

**Senate Community Affairs Committee**  
**ANSWERS TO ESTIMATES QUESTIONS ON NOTICE**  
**FAMILIES, HOUSING, COMMUNITY SERVICES AND**  
**INDIGENOUS AFFAIRS PORTFOLIO**  
**2013-14 Budget Estimates Hearings**

**Outcome Number:** 7

**Question No:** 178

**Topic:** National Partnership Agreement on Remote Indigenous Housing

**Hansard Page:** 07/06/2013 - CA73

**Senator Scullion** asked:

In answer to Additional Estimates Question on Notice 345 it was indicated that \$242 million was spent on Indigenous community housing organisations. Would you be able to break that down into how much went to each organisation and whether there was a particular purpose or whether it was all part of the standard operations.

**Answer:**

The \$242 million 'make good' reform funding was allocated to jurisdictions rather than to Indigenous community housing organisations.

Jurisdictions then applied the funding to assist organisations to adopt a reform model to bring them into alignment with mainstream community housing providers. Reform models include ICHOs becoming registered or accredited housing providers, amalgamation or head leasing to another registered / accredited provider, or transfer of ownership of houses to state governments.

A breakdown of funding by jurisdiction is as follows:

<b>Jurisdiction</b>	<b>'Make Good' \$ millions</b>
Queensland	0*
New South Wales	100
Western Australia	75
Victoria	5
South Australia	60
Tasmania	2
Northern Territory	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>242</b>

\*Queensland received \$60 million just prior to NPARIH for ICHO reform, and this amount has been reported against Queensland ICHO NPARIH outcomes.