## SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS

# QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES 2009-10

Agency Office of the Australian Building and Construction Commissioner

# DEEWR Question No. EW643\_10

Senator Cameron asked on 21 October 2009, EEWR Hansard page 87

## Question

## ABCC Sham Contracting Audit - NSW

- 1. Were you advised that there was some statistical significance in interviewing 39 participants in the ABCC's NSW sham contracting audit?
- 2. How long did each sham contracting audit interview take?
- 3. Were the results of each sham contracting audit interview retained and recorded?
- 4. Was the assessment of the bona fides of these arrangements made at the level of the inspectors who conducted the interviews or at a higher level?
- 5. Of the 39 parties interviewed, how many also involved the ABCC speaking to the socalled contractors about arrangements, rather than just a business that engaged them?
- 6. Were the assessments of the sham contracting audit re-checked or carried out only once?
- 7. How many of the interviews involved examination of payment (time and wage) records as part of the audit?
- 8. How much notice was given to participants given that the audit was going to take place?

## Answer

The Office of the Australian Building and Construction Commissioner has provided the following response:

- 1. No.
- 2. 20-60 minutes.
- 3. Yes.
- 4. At a higher level.
- 5. 13.
- 6. Each assessment received peer review and team leader approval.
- 7. All.
- 8. 20 days.