li> <li>b) What indicators does Finance use to measure effectiveness for regulatory agencies?</li> <li>c) What forms of redress are there for those subject to regulation when it comes to bad regulation practice by government regulators?</li> <li>d) Does OBPR have a view on the best ways for clients of regulatory agencies to lodge complaints about regulatory excesses?</li> <li>e) If so, do any changes need to be made to the current system?</li> </ul>	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
18.	Program 1.1 Deregulation	Cormann	OBPR breaches of non- compliance	<ul> <li>Senator CORMANN: Have you had any feedback from the Office of Best Practice Regulation?</li> <li>Mr Murphy: Yes. We were found to be in breach of the requirements.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: You were found to be in breach of the requirements.</li> <li>Mr Murphy: Yes.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: That was non-compliance with best practice regulation requirements.</li> <li>Mr Murphy: Yes.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: The Office of Best Practice Regulation has assessed the proposal as being non-compliant with the Australian government's best practice regulation requirements. How often—</li> <li>Senator Sherry: That is not the original, Senator, but rather the amendments that were announced subsequent to it. I point out that it is not unusual to have amendments as a result of industry consultation after an original bill has been drafted and issued for public consultation.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: How often has the Office of Best Practice Regulation advised departments across government that they have breached best practice regulations?</li> </ul>	Written (Transferred from the Treasury, 27/10/2011, from Economics Committee Hansard, 19/10/2011)	31/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<b>Senator Sherry:</b> That is not an issue for Treasury. I can put my deregulation hat on to say that is an issue for the Department of Finance and Deregulation. We can certainly take on notice; technically we can't, but, with my other hat on, I can ensure that we can put that to the Office of Best Practice Regulation, which is in the Department of Finance and Deregulation.			
19.	Program General COOG (PCC & Legal)	Cormann	FOI administration costs	<ul> <li>Senator CORMANN: Are you aware that the Department of Education, Employment and Workplace Relations is said to have spent \$500,000 to convert nine of 2,500 documents into accessible formats?</li> <li>Ms Connell: That is the technology requirement that anything we publish on the web must be accessible.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: That sounds like a huge cost though, if that is what is happening in departments across the Commonwealth, and that would be somewhat concerning, I would have thought. Surely there is a better way.</li> <li>Ms Connell: I can only assume that DEEWR on that occasion had a number of documents that needed converting to accessible format.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: But does anybody monitor the cost of that and opportunities to do it?</li> <li>Mr Tune: No—well individual agencies do. I was not aware of the view from DEEWR. I had not heard that until you mentioned it.</li> <li>Ms Connell: They may have hired an external agency to assist with that process. It sounds like a rather large one.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: It does sound like a rather large one. I will leave it there but I would be very grateful for anything on notice that you could tell me on the costs, to the extent that you track them, of this new process and on any efforts to streamline and to make the process more efficient.</li> <li>Mr Tune: We will have a think about that and respond to that question on notice.</li> </ul>	Page 59 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
20.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Rhiannon	Procurement regulations for paper	<ul> <li>Senator RHIANNON: I was asking about the Australian and New Zealand Government Framework for Sustainable Procurement So you do not think that you need to do like New Zealand does where it is incorporated into the actual guide itself?</li> <li>Mr Grant: It is an informative document, and that is how it is used. We have circulated it around to departments and agencies. I would have to check but I think that we have a reference to it on our website. It is informative; it is not a specific policy.</li> </ul>	Page 59 18 Oct 2011	20/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
21.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Rhiannon	Procurement regulations for paper	<ul> <li>Senator RHIANNON: Well, paper sourced from wood, and there is a huge trade in illegal logging from where much of this paper is sourced.</li> <li>Mr Grant: We would be very clear that paper must not be sourced from rainforests and things like that.</li> <li>Senator RHIANNON: When you say 'very clear', what does that mean?</li> <li>Mr Grant: I would have to check it and get back to you.</li> <li>Senator RHIANNON: So you are happy to take that on notice?</li> <li>Mr Grant: I will take that on notice.</li> </ul>	Page 61 18 Oct 2011	20/01/2012	09/02/2012
22.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Rhiannon	Procurement Sustainability Requirements	Senator RHIANNON: Were there any other sustainability requirements for those tendering for this contract? Mr Grant: I do not have the details. I will take that on notice as well.	Page 61 18 Oct 2011	20/01/2012	09/02/2012
23.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Rhiannon	Procurement Sustainability Requirements	<ul> <li>Senator RHIANNON: I want to clarify although you have covered it to some extent: when there are whole of government contracts, are there any sustainability requirements?</li> <li>Mr Grant: It is certainly one of the elements we take into account. It depends on the nature of the supply, but we certainly do take that into account.</li> <li>Senator RHIANNON: That sort of language again sounds loose, could you specify what that means?</li> <li>Mr Grant: I can do that in terms of major office machines, for example, which is a contract in place and stationery office supplies—so yes.</li> <li>Senator RHIANNON: Do you mean you will take that on notice and supply more details?</li> <li>Mr Grant: I will take that on notice.</li> </ul>	Page 61 18 Oct 2011	20/01/2012	09/02/2012
24.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Rhiannon	Procurement	It has been stated that the 4 sustainability principles from the APCC framework are taken into account in making procurement decisions. In light of the fact that the procurement policy imposes no sustainability provisions at all, could you describe how those 4 principles have been taken into account in procurement decisions, with reference to specific procurement decisions. In particular, we would ask that examples be taken from several procurement decisions relating to timber in Commonwealth building programmes.	Written	20/01/2012	09/02/2012
25.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Ryan	Procurement – direct sourcing, Commonwealt	a) Finance has recently released documents showing that nearly 40 per cent of procurement is directly sourced, do you think it is efficient to utilise so much direct sourcing?	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
			h Procurement Guidelines	<ul> <li>financial year?</li> <li>c) Why is so much procurement not subject to the stipulations of the Commonwealth Procurement Guidelines?</li> <li>d) Given that it is a Finance responsibility to manage policies that impact upon procurement do you think the procurement framework is adequate?</li> <li>e) The Finance Red Book (p.5.4) specifies that there are 23 policies that must be considered by officials undertaking procurement, do you not think that there is scope to make this less onerous?</li> </ul>			
26.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Xenophon	Travel savings	<ul> <li>In response to questions I placed on notice last estimates, the Department stated that the amount saved by cancelling the frequent flyer program is \$160 million during 2010-2014.</li> <li>a) Can the department indicate whether this savings target is on track?</li> <li>b) How much has actually been saved since the cancellation?</li> </ul>	Written	16/01/2012	09/02/2012
27.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Xenophon	Travel savings	<ul> <li>In response to another question on notice, the department stated that there has been no auditing program to consider the level of compliance with the 'lowest possible fare' policy, and that ensuring compliance with this policy is the responsibility of the Chief Executive of each agency.</li> <li>a) The policy states that: "in all situations where official air travel is undertaken, a reason why any fare is selected which is outside the scope of being the lowest practical fare, must be documented". Is the documentation therefore the responsibility of the chief executive?</li> <li>b) Where are these flights documented?</li> <li>c) Are they reported to any higher authority or is it enough that they are documented somewhere?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
28.	Program 2.1 AGIMO	Ryan	Web Accessibility National Transition Strategy	<ul> <li>a) Has AGIMO developed a reporting regime as outlined in the Web Accessibility National Transition Strategy?</li> <li>b) If yes, could AGIMO please provide a copy of the guidelines underpinning the reporting regime?</li> <li>c) What are the reporting requirements?</li> <li>d) How often do departments have to report?</li> <li>e) What do departments have to report on?</li> <li>f) Are all departments complying with the request to report on their websites?</li> <li>g) If no, when will it be produced?</li> </ul>	Written	09/12/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written O	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
29.	Program 2.2 AMPS/Moore bank Project Office	Ryan	Moorebank Project	<ul> <li>h) What consultation has been undertaken between departments?</li> <li>i) How many meetings?</li> <li>j) How often does the group meet to discuss progress?</li> <li>k) Who's on the working group coordinating the task?</li> <li>l) What is the timeline for the production of the document?</li> <li>m) How long has it taken to produce the reporting regime?</li> <li>n) Has an audit of current websites been conducted by AGIMO?</li> <li>o) How many websites do federal government departments have?</li> <li>p) How many of these websites conform to the new standards?</li> <li>q) How many of the websites do not conform?</li> <li>r) Could you please provide a list of departments that do not currently conform to the new WCAG 2.0 standard?</li> <li>I refer to the Moorebank Project Office (MPO) that has been established in NSW.</li> <li>a) What is the budget of the MPO?</li> <li>b) How many staff are employed in the MPO?</li> <li>c) Where are they based?</li> <li>d) What are the terms of reference of the Feasibility Study being undertaken by the MPO?</li> <li>e) What is the Study's progress?</li> <li>f) When will it be released?</li> <li>g) What is the role of KPMG in assisting with the study?</li> <li>h) How much is their contract?</li> <li>i) I understand the study is limited to the site to the west of Moorebank Avenue currently occupied by the School of Military Engineering (SME). Is that correct?</li> </ul>	Written Q Written	13/02/2012	
				<ul> <li>j) What assumptions about freight movements does your assessment make?</li> <li>k) What consideration is being given to the site to the east of Moorebank road which is in the process of being developed as a private intermodal terminal?</li> <li>l) Does your study take into account the fact that a 1 million TEU (twenty</li> </ul>			

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written O	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>foot equivalent) project is being built literally across the road? If not, why not? How is the feasibility study going to be useful if it doesn't take into account the adjacent proposal?</li> <li>m) What specific communication has been undertaken with the private proposal?</li> <li>n) What has the MPO done to ensure that your project is not impacting on the private project?</li> <li>o) What community consultation has been undertaken by the MPO? When was this undertaken?</li> <li>p) What measures are in place to ensure that community consultation undertaken by the MPO does not confuse residents in relation to the private proposal? I'm sure you can understand that two intermodal terminals being proposed at the same time, one private and one government, on adjoining defence sites would be confusing to the community.</li> <li>q) So what are you doing to ensure that your proposal is regarded as a distinct and separate facility?</li> </ul>			
30.	Program 2.3 AMPS / Insurance / Property	Cormann	Property Damage Insurance	<ul> <li>Ms Hall: Comcover has been notified of five incidents at various detention centres over the past 12 months; however, Comcover has not paid any settlement amount to DIAC as a result of any claims arising from the incidents during those periods. Some of the damage at detention centres is covered by the insurance held by the detention centre operators. Those insurance policies would respond in the first instance.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Some is covered by the insurance by Serco. Have you made any provision for the damage that is going to have to be carried by Comcover?</li> <li>Ms Asaris: We have made provisions for the liability that we held by DIAC, under those policies, which is essentially the deductible amount. At the moment we have been advised that that is a maximum of \$1 million per event.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: An amount of \$1 million per event and there are five events, so that is about \$5 million. What proportion would that be of the total damage?</li> <li>Ms Asaris: My understanding is that for the major event at Villawood, which I</li> </ul>	Page 55 18 Oct 2011	1/12/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>think is the one you are mostly concerned with, the estimate of the damage for that centre is approximately \$10 million.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: So the liability for Comcover is about 10 per cent of that.</li> <li>Ms Asaris: That is correct.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: And the rest of it is covered by the insurers of the operator?</li> <li>Ms Asaris: That is correct.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: What is the total damage across all five events?</li> <li>Ms Asaris: I do not have those figures with me at the moment. Can I take that on notice and we will come back to you.</li> </ul>			
31.	Program 2.3 AMPS / Insurance	Cormann	Property Damage Insurance	Senator CORMANN: Would you provide me on notice, then, a) the list of the incidents for which claims have been submitted; b) what the total damage to Commonwealth property is going to be; and c) what share of it is likely to be covered by the Commonwealth through Comcover or by private insurers to the extent that you can. I'm sure that if you're not able to you will tell me. Senator Wong: As a matter of courtesy, I am letting you know that on a) and b) I can't at first blush see any difficulty, but obviously I will take advice on that. In relation to c), we would not do that ahead of those matters being finalised.	Page 56 18 Oct 2011	1/12/2011	09/02/2012
32.	Program 2.3 AMPS / Insurance	Cormann	Asset damage resulting from floods	Senator CORMANN: Are you able to provide us with the list of Commonwealth assets that were damaged by the floods and the damage for each of these assets, or is that— Ms Hall: We can take that on notice.	Page 57 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
33.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Prime Minister's car costs	<ul> <li>Ms Clarke: Yes. The next tabling document is due on 24 November, covering 1 July through to 30 June this year.</li> <li>Senator RYAN: Do you have the costs handy for at least the first half of this financial year?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: No, not handy.</li> <li>Senator RYAN: Can you take on notice to provide the costs for the Prime Minister's car services up until now, effectively? If you could answer that before 24 November. I presume you will be preparing them as part of the tabling document.</li> <li>Ms Clarke: That is correct.</li> </ul>	Page 65 18 Oct 2011	09/12/2011	09/02/2012
34.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Personal Classifications (MoPS Act	<b>Senator RYAN:</b> So is it possible to get on notice the original classifications of these personal classifications? Can you take that on notice? For example, there are five advisers who are personally classified as advisers who might be allocated	Page 68 18 Oct 2011	09/12/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
			Staff)	here as assistant advisers. So this larger document only actually reflects the nominal allocation, as opposed to the personal one. Can you update this document here, either with an asterisk or bold or italics or whatever, to reflect where these personal classifications are employed? <b>Mrs Baker:</b> We can take that on notice.			
35.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Original Classifications of Personal Classification (MoPS Act Staff)	Senator RYAN: Can you also take on notice the original classifications of those? I would be happier with the table, but it would be to break down each of these numbers. There are only two that need to be broken down, Adviser and Senior Adviser 1 Cabinet. If you were to explain where the Assistant Adviser, Senior Adviser 1 Non-Cabinet and Chief of Staff Cabinet came, obviously there is only one of them so I would know what their original classification was. You don't have that information? Mrs Baker: No.	Page 68 18 Oct 2011	09/12/2011	09/02/2012
36.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Government / Non – government Staffing Levels (MoPS Act Staff)	<ul> <li>Senator RYAN: In Budget Paper No. 2, on page 199, the government announced that it would spend \$7.2 million over five years on a total of 10 new government and non-government staff. Have these staff members been employed as yet?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: We actually ran through that 10 last time with Senator Fifield.</li> <li>Senator RYAN: Okay, and there has been no change to what was stated in the last <i>Hansard</i>?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: I will have to take that on notice as I cannot recall, but we did run through where they were and who they had been allocated to.</li> </ul>	Page 68 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
37.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Extra Staffing Allocation (MoPS Act Staff)	<ul> <li>Senator RYAN: I will quickly read through a couple of questions. If they have been answered I would be happy. I was not on the committee at that point. Also, the purpose is to assist you to update that information if you need to take it on notice: which officers have received the extra staff allocation on the government side, whether or not they were allocated to a particular unit, being the caucus training and support unit or the implementation unit that you just discussed with Senator Abetz. How many staff will be employed on the non-government side? I would appreciate that being broken down by the Independents individually and by the opposition, and if they have been allocated to the Independents when the allocation was made and when someone was employed in that capacity. I am not after their names, just when someone filled that particular vacancy.</li> <li>Ms Clarke: Page 62 of our last estimates <i>Hansard</i> actually dealt in some detail about where the 10 were allocated because there were complications with some ons and offs for the Greens. Perhaps we can just go through those again and hand</li> </ul>	Page 68-69 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date <i>or</i> Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				it over as a question on notice.			
38.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Provision of Plastic Mats under Computer Chairs (Electorate Staff)	<ul> <li>Senator RYAN:Can I turn to the issue of the supply of things to electorate offices. How much does it cost to get a plastic mat for under a chair in an electorate office to save the carpet?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: I would have to take that on notice and have a look at OfficeMax or wherever it is that we obtain and purchase those items.</li> <li>Senator RYAN: I will take you through an example. I had a previous need to order a plastic mat to save the carpet of the office wearing out from the wheels of a chair and it cost just under \$110. In recent weeks and days we have had cause to order another one, again to save the carpet, and I have been informed that a plastic mat cannot be delivered without a full ergonomic assessment taking place for the chair, the computer and the work station. I have only been in parliament three years, so I want to know why this extra cost has been whacked on the to the taxpayer.</li> <li>Ms Clarke: We will take the question on notice,</li> </ul>	Page 69 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
39.	Program 3.1	Ryan /	Experts who	Senator RYAN: Can you take on notice what experts you took advice from. I	Page 70	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
	AMPS / MAPS	Abetz	provided advice concerning plastic mats (electorate staff)	would appreciate the names of the experts you took advice from; the names of all companies that are involved in these ergonomic assessments, because I am assuming that there would be something in place to make sure that the people making the recommendation do not have the capacity to then benefit from the recommendation directly or indirectly; and whether or not there is any legal or regulatory requirement for this, or whether this was something that your department did of its own initiative. <b>Senator ABETZ:</b> Could we also have the cost of obtaining that expert advice, if that was not part of the list of questions.	18 Oct 2011		
40.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Electrical Tag and Test Costs (electoral offices)	<ul> <li>Senator RYAN: We all get lots of advice, Ms Mason. I am challenging the judgement; I am not trying to hide that. How much does it cost to have someone come around with a trolley and test everything in an office?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: I will take it on notice and get back to you.</li> <li>Senator RYAN: Can I have both the costs for the last financial year and the projected costs for this financial year. I will place my other questions on notice.</li> </ul>	Page 71 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
41.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Polley	iPhones and iPads connecting to the parliamentary system	<ul> <li>CHAIR: Can you just clarify then why Apple iPhones can now be connected to the parliamentary system—as can iPads—if there is a concern about security? If the iPhone or iPad is purchased by the individual senator or member, it can be connected—but it is not something your department will consider as part of a future rollout?</li> <li>Ms Clarke: I think we will take that on notice, but my understanding is that there is a certain amount of data that does not in fact stay in the iPhone. I will take it on notice and refer it to someone technically competent in this area.</li> </ul>	Page 72 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
42.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Ministerial staffers	<ul> <li>On Page 199, section 2 of Budget Paper No. 2, the Government has announced that it will spend \$7.2million over 5 years on a total of 10 new Government and Non-Government staff. How many of these 10 positions will be employed on the Government side?</li> <li>a) As \$700,000 has been allocated for the current financial year, can you confirm that these appointments have already been made for the Government side?</li> <li>b) How many staff will be employed on the non-Government side?</li> <li>i. By each Independent member of the House of Representatives</li> <li>ii. By each independent Senator</li> </ul>	Written	1/12/2011	09/02/2012
43.	Program 3.1 AMPS / MAPS	Ryan	Ministerial staffers	Recent reports in The Financial Review have indicated that two staffers to Wayne Swan Treasurer received large termination payouts and have subsequently returned to other Government roles, including one staffer returning to work for the Treasurer after 6 months. Without providing names of individuals involved, can you provide details of payments made to Ministerial staffers who have taken severance payments and then returned to work for the same Minister? Please include the amounts paid in each instance and the period of time before subsequent employment, as well as any clawback arrangements put in place.	Written	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
44.	Medibank Private Ltd AMPS	Bernardi	Disciplinary action	<ul> <li>Senator BERNARDI: You will have to take this next question on notice, clearly. Are you able to provide me with the disciplinary action? Do you note the disciplinary action that is taken against healthcare providers by the professional associations or by the police? Do you keep an overall catalogue of that in the industry?</li> <li>Mr Savvides: Yes, when we are directly involved in the follow-through because we want to see the outcome—</li> </ul>	Page 13 18 Oct 2011	11/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date <i>or</i> Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>Senator BERNARDI: Not just for you; do you do it industry-wide and make assessments? Surely that would be the case if there has been a complaint elsewhere.</li> <li>Mr Savvides: Yes, I think our team talks to other groups in the sector who are investigators as well. We do have a shared resource. I can take that on notice. If you are interested in how vigorous we are in following that through and what actually occurs, we can certainly provide a summary of that.</li> <li>Senator BERNARDI: I would be interested in the ultimate result—say, if 15 dentists have been disciplined, what happens? I just want the broad numbers and the industry sectors in which they are operating.</li> <li>Mr Savvides: I am happy to take that on notice.</li> </ul>			
45.	Future Fund Management Agency	Di Natale	Future Fund holdings in nuclear weapons	<ul> <li>Senator DI NATALE: Can you please update the committee on the fund's holdings in tobacco and weapons and nuclear weapons stocks specifically?</li> <li>Mr Burgess: In relation to tobacco, our holdings at the end of December were at \$147 million. I do not have the most recent numbers with me but I do not believe that the holdings have changed significantly in that time and, certainly, our investment strategy in that area has not changed.</li> <li>Senator DI NATALE: And in nuclear weapons stocks?</li> <li>Mr Burgess: We received a request from ICAN on a range of companies they consider to be nuclear weapons holdings. In response to that FOI request, the value at 12 April was \$179 million. Without going into detail, we do not necessarily concur that every stock has that characteristic but that was our response in relation to the list they requested for nuclear holdings.<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	Page 33 18 Oct 2011		
46.	Future Fund Management Agency	Di Natale	Economic and Social Governance Policy	<ul> <li>Senator DI NATALE: I would imagine if you are undergoing those discussions that you would have seen the ethical investment policies that are adopted by Norway, for example, as part of a fund which operates in a very similar way. What are your views of the system currently adopted for determining ESG criteria in Norway?</li> <li>Mr Burgess: I would not be in a position to comment on Norway's ESG policy in and of itself. I am not an expert on Norway per se. As you would be aware, there is a wide variety of approaches across the world to ESG management. Norway has one approach, but there are many others.</li> <li>Senator DI NATALE: Can you take that on notice and get the fund's view of</li> </ul>	Page 33 18 Oct 2011	16/01/2012	09/02/2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Answered in *Hansard* transcript, p. 33 (18/10/2011)

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				what is a valuable framework for ESG investment as it relates to what is going on in Norway?			
47.	Future Fund Management Agency	Di Natale	Investment in tobacco	Senator DI NATALE: I am aware of the discussion and certainly that there are different parameters that one can use. I am asking specifically about the board's investment in tobacco. What I am asking is a very specific question: how do you think it would impact on the fund's bottom line if the fund chose not to invest in tobacco? Mr Burgess: Its effect on the bottom line I would have to take on notice to give you some parameters around that.	Page 34 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
48.	Future Fund Management Agency	Cormann	Emerging market currency losses	<ul> <li>Senator CORMANN: Can you please quantify the loss incurred by the fund over the last three years in relation to exposure to currency foreign exchange positions on emerging markets?</li> <li>Mr Neal: I think the answer is no. I do not have that data with me.</li> <li></li> <li>Senator CORMANN: That is all very interesting information, Minister, but it does not actually answer the question that I asked. In relation to the question that I asked, are you claiming some sort of commercial-in-confidence? For you to make the statement that you do not think it is appropriate is not what I understand an appropriate claim of public interest immunity to be.</li> <li>Senator Wong: We will take this question on notice then.</li> </ul>	Page 37 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
49.	Future Fund Management Agency	Cormann	Emerging market currency losses	Senator CORMANN: How much have the Future Fund lost—they have said that they have lost money—on emerging market currency foreign exchange positions over the past three financial years? Senator Wong: Three financial years? We will take that question on notice and consider it.	Page 37 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
50.	Future Fund Management Agency	Cormann	Selldown of Telstra shares	<ul> <li>Senator CORMANN: What was the total cost of planning and executing that selldown?</li> <li>Mr Neal: If by 'cost' you are referring to fees paid—</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Yes, fees paid—the administrative expenses associated with selling down the holding.</li> <li>Mr Neal: To give you a precise answer, I would have to take that on notice. One thing I can say is that the brokerage of the on-market selldown was free. There was no brokerage cost in that exercise. There were, obviously, fees paid to various advisers—technical advisers and the like.</li> </ul>	Page 40 18 Oct 2011	16/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
51.	Future Fund Management Agency	Cormann	Future Fund holding in Australian bank debt	Senator CORMANN: Now how much Australian bank debts does the Future Fund own or hold? Mr Neal: I do not know the precise answer to that, I am afraid. I would have to take that on notice	Page 40 18 Oct 2011	13/02/2012	
52.	AEC Outcome 1	Ronaldson	HSU East Corresponden ce	<ul> <li>Senator RONALDSON: Will you table a copy of the letter to HSU East for the committee?</li> <li>Mr Pirani: Yes. I see no reason not to.</li> <li>Senator RONALDSON: Have you had a response that letter?</li> <li>Mr Pirani: Yes, we have.</li> <li>Senator RONALDSON: And you will table that as well?</li> <li>Mr Pirani: Yes. I do not have them with me, but they will be tabled.</li> </ul>	Page 79 18 Oct 2011	20/10/11	10/11/11
53.	AEC Outcome 1	Ryan	Informal Voting 2010 Federal Election	<ul> <li>Senator RYAN: In your report <i>Analysis of informal voting, House of Representatives, 2010 federal election</i>, the AEC provided a breakdown, by electorate, of informal voting, looking at those voters who voted with just one tick or a cross. Is it possible for you to provide the committee with a breakdown by electorate—in a similar fashion—of informal votes where a 1, a tick or a cross was used by candidate?</li> <li>Senator WONG: By?</li> <li>Senator RYAN: By candidate. Group together all the 1s, ticks and crosses, saying that there were so many per electorate. Is it possible to look at those by candidate?</li> <li>Mr Killesteyn: We will take that on notice.</li> </ul>	Page 88 18 Oct 2011	1/12/2011	09/02/2012
54.	AEC Outcome 1	Ryan	Informal Voting Procedures	<b>Senator RYAN:</b> Will you consider doing so at subsequent elections? I say this given that informal voting has been and will probably continue to be an issue that provokes some debate in this place in the coming years. Could you consider doing that for future elections? <b>Mr Killesteyn:</b> I will take it on notice.	Page 88 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
55.	AEC Outcome 1	Ryan	Multiple Voters	Senator RYAN: Can you take on notice for the 2010 election how many of those people have been removed from the roll subsequently? Mr Killesteyn: Yes.	Page 90 18 Oct 2011	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
56.	AEC	Ryan	Health Services Union East Branch	On 21 September 2011, the AEC faced the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral Matters and promised to pursue a return from the Health Services Union East Branch. HSU East Branch's declarations for 2009/2010 where expenditure and payments	Written	29/11/2011	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>have increased significantly. The first return dated 19 October 2010 disclosed \$0 in receipts and \$22,255 in payments, then the three amending returns filed on 30 &amp; 31 August 2011 and 1 September 2011 showed receipts jumping from \$0 to \$17,935,800 and then to \$24,989,315 and payments rising from \$22,255 to \$16,284,546 and then to \$24,894,014.</li> <li>a) What has the AEC done to follow up this matter since 21 September?</li> <li>b) What explanations have the HSU East branch given?</li> <li>c) Will the AEC promise to conduct a thorough investigation using its</li> </ul>			
				<ul><li>coercive powers?</li><li>d) What further documents has the AEC demanded from the HSU East about this matter?</li></ul>			
57.	AEC	Ryan	Member for Dobell	At a recent hearing of the Joint Standing Committee on Electoral matters (21 September 2011) Mr Paul Pirani indicated he was unaware of an article in the Sydney Morning Herald in May 2009 outlining particular allegations against the member for Dobell (transcript below): <i>Mrs BRONWYN BISHOP: Going back to 8 May 2009, there was the</i> <i>article 'Commission not told of spending on MP's campaign', a report in</i> <i>the Sydney Morning Herald that showed allegations that the HSU spent</i>	Written	29/11/2011	09/02/2012
				<ul> <li>\$53,000 on Mr Thomson's campaign for Dobell, which included—and this is very important—payments to The Entrance Print from May to November 2007 totalling \$12,647, which was made on Craig Thomson's MasterCard and paid for, as we know, by the HSU.</li> <li>Mr Pirani: What was that date again?</li> <li>Mrs BRONWYN BISHOP: This is 8 May 2009.</li> <li>Mr Pirani: I am certainly not aware of any article of that date that referred specifically to that printing expense.</li> </ul>			
				<ul> <li>This article specified in detail that a number of election expenses had been paid by or on behalf of Mr Thomson, specifically:</li> <li>\$12,647 to The Entrance Print from May to November 2007, made on Mr Thomson's Mastercard.</li> <li>\$7253.17 to Australia Post in July 2007, made by electronic funds transfer from the HSU's SGE Credit Union account.</li> </ul>			

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
58.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG ( HR	Cormann / Ryan	Travel Costs, Legal Costs, Advertising Expenses,	<ul> <li>\$2739 to Nova Radio on 12 October 2007, made on Mr Thomson's Union Mastercard.</li> <li>\$14,647.60 to Central Coast Radio on 12 November 2007, made by the HSU by electronic funds transfer.</li> <li>\$7900 to Cumberland Newspapers in 2006, made on a HSU National Office Diner's Club Card.</li> <li>a) Have you considered the allegations outlined in this article since the hearing?</li> <li>b) How can the AEC justify not having seen a copy of this article, despite promising to keep a "watching brief" on the Craig Thomson matter on 10 April 2009?</li> <li>c) How has the AEC altered its internal procedures given this failure to notice a prominent article in one of the major daily newspapers?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Can you tell us how much the finance department and portfolio agencies spent on travel costs last year? I am just going through a series of costs like that.</li> <li>Mr Tune: We will have to take that on notice. We do not have it with us at the</li> </ul>	Pages 20-21 18 Oct 2011/ Written	13/02/2012	
	& PCU & agencies)		Boards and Board Members, Building and Office Space	<ul> <li>moment.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: I assumed that these are the sorts of questions you get as a matter of course and you have it handy. So you are not going to be able to tell me how much you spent on advertising last year?</li> <li>Mr Tune: Advertising of what nature? Recruitment advertising?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Advertising of any nature. This is supplementary estimates—after we have had the full financial year outcome.</li> <li>Mr Tune: We will be able to get that for you.</li> <li></li> <li>Senator CORMANN:I am looking for the spend on travel costs last financial year; the spend on advertising last year; the spend on external legal advice; and the spend on external consultant advice. I am also interested in information on how many boards and board members are paid for by Finance and the portfolio agencies and the full costs associated with these members—that might take a bit longer, I appreciate that; how many staff are currently employed by Finance and</li> </ul>			

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>all portfolio agencies; what buildings and office space are used by Finance and portfolio agencies, and what is the total area of this office space. To the extent that you can, if you can assist today that is great.</li> <li>Mr Tune: There are a couple that we do have for you immediately. One is legal costs. We will run through what we have and then we will take the others and get them to you ASAP.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Thank you.</li> <li>Ms Connell: Was there a consultancy question as well?</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: Yes.</li> <li>Ms Connell: The expenditure on consultancies for 2010-11 was \$25.6 million.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: How did that compare with the previous year?</li> <li>Ms Connell: In 2009-10? I do not have that.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: You said you had the costs on legal advice.</li> <li>Ms Connell: Expenditure on legal advice in 2010-11 was \$35,746,636.71, inclusive of GST.</li> <li>Mr Tune: In 2009-10 it was \$31.9 million.</li> <li>Senator CORMANN: For all of those I have listed, if could you give us the 2009-10 and 2010-11 figures that would be much appreciated.</li> </ul>			
59.	General – Cross Portfolio	Xenophon	Travel savings	<ul> <li>In response to another question on notice, the department stated that between 1 July 2010 and 31 May 2011, 75.03 percent of flights taken by current parliamentarians were business class on Qantas.</li> <li>a) Are any figures available for staff or departmental officers, etc?</li> <li>b) If so, can the department indicate what percentage of these staff flew Qantas, and what percentage flew business class?</li> <li>c) Would it be fair to say that most parliamentarians tend to travel by Qantas because they are entitled to business class, rather than because they are using the lowest possible fare?</li> <li>d) Has there been any change in this since the cancellation of the frequent flyer program?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
60.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG ( HR & PCU &	Ryan	Staffing numbers	<ul> <li>a) How many permanent staff recruited this FYTD?</li> <li>b) What classification are these staff?</li> <li>c) How many temporary positions exist or have been created this FYTD?</li> <li>d) This FYTD, how many employees have been employed on contract and</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
61.	agencies) General – Cross Portfolio COOG (HR & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Staffing numbers	<ul> <li>what is the average length of their employment period?</li> <li>a) How many permanent staff recruited for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>b) What classification are these staff?</li> <li>c) How many temporary positions exist or have been created for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>d) For the year 2010-11, how many employees have been employed on contract and what is the average length of their employment period?</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012
62.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (HR & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Staffing numbers	<ul> <li>a) Are there any plans for staff reduction? If so, please advise details ie. Reduction target, how this will be achieved, services/programs to be cut etc.</li> <li>b) If there are plans for staff reductions, please give the reason why these are happening.</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012
63.	General – Cross Portfolio Budget / COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Efficiency Dividend	<ul><li>a) How has the efficiency dividend been implemented?</li><li>b) Please list where and what spending has been reduced to meet the efficiency dividend.</li></ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
64.	General – Cross Portfolio FMG / COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Advertising	<ul> <li>a) What advertising – Campaign and Non-Campaign – did the Department/Agency undertaken in 2011-12? Provide details of each advertising, including the program the advertising was for, the total spend and the business that provided the advertising services.</li> <li>b) Did the Department of Finance and Deregulation provide any advice about the advertising? Provide details of each advertising item.</li> <li>c) Did the Advertising comply with the Guidelines on Information and Advertising Campaigns by Australian Government Departments and Agencies (March 2010)? Provide the details for each advertising item.</li> <li>d) Provide details for any other communications program, including details of the program, the total spend and the business that provided the communication services.</li> </ul>		13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>e) What advertising – Campaign and Non-Campaign – and other communications programs is the Department/Agency undertaking, or are planning to undertake?</li> </ul>			
65.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Hospitality	<ul> <li>a) What is the Department/Agency's hospitality spend for the year 2010-11? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>b) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total hospitality spend for the year 2010-11. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event.</li> <li>c) What is the Department's entertainment spend for the year 2010-11? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total entertainment spend for the year 2010-11. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>d) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, please detail total entertainment spend for the year 2010-11. Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event.</li> <li>e) What hospitality spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>f) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what hospitality spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of each event.</li> <li>g) What entertainment spend is the Department/Agency's planning on spending? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>h) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>h) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> <li>h) For each Minister and Parliamentary Secretary office, what entertainment spend is currently being planned for? Detail date, location, purpose and cost of all events.</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012
66.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Board appointments	<ul> <li>a) What is the gender ratio on each board and across the portfolio?</li> <li>b) Detail any board appointments for the year 2010-11.</li> <li>c) Please detail any board appointments for the FYTD.</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
67.	General – Cross Portfolio	Ryan	Grants	Has the Department complied with interim requirements relating to the publication of discretionary grants?	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
	COOG (PCU & agencies)						
68.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (Legal & PCU)	Ryan	FOI requests	<ul> <li>a) Has the Department/agency received any advice on how to respond to FOI requests?</li> <li>b) How many FOI requests has the Department received for the year 2010-11? How many have been granted or denied?</li> <li>c) How many conclusive certificates have been issued in relation to FOI requests for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>d) How many FOI requests has the Department received for this FYTD? How many have been granted or denied?</li> <li>e) How many conclusive certificates have been issued in relation to FOI requests for this FYTD? How many have been granted or denied?</li> <li>e) How many conclusive certificates have been issued in relation to FOI requests for this FYTD?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
69.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (PLS, CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Community Cabinet Meetings	<ul> <li>a) What was the cost of Ministers travel and expenses for the Community Cabinet meetings held for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>b) How many Ministerial Staff travelled with the Minister for the Community Cabinet meetings for the year 2010-11? What was the total cost of this travel?</li> <li>c) How many Departmental officers travelled with the Minister for the Community Cabinet meetings for the year 2010-11? What was the total cost of this travel?</li> <li>d) What was the total cost to the Department and the Ministers office for the Community Cabinet meetings for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>e) What was the cost of Ministers travel and expenses for the Community Cabinet meetings held this FYTD?</li> <li>f) How many Ministerial Staff travelled with the Minister for the Community Cabinet meetings held this FYTD? What was the total cost of this travel?</li> <li>g) How many Departmental officers travelled with the Minister for the Community Cabinet meetings held this FYTD? What was the total cost of this travel?</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>h) What was the total cost to the Department and the Ministers office for the Community Cabinet meetings held this FYTD?</li> </ul>			
70.	General – Cross Portfolio Budget & COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Reviews	<ul> <li>a) For the year 2010-11: <ol> <li>How many Reviews were being undertaken by all departments and agencies in each portfolio?</li> <li>When will each of these reviews be concluded?</li> <li>What reviews have been concluded?</li> <li>Which of these reviews has been provided to Government?</li> <li>When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>What is the estimated cost of each of these Reviews?</li> </ol> </li> <li>b) For this financial year to date: <ol> <li>What reviews are planned?</li> <li>What reviews have been concluded this FYTD?</li> <li>Which of these reviews has been provided to Government?</li> </ol> </li> <li>v. When will each of these reviews be concluded?</li> <li>What reviews have been concluded this FYTD?</li> <li>When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been concluded this FYTD?</li> <li>When will the Government be responding to the respective reviews that have been completed?</li> <li>What is the estimated cost of each of these Reviews?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
71.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Consultancies	<ul> <li>a) How many consultancies were undertaken in 2010-11? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total value for all consultancies.</li> <li>b) Were there any changes to any of the portfolio's tenders in 2010-11? Detail any changes</li> <li>c) How many consultancies have been undertaken or are underway this FYTD? Identify the name of the consultant, the subject matter of the consultancy, the duration and cost of the arrangement, and the method of procurement (ie. open tender, direct source, etc). Also include total</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>value for all consultancies.</li> <li>d) Does each department and agency stand by its current tenders on the Austenders website? Have any changes or corrections been made for any tenders advertised on to Government Tenders website(<u>www.tenders.gov.au</u>) for tenders advertised this financial year? Explain. Are up to date with reporting requirements?</li> <li>e) How many consultancies are planned for this calendar year? Have these been published in your Annual Procurement Plan (APP) on the AusTender website and if not why not? In each case please identify the subject matter, duration, cost and method of procurement as above, and the name of the consultant if known.</li> </ul>			
72.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CAPA & CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Media Monitoring	<ul> <li>a) What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Minister's office for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>i. Which agency or agencies provided these services?</li> <li>ii. What is the estimated budget to provide this same services for the year 2011-12?</li> <li>iii. What has been spent providing these services FYTD?</li> <li>b) What was the total cost of media monitoring services, including press clippings, electronic media transcripts etcetera, provided to the Department and its agencies for the year 2010-11?</li> <li>i. Which agency or agencies provided these services?</li> <li>ii. What is the estimated budget to provide this same services for the year 2011-12?</li> <li>iii. What has been spent provided these services?</li> <li>iii. What has been spent providing these services FYTD?</li> </ul>	Written	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
73.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (BSB PCU &	Ryan	Social Media	Has there been any changes to department and agency social media or protocols about staff access and useage of Youtube; online social media, such as Facebook, MySpace and Twitter; and access to online discussions forums and blogs since May 2011? Please explain.	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date <i>or</i> Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
74.	agencies) General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO / PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Contractors	<ul> <li>Since May 2011: <ul> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed Hawker Britton in any capacity or is it considering employing Hawker Britton? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed Shannon's Way in any capacity or is it considering employing Shannon's Way? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed John Utting &amp; UMR Research Group in any capacity or is it considering employing John Utting &amp; UMR Research Group? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed McCann-Erickson in any capacity or is it considering employing McCann-Erickson? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed Cutting Edge in any capacity or is it considering employing Ikon Communications in any capacity or is it considering employing Ikon Communications? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed CMAX Communications? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed Boston Consulting Group in any capacity or is it considering employing Boston Consulting Group? If yes, provide details.</li> <li>Has the department/agency ever employed McKinsey &amp; Company? If yes, provide details.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012
75.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Discretionary Grants	<ul> <li>a) Could the Department provide a list of all discretionary grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants for the year 2010-11? Please provide details of the recipients, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants.</li> <li>b) Could the Department provide a list of all discretionary grants, including ad hoc and one-off grants FYTD? Please provide details of the</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul><li>recipients, the intended use of the grants and what locations have benefited from the grants.</li><li>c) Has the Department complied with interim requirements relating to the publication of discretionary grants?</li></ul>			
76.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (PCU & CFO & agencies)	Ryan	Commissioned reports	<ul> <li>a) How many Reports have been commissioned by the Government in your portfolio for the year 2010-11? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>i. How much did each report cost? How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>ii. What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these reports?</li> <li>b) How many Reports have been commissioned by the Government in your portfolio FYTD? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>i. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>ii. What is the current status of each report? When is the Government in your portfolio FYTD? Please provide details of each report including date commissioned, date report handed to Government, date of public release, Terms of Reference and Committee members.</li> <li>i. How much did each report cost/or is estimated to cost? How many departmental staff were involved in each report and at what level?</li> <li>ii. What is the current status of each report? When is the Government intending to respond to these report?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
77.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Government payment of accounts	<ul> <li>a) For the year 2010-11, did the department/agency paid its accounts to contractors/consultants etc in accordance with Government policy in terms of time for payment (i.e.within 30 days)? If not, why not, and what has been the timeframe for payment of accounts? Please provide a breakdown, average statistics etc as appropriate to give insight into how this issue is being approached.)</li> <li>i. For accounts not paid within 30 days, was interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the portfolio/department agency for the current financial year and the previous financial year?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
78.	General –	Ryan	Stationary	<ul> <li>ii. Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> <li>b) For the FYTD, has the department/agency paid its accounts to contractors/consultants etc in accordance with Government policy in terms of time for payment (i.e.within 30 days)? If not, why not, and what has been the timeframe for payment of accounts? Please provide a breakdown, average statistics etc as appropriate to give insight into how this issue is being approached.)</li> <li>i. For accounts not paid within 30 days, is interest being paid on overdue amounts and if so how much has been paid by the portfolio/department agency for the current financial year and the previous financial year?</li> <li>ii. Where interest is being paid, what rate of interest is being paid and how is this rate determined?</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
	Cross Portfolio COOG (PLS & CFO & PCU & agencies)		requirements and expenditure	<ul><li>(Ministers/Parliamentary Secretaries) stationery requirements in your portfolio (i.e. paper, envelopes, with compliments slips) in 2010-11?</li><li>b) What is the estimated cost for 2011-12?</li></ul>			
79.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (BSB, CFO, CAPA & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Media subscriptions	<ul> <li>a) Does your department or agencies within your portfolio subscribe to pay TV (for example Foxtel)?</li> <li>i. If yes, please provide the reason why, the cost and what channels.</li> <li>ii. What was the cost for 2010-11?</li> <li>iii. What is the estimated cost for 2011-12?</li> <li>b) Does your department or agencies within your portfolio subscribe to newspapers?</li> <li>i. If yes, please provide the reason why, the cost and what newspapers.</li> <li>ii. What was the cost for 2010-11?</li> <li>iii. What is the estimated cost for 2011-12?</li> </ul>	Written	10/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>c) Does your department or agencies within your portfolio subscribe to magazines?</li> <li>i. If yes, please provide the reason why, the cost and what magazines.</li> <li>ii. What was the cost for 2010-11?</li> <li>iii. What is the estimated cost for 2011-12?</li> </ul>			
80.	General – Cross Portfolio AMPS / COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Travel costs	<ul> <li>a) For the year 2010-11, please detail all travel (itemised separately,) undertaken by your portfolio Minister and Parliamentary Secretaries. Include details of what the travel was for, what cost was spent on travel (including travel type – i.e. business airfare), accommodation, security, food, beverages (alcohol listed separately), gifts, entertainment, and all other expenses.</li> <li>b) For the year 2010-11, please provide the same information (itemised separately) for any Minister and Parliamentary staff that accompanied the Minister and Parliamentary Secretary on their travel and include a similar breakdown of the costs incurred by or on behalf of those staff.</li> <li>c) For the year 2010-11, please provide the same information (itemised separately) for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and Parliamentary Secretary on their travel and include a similar breakdown of the costs incurred by or on behalf of those staff.</li> <li>d) For the year 2010-11, please detail all travel (itemised separately) undertaken by employees of each department and agency within each portfolio. Include details of what the travel was for, what cost was spent on travel (including travel type – i.e. business airfare), accommodation, security, food, beverages (alcohol listed separately), gifts, entertainment, and all other expenses.</li> <li>e) For the FYTD, please detail all travel (itemised separately) undertaken by your portfolio Minister and Parliamentary Secretaries. Include details of what the travel was for, what cost was spent on travel (including travel type – i.e. business airfare), accommodation, security, food, beverages (alcohol listed separately), gifts, entertainment, and all other expenses.</li> <li>e) For the FYTD, please detail all travel (itemised separately) undertaken by your portfolio Minister and Parliamentary Secretaries. Include details of what the travel was for, what cost was spent on travel (including travel type – i.e. business airfare), accommodation, security, food, beverages (</li></ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>similar breakdown of the costs incurred by or on behalf of those staff.</li> <li>g) For the FYTD, please provide the same information (itemised separately) for Departmental officers that accompanied the Minister and Parliamentary Secretary on their travel and include a similar breakdown of the costs incurred by or on behalf of those staff.</li> <li>h) For the year FYTD, please detail all travel (itemised separately) undertaken by employees of each department and agency within each portfolio. Include details of what the travel was for, what cost was spent on travel (including travel type – i.e. business airfare), accommodation, security, food, beverages (alcohol listed separately), gifts, entertainment, and all other expenses.</li> </ul>			
81.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (Legal & CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Legal costs	<ul> <li>a) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services for the year 2010-11 within the department and agency? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>b) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services for the year 2010-11 from the Australian Government Solicitor? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>c) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services for the year 2010-11 from private firms? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>d) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services for the year 2010-11 from other sources? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>e) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services for the year 2010-11 from other sources? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>e) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services FYTD within the department and agency spend on legal services FYTD from the Australian Government Solicitor? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> <li>g) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services FYTD from the Australian Government Solicitor? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				<ul> <li>h) What sum did each portfolio department and agency spend on legal services FYTD from other sources? Please provide a list of each service and costs.</li> </ul>			
82.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (HR, CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Education expenses	<ul> <li>a) For the year 2010-11, detail all education expenses (i.e. in house courses and tertiary studies) for each portfolio department and agency. Include what type of course, the total cost, cost per participant, how many participants and the amount of study leave granted to each participant.</li> <li>b) For the FYTD, detail all education expenses (i.e. in house courses and tertiary studies) for each portfolio department and agency. Include what type of course, the total cost, cost per participant, how many participants and the amount of study leave granted to each participants and tertiary studies) for each portfolio department and agency. Include what type of course, the total cost, cost per participant, how many participants and the amount of study leave granted to each participant.</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
83.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (HR, CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Executive coaching and leadership training 2010- 2011	<ul> <li>a) In relation to the purchase of executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each portfolio department and agency, please provide the following information for the year 2010-11: <ol> <li>Total spending on these services</li> <li>The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> </ol> </li> <li>iii. The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted</li> <li>w. The names of all service providers engaged</li> <li>For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide: <ol> <li>The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees</li> <li>A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> <li>c) Where a service was provided at any location other than the department</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
				or agency's own premises, please provide: i. The location used ii. The number of employees who took part on each occasion iii. The total number of hours involved for all employees who took part iv. Any costs the department or agency's incurred to use the location			
84.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (HR, CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Executive coaching and leadership training FYTD	<ul> <li>a) In relation to the purchase of executive coaching and/or other leadership training services purchased by each portfolio department and agency, please provide the following information FYTD: <ol> <li>Total spending on these services</li> <li>The number of employees offered these services and their employment classification</li> <li>The number of employees who have utilised these services, their employment classification and how much study leave each employee was granted</li> <li>The names of all service providers engaged</li> </ol> </li> <li>b) For each service purchased form a provider listed under (4), please provide: <ol> <li>The name and nature of the service purchased</li> <li>Whether the service is one-on-one or group based</li> <li>The number of employees who received the service and their employment classification</li> <li>The total number of hours involved for all employees</li> <li>A description of the fees charged (i.e. per hour, complete package)</li> </ol> </li> <li>c) Where a service was provided at any location other than the department or agency's own premises, please provide: <ol> <li>The location used</li> <li>The number of employees who took part on each occasion</li> <li>The number of employees who took part on use the location</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
85.	General – Cross	Ryan	Paid Parental leave	<ul> <li>a) Please list how many staff in each portfolio department and agency are eligible to receive payments under the Government's Paid Parental</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
	Portfolio COOG (HR, CFO & PCU & agencies)			<ul> <li>Leave scheme?</li> <li>b) For the year 2010-11 list which portfolio department and agencies are providing its employees with payments under the Government's Paid Parental Leave scheme? Please list how many staff are in receipt of these payments.</li> <li>c) For the FYTD list which portfolio department and agencies are providing its employees with payments under the Government's Paid Parental Leave scheme? Please list how many staff are in receipt of these payments.</li> </ul>			
86.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (PLS & CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Training for Portfolio Minister and Parliamentary Secretaries	<ul> <li>a) How much is spent on training for Ministers and Parliamentary Secretaries in your portfolio? Itemise each training, cost and for which Minister and/or Parliamentary Secretary the training was for.</li> <li>b) How much did the department/agency spend on training for Ministers and Parliamentary Secretaries that was provided by the Department of Finance and Deregulation? List each training, the cost and each participant.</li> </ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
87.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (BSB, CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Corporate cars	<ul> <li>a) How cars are owned by each department and agency in your portfolio?</li> <li>b) Where is the car/s located?</li> <li>c) What is the car/s used for?</li> <li>d) What is the cost of each car for 2010-11?</li> <li>e) How far did each car travel in 2010-11?</li> </ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012
88.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO & PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Taxi costs	How much did each department/agency spend on taxis in 2010-11? Provide a breakdown of each business group in each department/agency.	Written	13/02/2012	
89.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CFO	Ryan	Corporate credit cards	<ul><li>a) How many staff in each department and agency have a corporate credit card? What is their classification?</li><li>b) What action is taken if the corporate credit card is misued?</li></ul>	Written	2/02/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
	& PCU & agencies)			<ul> <li>c) How is corporate credit card use monitored?</li> <li>d) What happens if misuse of a corporate credit card is discovered?</li> <li>e) Have any instances of corporate credit card misuse have been discovered? List staff classification and what the misuse was, and the action taken.</li> <li>f) What action is taken to prevent corporate credit card misuse?</li> </ul>			
90.	General – Cross Portfolio Budget & COOG (PCU & agencies)	Ryan	Carbon price legislation	<ul><li>a) How was your department/agency consulted in the development of the carbon price package?</li><li>b) Is the carbon price package consistent with all of the policies in your department/agency?</li></ul>	Written	13/02/2012	
91.	General – Cross Portfolio COOG (CAPA) & FMG (?) AMPS	Ryan	Communicatio ns	How many communications people are there in each of your departments and agency's. List their classification, position description, services they provide to Ministers and/or Parliamentary Secretaries and any guidelines they must adhere to.	Written	07/02/2012	09/02/2012
92.	Program 2.1 FMG / Procurement	Fifield	Procurement – Australian Disability Enterprises	<ul> <li>a) What monitoring is being carried out by the Government in relation to the ADE procurement exemption that is available for Departments and Agencies?</li> <li>b) How many Government departments have utilised the ADE procurement exemption since the introduction of the exemption?</li> <li>c) What is the total value of contracts let using the ADE procurement exemption since the introduction of the exemption?</li> </ul>	Written* *Provided to DFD 31 October 2011	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
93.	Program 2.1 AMPS	Johnston	Australian Submarine Corporation and Blue Tech Pty Ltd	What is the corporate relationship between Deep Blue Tech Pty Ltd and ASC Pty Ltd?	Written (Transferred from Dept of Defence 02/11/2011)	31/01/2012	09/02/2012
94.	Program 2.1 AMPS	Johnston	Australian Submarine	In regards to Deep Blue Tech:	Written	16/01/2012	09/02/2012

Q No.	Program: Division or Agency	Senator	Broad Topic	Question	Proof Hansard Page & Hearing Date or Written Q	Date Rec'd	Date Tabled
			Corporation and Blue Tech Pty Ltd	<ul><li>a) What is the annual total cost for running Deep Blue Tech?</li><li>b) How is Deep Blue Tech funded?</li><li>c) What was its profit for the year 2010/11?</li><li>d) What are the details of its business model?</li></ul>	(Transferred from Dept of Defence 02/11/2011)		
95.	Program 2.1 AMPS	Johnston	Australian Submarine Corporation and Blue Tech Pty Ltd	<ul> <li>Noting Deep Blue Tech is, in effect, a government owned company, please provide:</li> <li>a) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2008/09.</li> <li>b) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2009/10.</li> <li>c) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2010/11.</li> </ul>	Written (Transferred from Dept of Defence 02/11/2011)	09/02/2012	
96.	Program 2.1 AMPS	Johnston	Australian Submarine Corporation	<ul> <li>Please provide the following with respect to ASC PTY LTD:</li> <li>a) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2008/09.</li> <li>b) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2009/10.</li> <li>c) Financial Statements and Tax Returns for the year ended June 2010/11.</li> </ul>	Written (Transferred from Dept of Defence 02/11/2011)	09/02/2012	
97.	Program 2.1	Cash	Boards	What incentives will the Government provide and what financial and change management support will Government give to government business enterprises required to fill 40 per cent board positions with women, as stated by Minister Wong in a speech on 11 October 2011.	Written (Transferred from FaHCSIA 14/11/11)	13/02/2012	