Community Affairs Legislation Committee

Examination of Budget Estimates 2009-2010

Additional Information Received CONSOLIDATED VOLUME 6 CROSS PORTFOLIO INDIGENOUS MATTERS

TABLED DOCUMENTS and

EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS PORTFOLIO

Please note that Additional Information received relating to the Cross Portfolio Indigenous issues hearing for FAMILIES, HOUSING, COMMUNITY SERVICES AND INDIGENOUS AFFAIRS PORTFOLIO and HEALTH AND AGEING PORTFOLIO is included in the volumes for these portfolios

26 NOVEMBER 2009

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATING TO THE EXAMINATION OF BUDGET EXPENDITURE FOR 2009-2010

Included in this volume are answers to written and oral questions taken on notice and tabled papers relating to the supplementary budget estimates hearing on Indigenous matters pursuant to Senate Resolution of 26 August 2008 held on 23 October 2009

* Please note that the tabling date of 26 November 2009 is the proposed tabling date

| Senator | Quest. No. | Tabled documents | Vol. 6 Page No. | Date tabled in the Senate or presented out of session* |
|---------|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|---|
| | XT1 tabled by FaHCSIA | History of SIHIP | | 29.10.09 |
| | XT2 tabled by FaHCSIA | 2008-09 Northern Territory Emergency Response cross agency expenditure report as at 30 June 2009 by agency and theme | | 29.10.09 |
| | XT3 tabled by FaHCSIA | 2009-10 Closing the Gap - Northern Territory cross agency expenditure report as at 30 September 2009 by agency and theme | | 29.10.09 |
| | XT4 tabled by FaHCSIA | National partnership agreement on remote Indigenous housing capital works activity FY 2009-10 | | 26.11.09 |

EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS PORTFOLIO

| Scullion | CA025_ | Work experience | 26. | 11.09 |
|----------|--------|-----------------|-----|-------|
| | 10 | • | | |

Briefing No: 7.79

History of SIHIP

Outcome 7 - Indigenous

POSSIBLE QUESTION: Background response to set broad context for responses on SIHIP.

TALKING POINTS

- Discussions with the Northern Territory Government began in early 2007 on how to deliver a larger housing construction program in response to the high level of need in remote Indigenous communities in the NT.
- 2. This discussion recognised that previous approaches had proved ineffective - delivering new housing in limited numbers supported by limited repairs and maintenance programs and delivered through a variety of small community based housing providers.
- 3. In particular construction of new houses was not reducing overcrowding and in many places conditions were worsening as a result of high population growth exacerbated by the generally short life-spans for new housing.
- 4. It was recognised by both the NT Government and the then Howard Government that housing investment in these communities was a critical underpinning to essential services delivered by government and that improvement in housing standards was essential to achieving improved outcomes in areas such as health, education and employment.

- 5. Both governments also recognised the importance of ensuring that any large-scale investment would deliver significant numbers of robust houses at a reasonable cost.
- 6. And the value of maximising this investment as a significant opportunity for Indigenous people to secure real jobs with training and work experience that could lead to sustainable employment outcomes and increased economic independence.
- 7. The governments agreed that an analysis of possible contract methodologies was warranted to identify a method that optimised outcomes and addressed the inefficiencies of existing program delivery methods for remote Indigenous housing.
- 8. The company selected [*using a select tender*] for this assessment looked at all the various contracting methods in terms of how they could deal with the challenges in delivering remote Indigenous housing and deliver the best possible outcomes for Indigenous communities and government.
- The clear recommendation was that an Alliance contracting method was the best arrangement. This advice was accepted by both governments.
- 10. Following that decision a Memorandum of Understanding was signed between the Northern Territory and Australian Governments in September 2007 which committed over \$500 million in Australian Government funding to a major capital works program which would be delivered under an Alliance methodology.
- 11. The NT Government added \$100 million over five years to the capital budget.

- 12. In April 2008 the Government announced the Strategic Indigenous Housing and Infrastructure Program, or SIHIP a \$672 million program that would provide substantial capital works to 73 remote communities as well as a number of targeted town camps over five years using an Alliance methodology [original \$527m + \$100m from NTG + \$20m extra + \$25m extra for Tennant Creek, Groote and Tiwi].
- 13. Major works including new housing would be delivered in 16 of these communities while refurbishment work to existing house would be provided for the other 57 communities.
- 14. The targeted town camps would also receive major works including the upgrade of infrastructure services such as power, water, sewerage, roads, drains and street lighting to bring these urban living areas up to the same standard as other suburbs in their respective towns.
- 15. In terms of this funding, the amount of construction work to be delivered and the number of communities where this work will occur, it was – and is - easily the largest Indigenous housing program undertaken in this country.
- 16. Following this announcement the NT Government began the procurement process for the Alliance consortiums that would deliver this work.
- 17. This was an open process run in two stages and completed in October 2008. Three consortiums were chosen, which included some of Australia's largest and most experienced construction companies [Leightons, Lang O'Rourke etc].

- 18. These Alliance consortiums have spent the last 12 months working with Indigenous communities, scoping out the initial capital works and commencing construction. They have also assessed the different housing solutions that could be used to provide large numbers of durable housing at a reasonable cost.
- 19. Recently the program has been in the public eye, particularly around ensuring that it is achieving the targets set by government of constructing 750 new houses and providing 230 rebuilt houses and 2500 refurbished houses by the end of 2013.
- 20. The review of SIHIP conducted in August examined these issues and put in place a number of arrangements to ensure that the program will achieve these goals. This includes streamlined management arrangements and greater involvement by the Australian Government in the day to day program management and delivery of the program.
- 21. We are already seeing the impact of these changes with Program Management costs reduced from 11.4% to 8% and Alliance Partners setting target costs for new housing, rebuilds and refurbishments that will ensure program targets are met.
 - The capacity to work closely with the Alliance Partners to make the changes required to refocus the program on its key targets is an example of the flexibility and responsiveness of Alliancing.
- 22. Capital work under the program is now gathering pace with a number of new houses underway, and 60 rebuilds and refurbishments now underway or completed. This is expected to increase to more than 180 rebuilds and refurbishments underway or completed by December 2009.

- 23. Arrangements are also being made to transport materials and establish work camps in northern communities so that capital works can continue through the wet season in a financially responsible way.
- 24. The pace of the program will continue to increase from 2010 for each year of the program SIHIP will build more than double the number of houses built on average over the last five years:
 - As outlined in the SIHIP Review this would mean 150 houses built by the end of 2010. The current estimate however is that 200 houses will have been completed by that time.
- 25. An integral element of the housing delivery method is to require long term secure tenure to underpin construction and ongoing housing management and repairs and maintenance. Long term secure tenure ensures responsibility and accountability by government for the provision of publicly funded housing.
- 26. Both governments have been working closely with the NT Land Councils to obtain long term leases to secure the substantial investment that SIHIP would deliver.
- 27. There are 19 locations in the Northern Territory where long term leases are required to underpin current allocations of SIHIP funding. As of today leases to government of 40 years or more are in place in 9 locations. In principle agreement for a lease has been provided for several others and negotiations are proceeding elsewhere.

NB: Leases have been signed for 16 of the Alice Springs town camps but execution of these leases is stalled pending legal proceedings.

28. In short, secure tenure is already settled over sufficient locations for SIHIP to operate at capacity for at least the next two calendar years.

- 29. As the review of SIHIP noted, the tenure requirements have not resulted in any delay in the construction program.
- 30. Secure tenure is essential to ensure responsibility and accountability for the major public expenditure but also to underpin the reformed tenancy management system which is a central element of ensuring lasting and well maintained assets.
- 31. The next major challenge is for the Northern Territory Government to bed down the new remote public tenancy management system over a large number of remote communities frequently with limited services infrastructure and housing stock that has historically been and often remains in poor condition.
- 32. At the heart of effective tenancy arrangements is clarity about the rights and obligations of the tenant and of the landlord. These arrangements have rarely been effective and enforceable under previous land tenure arrangements. The land owner tended not to be and not to have capacity to be manager of the property while the housing manager tended not to have any property rights at all.
- 33. The new arrangements ensure fair and consistent rules while catering for the unique cultural circumstances which operate in communities. Tenants must pay their rent and look after their houses but also will have enforceable rights and clarity that government is responsible for providing effective repairs and maintenance.
- 34. While there have been some teething problems in establishing these new arrangements both governments understand how critical it is to have an effective property and tenancy management system to support the major capital improvements to housing that SIHIP will deliver something that was expressly acknowledged in the Review.

2009-10 SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES HEARINGS OCTOBER 2009 35. As part of the increased role for Australian Government we will be working closely with the Northern Territory Government to support them in establishing this new system.

| March Marc | | 2008-09 Northern Territory Emergency Response Cross Agency Expenditure Report as at 30 June 2009 BY AGENCY | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|-----------------|--------------|-------|----------------|-------------|------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Pour circle AGD Pour circle Address proces O.7 O.7 1078 O.0 O.1 0079 O.8 O.8 O.8 O.8 O.9 | AGENCY | THEME | MEASURE | Admin Budget | Admin Exp | | Dept Budget | Dept Exp | | Reportable Budget (Admin + Dept) | Exp (Admin + Dept) | % Spent |
| AGD Pornesing Law & English and Croler Crol | | | | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| National Provincing Law A Order (AFF) 14.5 10.0% 0.6 0.6 10.0% 15.1 | | Promoting Law & | 3 1 | | | | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100% | | | 100% |
| Principle Law & Order (AFP) | AGD | Order | | | | | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100% | | | 100% |
| Total AGB | | | 3 | 14.5 | 14.3 | 100% | | | | | | 88% |
| DEEWR Coloration Colorat | AGD DEEWR DHS DOHA FaHCSIA (Excluding NTER - Flexible Funding Pool | Total AGD | | 20.1 | 20.1 | 100% | | | | | | 97% |
| DEEWR Coloration Colorat | | | Enhancing Education | 18.6 | 18.3 | 98% | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100% | 19 1 | 18.8 | 98% |
| PEENR Subtotal S | | | | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| Supporting Circles | To DHS W | Education | Greater Enrolment; + 200 Teachers | 11.6 | | | | | | 11.6 | 6.9 | 59% |
| Part | | Subtotal | | 37.1 | 32.1 | 87% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100% | 38.2 | 33.2 | 87% |
| Employment & Further Welfare & Employment Reform 31.7 31.3 99% 21.1 21.1 100% 52.8 52.4 | DEEWR | | Creches | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% | | | | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% |
| Welfare Reform Further Welfare & Employment & Substant S17 S13 S79 S21 S21 S21 S22 | | Subtotal | | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% | | | | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% |
| Total DEEWR | | | Further Welfare & Employment Reform | 31.7 | 31.3 | 99% | 21.1 | 21.1 | 100% | 52.8 | 52.4 | 99% |
| Employment & Centrellink Services and Activities (Note 3) | | | | | | | | | | | | 99% |
| Part | | Total DEEWR | | 71.1 | 65.6 | 92% | 22.2 | 22.2 | 100% | 93.2 | 87.8 | 94% |
| Part | | Employment & | | | | | | | | | | 90% |
| Total DHS | DHS | , , | | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1000/ | | | | | | 65% |
| Improving Health Follow Up Health Care 13.6 14.4 106% 13.6 14.4 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.4 14.5 14.5 14.4 14.5 | | | | | | | | | | | | 100% 82 % |
| DoHA Improving Health Improve Child and Family Health 39.0 39.0 100% 6.5 5.1 78% 45.5 44.1 | | 10101 2110 | | | | | 17.1 | | 0270 | | | 106% |
| Total DoHA | DoHA | Improving Health | | | | | 6.5 | 5.1 | 78% | | | 97% |
| Leadership and Coordination Activities 11.5 11.5 100% 21.4 18.7 88% 32.9 30.2 | | Total DoHA | improvo omia ana i anii ji rioanii | | | | | | | | | 99% |
| Leadership and Coordination Activities 11.5 11.5 100% 21.4 18.7 88% 32.9 30.2 | | | Community Engagement | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100% | 2.9 | 2.8 | 95% | 3.8 | 3.7 | 96% |
| Remote Accommodation No. | | | | | | | | | | | | 92% |
| NTER Asbestos 0.9 0.1 0% 0.4 0.1 25% 1.3 0.2 | | Coordination | Government Business Managers | | | | 36.5 | 35.2 | 97% | 36.5 | 35.2 | 97% |
| Subtotal Employment & Welfare Reform Income Management - FahCSIA only S.1 S.1 100% 4.4 4.1 91% 9.6 9.2 | DHS T | | | | | | | | | | | 98% |
| FaHCSIA Employment & Welfare Reform Income Management - FaHCSIA only FaHCSIA only Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) Special A/C Subtotal Subtotal Law & Order Promoting Law & Order Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) Special A/C Subtotal Subtotal Subtotal Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) Special A/C Subtotal Subtotal Subtotal Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) Special A/C Subtotal Subtotal Income Management - Appropriated to Subtotal Income Management - Appropriated to Special A/C Income Management - Appropriated to Income Management - A | | | NTER Asbestos | | | | | | | | | 16% |
| FaHCSIA (Excluding NTER Flexible Funding Pool Special A/C) Subtotal Employment & Welfare Reform Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) Subtotal Subtotal Law & Order Promoting Law & Order 15.6 15.6 100% 0.6 0.6 10.4% 16.2 16.2 | | Subtotal | Income Management FaHCSIA only | | | | | | | | | 94% 96% |
| Flexible Funding Pool Subtotal Subtotal Law & Order Promoting Law & Order 15.6 15.6 100% 0.6 0.6 104% 16.2 16.2 | | | Income Management - Appropriated to | J.1 | J. I | 10076 | | | | | | 97% |
| Law & Order Promoting Law & Order Subtotal Subtotal Early Childhood Programs D.4 D.4 D.4 D.5 D | | Subtotal | (1000) | 5.1 | 5.1 | 100% | 89.0 | 86.1 | 97% | 94.1 | 91.2 | 97% |
| Early Childhood Programs 0.4 0.4 100% 0.1 0.1 104% 0.6 | Special A/C) | Law & Order | Promoting Law & Order | 15.6 | 15.6 | 100% | | 0.6 | | | | 100% |
| Supporting Families Playgroups 0.6 0.6 100% 0.1 0.1 104% 0.6 | | Subtotal | | 15.6 | 15.6 | 100% | 0.6 | 0.6 | 104% | 16.2 | 16.2 | 100% |
| Families Youth Alcohol Diversion 8.8 8.8 100% 0.7 0.8 104% 9.5 9.6 | | _ | | | | | | | 40. | | | 100% |
| Family Support Package 9.5 9.5 100% 0.3 0.3 102% 9.8 9.8 | | 11 0 | , , | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| Subtotal 19.3 19.3 100% 1.1 1.2 103% 20.4 20.5 Total FaHCSIA 53.4 52.6 99% 159.1 151.8 95% 212.5 204.4 PM&C - Ombudsman's Office Coordination Commonwealth Ombudsman Support (Note 2) 1.0 1.0 1.0 102% 1.0 1.0 Total (Excluding NTER - Flexible Funding Pool Special A/C) 197.3 191.8 97% 220.0 207.2 94% 417.2 398.9 FaHCSIA Employment & Welfare Reform NTER - Flexible Funding Pool Special A/C 68.0 68.0 100% 7.9 7.9 100% 76.0 76.0 | | i aiiiiies | | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| Total FaHCSIA Total FaHCSI | | | | | | | | | | | | 100% |
| PM&C - Ombudsman's Office Coordination Commonwealth Ombudsman Support (Note 2) 1.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | 96% |
| FaHCSIA Employment & Welfare Reform NTER - Flexible Funding Pool Special A/C 68.0 68.0 100% 7.9 7.9 100% 76.0 76.0 | | Coordination | | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 102% | 1.0 | 1.0 | 102% |
| Welfare Reform Welfare Reform Welfare Reform | Total (Excluding NT | ER - Flexible Fund | ling Pool Special A/C) | 197.3 | 191.8 | 97% | 220.0 | 207.2 | 94% | 417.2 | 398.9 | 96% |
| Total Rudget 265 2 250 2 080/ 227 0 215 1 040/ 402 2 474 0 | FaHCSIA | | NTER - Flexible Funding Pool Special A/C | 68.0 | 68.0 | 100% | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100% | 76.0 | 76.0 | 100% |
| 203.3 207.0 70.6 227.5 210.1 446 493.2 474.9 | Total Budget | | | 265.3 | 259.8 | 98% | 227.9 | 215.1 | 94% | 493.2 | 474.9 | 96% |

Notes

- 1) DHS Income management \$154,000 in Administered funding identified and expended since last report
- 2) The figure provided previously of \$0.8m represented funds allocated to specific activities, the revised figure of \$1.02m includes overheads and senior staffing
- 3) Budget and Expenditure for Centrelink have been adjusted down to exclude Depreciation of \$2.079m for Income Management and \$0.32m for Centrelink Services and Activities

| | 2008-09 Northern Territory Emergency Response Cross Agency Expenditure Report | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|---|------------|
| | | Cros | | (penaiture i June 2009 | Report | | | | | | |
| | | | | HEME | | | | | | | |
| THEME | AGENCY | MEASURE | Total Admin Budget \$'m | YTD Admin Exp \$'m | % Spent | Total Dept Budget \$'m | Y T D Dept Exp \$'m | % Spent | Total NTER Reportable Budget (Admin + Dept) \$m | Total YTD Exp (Admin + Dept) \$m | % Spent |
| | FaHCSIA | Community Engagement | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100% | 2.9 | 2.8 | 95% | 3.8 | 3.7 | 96% |
| | FaHCSIA | Leadership and Coordination Activities | 11.5 | 11.5 | 100% | 21.4 | 18.7 | 88% | 32.9 | 30.2 | 92% |
| | FaHCSIA | Government Business Managers | | | | 36.5 | 35.2 | 97% | 36.5 | 35.2 | 97% |
| | FaHCSIA | Remote Accommodation | | | | 7.3 | 7.2 | | 7.3 | 7.2 | 98% |
| Coordination | FaHCSIA | NTER Asbestos | 0.9 | 0.1 | 12% | 0.4 | 0.1 | | | | 16% |
| ļ | | FaHCSIA sub total | 13.3 | 12.5 | 94% | 68.5 | 64.0 | 93% | 81.8 | 76.5 | 94% |
| | Omb Off. | Commonwealth Ombudsman Support (Note 2) | | | | 1.0 | 1.0 | | 1.0 | | |
| | Total Coordination | on | 13.3 | 12.5 | 94% | 69.5 | 65.0 | % Spent Reportable Budget (Admin + Dept) sm Total YTD Exp (Admin + Dept) sm % Sper Sper Sper Sper Sper Sper Sper Sper | | 94% | |
| | DEEWR | Enhancing Education | 18.6 | 18.3 | 98% | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100% | 19.1 | 18.8 | 98% |
| Enhancing Education | DEEWR | School Nutrition | 6.9 | 6.9 | 100% | 0.5 | 0.5 | 100% | 7.4 | 7.4 | 100% |
| Ennancing Education | DEEWR | Greater Enrolment; + 200 Teachers | 11.6 | 6.9 | 59% | | | | 11.6 | 6.9 | 59% |
| | Total Enhancing | Education | 37.1 | 32.1 | 87% | 1.1 | 1.1 | 100% | 38.2 | 33.2 | 87% |
| | FaHCSIA | Income Management - FaHCSIA only | 5.1 | 5.1 | 100% | 4.4 | 4.1 | 91% | 9.6 | 9.2 | 96% |
| | FaHCSIA | Income Management - Appropriated to FaHCSIA and Paid to Centrelink (Note 3) | 0.1 | 3.1 | 10070 | 84.5 | 82.0 | | | | 97% |
| | | FaHCSIA sub total | 5.1 | 5.1 | 100% | 89.0 | 86.1 | 97% | 94.1 | 91.2 | 97% |
| Fmployment & | DEEWR | Further Welfare & Employment Reform | 31.7 | 31.3 | 99% | 21.1 | 21.1 | | | | 99% |
| Welfare Reform | DHS | Centrelink Services and Activities (Note 3) | | | | 5.8 | 5.2 | 90% | 5.8 | 5.2 | 90% |
| | DHS | Income Management - Debit Card Set Up | | | | 7.0 | 4.6 | 65% | 7.0 | 4.6 | 65% |
| | DHS | Income Management - DHS Only (Note 1) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100% | 4.3 | 4.3 | 100% | 4.4 | 4.4 | 100% |
| | | DHS sub total | 0.2 | 0.2 | | 17.1 | 14.1 | 82% | 17.3 | 14.2 | 82% |
| | Total Employme | nt & Welfare Reform | 37.0 | 36.6 | 99% | 127.2 | 121.2 | 95% | 164.1 | 157.8 | 96% |
| | DoHA | Follow Up Health Care | 13.6 | 14.4 | 106% | | | | 13.6 | 14.4 | 106% |
| Improving Health | DoHA | Improve Child and Family Health | 39.0 | 39.0 | | 6.5 | 5.1 | 78% | | | 97% |
| Coordination Enhancing Education T Employment & Welfare Reform T Improving Health T Promoting Law & Order T Supporting Families | Total Improving | . , | 52.6 | 53.3 | 101% | 6.5 | 5.1 | 78% | 59.1 | 58.4 | 99% |
| | AGD (ACC) | Violence & Child Abuse Taskforce (ACC) | | | | 4.2 | 4.2 | 100% | 12 | 1.2 | 100% |
| | AGD | Aboriginal Interpreter service | 0.7 | 0.7 | 100% | 0.1 | 0.1 | | | | |
| | AGD | Indigenous Legal Aid | 4.9 | 4.9 | 100% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 10070 | | | 100% |
| Promoting Law & | AGD | Night Patrol services | 14.5 | 14.5 | | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100% | | | 100% |
| _ | AGD (AFP) | Promoting Law & Order (AFP) | | | 22.0 | 9.2 | 8.1 | | | | 88% |
| | | AGD sub total | 20.1 | 20.1 | 100% | 14.0 | 13.0 | | | | 97% |
| 1 | FaHCSIA | Promoting Law & Order | 15.6 | 15.6 | 100% | 0.6 | 0.6 | 104% | 16.2 | 16.2 | 100% |
| | Total Promoting | · | 35.7 | 35.7 | 100% | 14.6 | 13.5 | 93% | 50.4 | 49.3 | 98% |
| | DEEWR | Creches | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% | | | | 2.3 | 2.2 | 99% |
| | FaHCSIA | Early Childhood Programs | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100% | | | | 0.4 | 0.4 | 100% |
| | FaHCSIA | Playgroups | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100% | 0.1 | 0.1 | 104% | 0.6 | 0.6 | 100% |
| Supporting Families | FaHCSIA | Youth Alcohol Diversion | 8.8 | 8.8 | 100% | 0.7 | 0.8 | 104% | 9.5 | 9.6 | 100% |
| | FaHCSIA | Family Support Package | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100% | 0.3 | 0.3 | 102% | 9.8 | 9.8 | 100% |
| | | FaHCSIA sub total | 19.3 | 19.3 | 100% | 1.1 | 1.2 | 103% | 20.4 | 20.5 | 100% |
| | Total Supporting | Families | 21.5 | 21.5 | 100% | 1.1 | 1.2 | 103% | 22.7 | 22.7 | 100% |
| | ER - Flexible Fund | ling Pool Special A/C) | 197.3 | 191.8 | 97% | 220.0 | 207.2 | 94% | 417.2 | 398.9 | 96% |
| | FaHCSIA | NTER - Flexible Funding -Special A/C | 68.0 | 68.0 | 100% | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100% | 76.0 | 76.0 | 100% |
| Total Budget | | | 265.3 | 259.8 | 98% | 227.9 | 215.1 | 94% | 493.2 | 474.9 | 96% |

Notes:

- 1) DHS Income management \$154,000 in Administered funding identified and expended since last report
- 2) The figure provided previously of \$0.8m represented funds allocated to specific activities, the revised figure of \$1.02m includes overheads and senior staffing
- 3) Budget and Expenditure for Centrelink have been adjusted down to exclude Depreciation of \$2.079m for Income Management and \$0.32m for Centrelink Services and Activities

2009-10 Closing the Gap - Northern Territory Cross Agency Expenditure Report as at 30 September 2009 BY AGENCY

| | | | Adı | ministered | | Dep | Departmental | | Total | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Agency | Theme | Measure | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % | |
| | | Aboriginal Interpreter service | 0.6 | 0.2 | 33% | | | | 0.6 | 0.2 | | |
| | | Indigenous Legal Aid Law & Order | 2.5 21.7 | 4.8 | 0% 22% | 0.8 | 0.2 | 25% | 2.5 22.5 | 5.0 | 0% 22% | |
| AGD | Law and Order | National Indigenous Violence and Child | | | | 5.5 | 2.1 | 37% | 5.5 | 2.1 | 37% | |
| 7.02 | | Abuse Taskforce (ACC) NTER - Promoting Law & Order (AFP) | | | | 9.3 | 2.7 | 29% | 9.3 | 2.7 | 29% | |
| | | Welfare Rights Outreach Project | 1.0 | - | 0% | 3.0 | 4.1 | 2370 | 1.0 | - | 0% | |
| | AGD Total | | 25.8 | 5.0 | 20% | 15.6 | 5.0 | 32% | 41.3 | 10.0 | 24% | |
| | | Accelerating Literacy (see Note 4) | 1.2 | - | 0% | 0.3 | 0.1 | 25% | 1.4 | 0.1 | 4% | |
| | | Greater Enrolment;/200 Teachers | 20.0 | 0.7 | 3% | 0.0 | 0.4 | 050/ | 20.0 | 0.7 | 3% | |
| | Enhancing Education | Quality Teaching (see Note 4) School nutrition | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0% 9% | 0.3 | 0.1 | 25% 25% | 1.4 12.3 | 0.1 1.3 | 5% 11% | |
| | | Teacher housing | 11.0 | - | 0% | 0.2 | 0.1 | 25% | 11.2 | 0.1 | 0% | |
| DEEWR (Note 3) | | Subtotal | 44.3 | 1.7 | 4% | 2.0 | 0.5 | 25% | 46.3 | 2.2 | | |
| (Note 3) | Welfare Reform and | Lanuage Literacy & Numeracy | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 1.0 | 0.1 | 8% | |
| | Employment | Subtotal Creches | 0.8 4.3 | 0.0 0.4 | 5% 10% | 0.2 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% 25% | 1.0 4.4 | 0.1 0.5 | 8% | |
| | Supporting Families | Subtotal | 4.3 | 0.4 | 10% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 4.4 | 0.5 | + | |
| | DEEWR Total | | 49.4 | 2.1 | 4% | 2.4 | 0.6 | 25% | 51.8 | 2.7 | _ | |
| | | School Nutrition (Centrelink) | | | | 1.4 | 0.2 | 14% | 1.4 | 0.2 | 14% | |
| | Enhancing Education | Subtotal | | | | 1.4 | 0.2 | 14% | 1.4 | 0.2 | | |
| | | Basics Card - Income Management Card | | | | 4.3 | 1.8 | 41% | 4.3 | 1.8 | 41% | |
| | | replacement Project (DHS) | | | | | | | | | | |
| DHS | Welfare Reform and | Income Management (DHS) Income Management (Centrelink) | 3.2 | - | 0% | 1.2 82.3 | 0.5 19.2 | 41% 23% | 4.4 82.3 | 0.5 19.2 | | |
| | Employment | Income Management Card Replacement | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Project (Centrelink) | | | | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5% | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5% | |
| | | Subtotal | 3.2 | - | 0% | 93.8 | 21.8 | 23% | 97.0 | 21.8 | _ | |
| | DHS Total | | 3.2 | - | 0% | 95.2 | 22.0 | 23% | 98.4 | 22.0 | 22% | |
| | | Better Outcomes for Hosp. and Health | 1.5 | - | 0% | | | | 1.5 | - | 0% | |
| DoHA (Note 2) | Improving Health | Indigenous Health and Related Services Improved Child and Family Health | 5.3 49.4 | 0.1 2.5 | 2% 5% | 1.2 4.2 | 0.3 | 25% 25% | 6.5 53.6 | 0.4 3.5 | | |
| (Note 2) | DoHA Total | Improved Office and Family Fredict | 56.2 | 2.6 | 5% | 5.5 | 1.4 | | 61.7 | 4.0 | | |
| | | Coordination and Policy Advice | | - | | 3.9 | 1.0 | | 3.9 | 1.0 | | |
| | Coordination on the Ground | Field Operations - Capital | | | | 2.4 | 0.6 | 25% | 2.4 | 0.6 | | |
| | | Field Operations - GBM's | | | | 25.1 | 6.3 | 25% | 25.1 | 6.3 | 25% | |
| | | Local Priorities Fund | 10.0 | 0.5 | 5% | ٥٠ | 0.4 | 050/ | 10.0 | 0.5 | 5% | |
| | | NTER Asbestos Resetting the Relationship | 15.2 4.6 | 0.1 | 1% 0% | 0.5 5.0 | 1.3 | 25% 25% | 15.7 9.6 | 1.3 | | |
| | | Subtotal | 29.8 | 0.6 | 2% | 36.9 | 9.2 | 25% | 66.7 | 9.8 | | |
| | | Community Stores | 3.4 | 0.1 | 3% | 4.5 | 1.1 | 25% | 7.9 | 1.2 | _ | |
| FaHCSIA | Welfare Reform and | Financial Management | 4.3 | 0.7 | 16% | 0.6 | 0.1 | 25% | 4.9 | 0.8 | | |
| (Note 1) | Employment | Income Management - FaHCSIA Subtotal | 7.7 | 0.8 | 10% | 2.8 8.0 | 0.7 2.0 | 25% 25% | 2.8 15.6 | 0.7 2.8 | 25% 18% | |
| | | Remote Policing & Substance Abuse | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0% | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | 7.9 | 0.2 | | |
| | Law and Order | Subtotal | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0% | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | 7.9 | 0.2 | | |
| | | Early Childhood | 0.4 | 0.1 | 34% | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25% | 0.5 | 0.2 | | |
| | Supporting Families | Playgroups The Way Forward | 0.1 5.1 | - | 0% 0% | 0.2 | 0.1 | 25% 25% | 0.3 5.5 | 0.1 0.1 | 16% 2% | |
| | Supporting Families | Youth Diverson | 8.8 | | 0% | 0.4 | 0.1 | 25% | 9.3 | 0.1 | 1% | |
| | | Subtotal | 14.4 | 0.1 | 1% | 1.2 | 0.3 | 25% | 15.6 | 0.4 | 3% | |
| | FaHCSIA Total | | 58.9 | 1.5 | 3% | 46.9 | 11.7 | 25% | 105.8 | 13.2 | 13% | |
| DM 0.0 | Coordination on the | | | | | 0.0 | | 000/ | 0.0 | | 00/ | |
| PM&C (Ombudsman) | Ground | Commonwealth Ombudsman Support | | | | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% | 0.8 | | 0% | |
| (Ombudamun) | PM&C (Ombudsman) T | otal | | | | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% | |
| Treasury | Enhancing Education | Accelerating Literacy (See Note 4) | 6.8 | - | 0% | | | | 6.8 | - | 0% | |
| (DEEWR) | | Quality Teaching (See Note 4) | 6.6 | - | 0% | | | | 6.6 | - | 0% | |
| | DEEWR Subtotal | | 13.4 | - | 0% | | | | 13.4 | - | 0% | |
| Treasury | Improving Health | Health and Related Services | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | | | | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | |
| (DoHA) | DoHA Subtotal | | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | | | | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | |
| | Coordination on the | Field Operations - Interpreters | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | | | | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | |
| | Ground Welfare Reform and | riola operatione interpretere | 0.0 | | 2070 | | | | 0.0 | | | |
| Treasury | Employment | Community Stores | 0.3 | 0.1 | 17% | | | | 0.3 | 0.1 | 17% | |
| (FaHCSIA) | Law and Order | Policing | 31.4 | 6.9 | 22% | | | | 31.4 | 6.9 | | |
| | Supporting Families | The Way Forward | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21% | | | | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21% | |
| reasury Total | FaHCSIA Subtotal | | 37.7 | 8.3 | 22% | | | | 37.7 | 8.3 | _ | |
| reasury rotai | | | 59.0 | 11.4 | 19% | | | | 59.0 | 11.4 | 19% | |
| otal Funding | (excluding Special / | Account) | 252.5 | 22.6 | 9% | 166.3 | 40.9 | 25% | 418.8 | 63.5 | 15% | |
| FaHCSIA | Welfare Reform and Employment | Indigenous Employment Special Account | 68.4 | 68.4 | 100% | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100% | 76.3 | 76.3 | 1009 | |
| | | | 320.9 | 91.0 | 28% | 174.2 | 48.7 | 28% | 495.1 | 139.7 | 28% | |

NOTES:

- 1) FaHCSIA Except for the Indigenous Employment Special Account all departmental funding has been absorbed in to the Agency base and expenditure is reported on a pro-rata basis
- 2) DoHA Funding for the Improving Child and Family Health and Indigenous Health and Related Services measures in 2009/10 has been adjusted from a total of \$55.001 million to \$54.685 million due to parameter changes (indexation)
- 3) DEEWR Departmental funding has been absorbed in to the Agency base and expenditure is reported on a pro-rata basis
- 4) DEEWR This report splits the administered budget for Accelerating Literacy and Quality Teaching measures into COPE and SPP (Treasury)

2009-10 Closing the Gap - Northern Territory Cross Agency Expenditure Report as at 30 September 2009 BY THEME

| Theme | Agency | Measure | Adr | ministered | | Dep | artmental | | | Total | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| rneme | Agency | weasure | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % | Budget \$m | YTD Exp \$m | % |
| | | Coordination and Policy Advice Field Operations - Capital | | | | 3.9 2.4 | 1.0 0.6 | 25% 25% | 3.9 2.4 | 1.0 0.6 | 25% |
| | FaHCSIA | Field Operations - GBMs | 40.0 | 0.5 | F0/ | 25.1 | 6.3 | 25% | 25.1 | 6.3 | 25% |
| | (Note 1) | Local Priorities Fund NTER Asbestos | 10.0 15.2 | 0.5 | 5% 1% | 0.5 | 0.1 | 25% | 10.0 15.7 | 0.5 | 5% 2% |
| | | Resetting the Relationship | 4.6 | - | 0% | 5.0 | 1.3 | 25% | 9.6 | 1.3 | 13% |
| Coordination on the | | Subtotal | 29.8 | 0.6 | 2% | 36.9 | 9.2 | 25% | 66.7 | 9.8 | 15% |
| Ground | Treasury | Field Operations - Interpreters | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | | | | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% |
| | (FaHCSIA) | Subtotal | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | | | | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% |
| | PM&C | Commonwealth Ombudsman Support | | | | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% |
| | (Ombudsman) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | , | Subtotal | | | | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% | 0.8 | 0.2 | 29% |
| | Coordination and | l Policy Advice Total | 30.7 | 0.8 | 3% | 37.7 | 9.5 | 25% | 68.4 | 10.3 | 15% |
| | | Accelerating Literacy (see Note 4) | 1.2 | - | 0% | 0.3 | 0.1 | 25% | 1.4 | 0.1 | 4% |
| | | Greater Enrolment/200 Teachers | 20.0 | 0.7 | 3% | | | | 20.0 | 0.7 | 3% |
| | DEEWR | Quality Teaching (see Note 4) | 1.2 | - | 0% | 0.3 | 0.1 | 25% | 1.4 | 0.1 | 5% |
| | (Note 3) | School Nutrition Teacher Housing | 11.0 11.0 | 1.0 | 9% 0% | 1.3 0.2 | 0.3 | 25% 25% | 12.3 11.2 | 1.3 0.1 | 11% 0% |
| | | Subtotal | 44.3 | 1.7 | 4% | 2.0 | 0.1 | 25% 25% | 46.3 | 2.2 | 5% |
| Enhancing Education | | Accelerating Literacy (see Note 4) | 6.8 | - 1.7 | 0% | 2.0 | 0.3 | 23 /0 | 6.8 | | 0% |
| | Treasury | 5 , , , | l | | | | | | | | |
| | (DEEWR) | Quality Teaching (see Note 4) | 6.6 | - | 0% | | | | 6.6 | - | 0% |
| | | Subtotal School Nutrition (Controllink) | 13.4 | - | 0% | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1.40/ | 13.4 | 0.2 | 0% |
| | DHS | School Nutrition (Centrelink) Subtotal | | | | 1.4 1.4 | 0.2 0.2 | 14% 14% | 1.4 1.4 | 0.2 0.2 | 14% |
| | Enhancing Educa | | 57.7 | 1.7 | 3% | 3.5 | 0.2 | 20% | 61.1 | 2.4 | 14% |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DEEWR | Language, Literacy & Numeracy | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 1.0 | 0.1 | 8% |
| | (Note 3) | Subtotal | 0.8 | 0.0 | 5% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 1.0 | 0.1 | 8% |
| | | Basics Card - Income Management Card Replacement Project (DHS) | | | | 4.3 | 1.8 | 41% | 4.3 | 1.8 | 41% |
| | | Income Management (DHS) | 3.2 | - | 0% | 1.2 | 0.5 | 41% | 4.4 | 0.5 | 11% |
| | DHS | Income Management (Centrelink) | 0.2 | | 0,70 | 82.3 | 19.2 | 23% | 82.3 | 19.2 | 23% |
| | | Income Management Card Replacement | | | | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5% | 5.9 | 0.3 | 5% |
| Welfare Reform and | | Project (Centrelink) | | | | 5.9 | | | | 0.3 | |
| Employment | | Subtotal | 3.2 | - | 0% | 93.8 | 21.8 | 23% | 97.0 | 21.8 | 22% |
| | | Community Stores | 3.4 | 0.1 | 3% | 4.5 | 1.1 | 25% | 7.9 | 1.2 | 15% |
| | FaHCSIA | Financial Management | 4.3 | 0.7 | 16% | 0.6 | 0.1 | 25% | 4.9 | 0.8 | 17% |
| | (Note 1) | Income Management - FaHCSIA | 7.7 | 0.0 | 400/ | 2.8 8.0 | 0.7 2.0 | 25% 25% | 2.8 15.6 | 0.7 2.8 | 25% 18% |
| | Transcomo | Subtotal Community Stores | - | 0.8 | 10% | 8.0 | 2.0 | 25% | + | | + |
| | Treasury (FaHCSIA) | Community Stores Subtotal | 0.3 0.3 | 0.1 0.1 | 17% 17% | | | | 0.3 0.3 | 0.1 0.1 | 17% |
| ı | , | Velfare Reform Total | 12.0 | 0.9 | 7% | 101.9 | 23.8 | 23% | 113.9 | 24.7 | 22% |
| | | | | 0.0 | | 10110 | 20.0 | 2070 | | 2-111 | |
| | D-11A | Better Outcomes for Hosp, and Health | 1.5 | 0.1 | 0% | 4.2 | 0.2 | 25% | 1.5 6.5 | 0.4 | 0% |
| | DoHA | Indigenous Health and Related Services Improved Child and Family Health | 5.3 49.4 | 2.5 | 2% 5% | 1.2 4.2 | 0.3 | 25% | 53.6 | 3.5 | 6% 7% |
| lmanassinas I laalik | (Note 2) | Subtotal | 56.2 | 2.5 | 5% 5% | 5.5 | 1.4 | 25% 25% | 61.7 | 4.0 | |
| Improving Health | Treasury | Health and Related Services | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | 5.5 | 17 | 23 /0 | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% |
| | (DoHA) | Subtotal | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% | | | | 8.0 | 3.1 | 39% |
| • | Improving Health | | 64.2 | 5.7 | 9% | 5.5 | 1.4 | 25% | 69.7 | 7.0 | 10% |
| | p. c tg c a | | | | | 0.0 | | 2070 | | | |
| | | Aboriginal Interpreter service | 0.6 | 0.2 | 33% | | | | 0.6 | 0.2 | |
| | | Indigenous Legal Aid Law & Order | 2.5 21.7 | 4.8 | 0% 22% | 0.8 | 0.2 | 25% | 2.5 22.5 | 5.0 | 0% 22% |
| | | National Indigenous Violence and Child | 21.1 | 4.0 | ∠∠ /0 | | | | | | |
| | AGD | Abuse Taskforce (ACC) | | | | 5.5 | 2.1 | 37% | 5.5 | 2.1 | 37% |
| | | NTER - Promoting Law & Order (AFP) | | | | 9.3 | 2.7 | 29% | 9.3 | 2.7 | 29% |
| Law and Order | | Welfafe Rights Outreach Project | 1.0 | | 0% | | | | 1.0 | | 0% |
| | | Subtotal | 25.8 | 5.0 | 20% | 15.6 | 5.0 | 32% | 41.3 | 10.0 | 24% |
| | FaHCSIA | Policing | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0% | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | 7.9 | 0.2 | 3% |
| | (Note 1) | Subtotal | 7.0 | 0.0 | 0% | 0.9 | 0.2 | 25% | 7.9 | 0.2 | 3% |
| | Treasury | Policing | 31.4 | 6.9 | 22% | | | | 31.4 | 6.9 | 22% |
| • | (FaHCSIA) | Subtotal | 31.4 | 6.9 | 22% | | | 000/ | 31.4 | 6.9 | 22% |
| | Law and Order To | ulai | 64.2 | 12.0 | 19% | 16.5 | 5.2 | 32% | 80.6 | 17.2 | 21% |
| | DEEWR | Creches | 4.3 | 0.4 | 10% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 4.4 | 0.5 | 10% |
| | (Note 3) | Subtotal | 4.3 | 0.4 | 10% | 0.2 | 0.0 | 25% | 4.4 | 0.5 | 10% |
| | | Early Childhood | 0.4 | 0.1 | 34% | 0.1 | 0.0 | 25% | 0.5 | 0.2 | 32% |
| | FaHCSIA | Playgroups | 0.1 | - | 0% | 0.2 | 0.1 | 25% | 0.3 | 0.1 | 16% |
| Supporting Families | (Note 1) | The Way Forward Youth Diversion | 5.1 8.8 | - | 0% 0% | 0.4 0.5 | 0.1 0.1 | 25% 25% | 5.5 9.3 | 0.1 | 2% 1% |
| 5 | , , | Subtotal | 14.4 | 0.1 | 1% | 1.2 | 0.1 0.3 | 25% 25% | 9.3 15.6 | 0.1 0.4 | 1% 3% |
| | Troccur | The Way Forward | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21% | 1.2 | 0.3 | £J /0 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21% |
| | Treasury (FaHCSIA) | Subtotal | 5.1 5.1 | 1.1 1.1 | 21% 21% | | | | 5.1 5.1 | 1.1 | 21% |
| • | Supporting Famil | | 23.7 | 1.1 | 7% | 1.4 | 0.3 | 25% | 25.1 | 2.0 | 8% |
| Total Funding (exclu | | | 252.5 | 22.6 | 9% | 166.3 | 40.9 | 25% | 418.8 | 63.5 | 15% |
| Welfare Reform and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employment | FaHCSIA | Indigenous Employment Special Account | 68.4 | 68.4 | 100% | 7.9 | 7.9 | 100% | 76.3 | 76.3 | 1009 |
| Total Funding | | | 320.9 | 91.0 | 28% | 174.2 | 48.7 | 28% | 495.1 | 139.7 | 28% |

NOTES:

- 1) FaHCSIA Except for the Indigenous Employment Special Account all departmental funding has been absorbed in to the Agency base and expenditure is reported on a pro-rata basis
- 2) DoHA Funding for the Improving Child and Family Health and Indigenous Health and Related Services measures in 2009/10 has been adjusted from a total of \$55.001 million to \$54.685 million due to parameter changes (indexation)
- 3) DEEWR Departmental funding has been absorbed in to the Agency base and expenditure is reported on a pro-rata basis
- 4) DEEWR This report splits the administered budget for Accelerating Literacy and Quality Teaching measures into COPE and SPP (Treasury)

National Partnership Agreement on Remote Indigenous Housing Capital Works Activity FY 2009-10

| Jurisdiction | Community Name | No of New Houses # | No of Refurbishments # |
|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| | Ardyaloon | | |
| | Beagle Bay | | |
| | Bidyadanga | | |
| | Bindi Bindi | | |
| | Bungardi | | |
| | Coonana | | |
| | Darlgunaya | | |
| | Djugerari | | |
| | Jigalong | | |
| | Kalumburu | | |
| | Kupungarri | | |
| | Kurnangki | | |
| Western Australia | Mardiwah Loop | 75 | 150* |
| | Mungullah | | |
| | Nicholson Block | | |
| | Pandanus Park | | |
| | Parukupan | | |
| | Pia Wadjari | | |
| | Tjuntjuntjara | | |
| | Wannan | | |
| | Warmun | | |
| | Wirrimanu | | |
| | Wongatha Wonganara | | |
| | Yardgee | | |
| | Yiyili | | |
| | Amata | | |
| South Australia | Mimili | 44** | 63 |
| Journ Australia | Fregon | 77 | 03 |
| | Pipalytjara | | |
| | Aurukun | | |
| | Coen | | |
| | Doomadgee | | |
| | Hope Vale | | |
| | Kowanyama | | |
| Queensland | Lockhart River | 65 | 150*** |
| | Mornington Island | | |
| | Mossman Gorge | | |
| | Napranum | | |
| | Palm Island | | |
| | Wujal Wujal | | |

| Jurisdiction | Community Name | No of New Houses # | No of Refurbishments# |
|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | Armidale | | |
| | Bourke | | |
| | Brewarrina | | |
| | Broken Hill | | |
| | Cobar | | |
| | Coonamble | | |
| | Dubbo | | |
| NSW | Lake Cargelligo | 50 | 50**** |
| | Lightning Ridge | | |
| | Moree | | |
| | Narrabri | | |
| | Nyngan | | |
| | Tamworth | | |
| | Wagga Wagga | | |
| | Walgett | | |
| | Wilcannia | | |
| Tasmania | Cape Barren Island | 2 | 12 |
| - domaina | Flinders Island | _ | |

communities will receive a combination of new houses and refurbishments or refurbishments only.

^{*} The target is 150 refurbishments, however, the Western Australian Government has indicated that up to 170 refurbishments may be completed this financial year.

^{**}This includes 4 temporary accommodation units.

^{***} The target for Queensland is 150, however, the Queensland Government has indicated that up to 493 refurbishments may be completed this financial year.

^{****} The location of refurbishment activity is still under consideration by the NSW Government. The location of new houses includes those selected for the purposes of providing Employment Related Accommodation to residents from remote communities.

SENATE STANDING COMMITTEE ON COMMUNITY AFFAIRS QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

CROSS PORTFOLIO SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES 2009-10 DEPT OF EDUCATION, EMPLOYMENT AND WORKPLACE RELATIONS

Outcome: 4

DEEWR Question No. CA025_10

Senator Scullion asked on 23 October 2009, EEWR Hansard pages 57 & 58.

Question

Work Experience

The types of activities and perhaps by region the number of people participating in remote area Indigenous Work Experience activities.

Senator SCULLION—I realise that this is a big request, and no doubt Dr Harmer will find a balance for me. If the information is available on the public record do not worry about it. I would like the types of activities and perhaps by region the number of people participating. That would be very useful. Dr Harmer may respond with regard to where we are up to in the assessment of the new process that was introduced on 1 July.

Answer

In the lead up discussions to the first part of Senator Scullion's question, the Department advised the hearing that in remote areas there were 4709 job seeker placements in Work Experience activities from 1 July 2009 to 30 September 2009.

At 31 October, there were 5975 job seeker placements in Work Experience activities in remote areas - an increase of 1266 or 26.9%. The breakdown of these remote job seeker placements, by activity type and state, is included in the table below.

Table 1: Remote Job Seeker Placements by State by Activity Type

| State | CDEP | Training | Brokered Unpaid Work Experience | Defence Force (Reserves) | Part Time/Casual Paid Employment | Voluntary Work in community/non profit sector | Group Based Activities | Total |
|--------------------|-------|----------|--|--------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|-------|
| New South Wales | 65 | 140 | 0 | | 26 | 2 | 43 | 276 |
| Northern Territory | 2,456 | 465 | 17 | | 61 | 18 | 49 | 3,066 |
| Queensland | 768 | 265 | 0 | 2 | 61 | 7 | 67 | 1170 |
| South Australia | 69 | 87 | 0 | | 36 | 15 | 41 | 248 |
| Western Australia | 579 | 540 | 10 | | 58 | 13 | 15 | 1215 |
| Total | 3937 | 1497 | 27 | 2 | 242 | 55 | 215 | 5975 |

^{^ &}quot;Group based activities" includes activities such as Work for the Dole, Green Corps and Drought Force.