# Senate Finance and Public Administration Legislation Committee ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS ON NOTICE SUPPLEMENTARY BUDGET ESTIMATES 2013-2014

## **Finance Portfolio**

**Department/Agency: Department of Finance Outcome/Program:** 1/1.1 Budget **Topic:** Measures included in consolidation

Senator: Smith Question reference number: F11 Type of question: Hansard, F&PA Committee, Page 39, 19 November 2013 Date set by the committee for the return of answer: Friday 17 January 2014

### Number of pages: 1

### **Question:**

Can you provide a list of the significant variances between costings undertaken by Finance under the Charter of Budget Honesty in the 2010 Election and agreed measures. Can you provide details of the initiatives, the scale of the variation and the reason for the discrepancy?

#### Answer:

Of the costings that were undertaken by Finance under the Charter of Budget Honesty in the 2010 election, there were 2 items that were incorporated into budget estimates with a significant variance to the election commitment costing (greater than \$50 million over the four years to 2013-14):

Measure	Charter	Agreed	Variance	Reason for variance
	costing	Measure		
Improved support	\$596.2m	\$419.7m	\$176.5m	Reflected updated data received on the
for families with	(spend)	(spend)		number of people expected to be affected by
teenagers				the measure.
Regional Rail	\$200.0m	\$400.0m	\$200.0m	Reflected advice received from the Victorian
Movement	(save)	(save)		Government after the 2010 election on the
				Regional Rail project schedule, with the
				revised profile better reflecting the expected
				milestones of the project.